



WEB COPY

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

W.P.No.35761 of 2024~~Pooja K Kulkarni Khairi Muroob~~

... Petitioner

VS.

1.Union of India

Represented by:

The Secretary Ministry of External Affairs,

South Block,

Raisina Hill,

New Delhi – 110011.

2.The Consulate General of India,

USA – Houston

4300 Scotland Street,

Houston, Texas-77007,

USA

Email :cons.houston@mea.gov.in

3.~~Pooja K Kulkarni Khairi Muroob~~

4.The Inspector of Police

K1 Sembiam Police Station

466R+65R, Paper Mills Road,

Bunder Garden,

Perambur,

Chennai - 600011

... Respondents

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing Respondents No.1 and No.2



W.P.No.35761 of 2024

to renew and reissue the passport of the minor girl child, ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ bearing Passport No. ~~M6185337~~ expiring on 28.01.2025 without requiring the consent of the Respondent No.3, in the light of the child's educational and emotional needs.

For Petitioner : M/s.P.R.Umamaheswari  
For R1 and R2 : Mr.V.Ashokkumar  
Central Government Counsel  
For R4 : Mr.L.Baskaran  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)  
For R3 : Mr.K.Balaji

### **ORDER**

The Writ Petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to renew and reissue the passport of the petitioner's minor child-~~XXXX~~ ~~XXXXXX~~ bearing Passport No. ~~M6185337~~ without insisting consent of the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent, who is the mother of the child.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that he got married to 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent on 09.07.2008 and out of wedlock, a Girl Child-~~Shruti Ramesh~~ ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ was born on 15.06.2009. In the year 2013, the petitioner along with the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent and their minor child got relocated to United States in connection with his employment. Later, there were strains in the marital life between the



W.P.No.35761 of 2024

petitioner and the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent and according to the petitioner, the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent returned to India in the year 2021 and has been residing with her parents. The petitioner's minor daughter is living with him and presently studying a 10<sup>th</sup> Grade at ~~Allen High School~~, Texas, U.S.A. The Indian passport of the child is due to expire on 28.01.2025 and therefore, the petitioner applied for renewal of the passport through online. The 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent returned the application with an instruction to furnish a copy of divorce decree along with the court order for child custody or a photograph of the passport attested by both parents. When the petitioner contacted the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent seeking her cooperation for getting renewal of passport of the child, the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent imposed a condition that the petitioner should facilitate her return to United States.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that the condition imposed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent is totally unrelated to renewal of the passport and the non-cooperation of 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent is putting the education of child in jeopardy. Having left with no other alternative remedy, the petitioner has approached this Court with the above said prayer.



WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel appearing for the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, on instructions, would submit that as per the passport manual, consent from both the parents are essential for issue as well as renewal of the passport and therefore, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent insisted for consent of the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent. It is also stated by him that in case of wilful refusal to give consent by one of the parents, passport may be issued to the child, after receipt of an affidavit from the parent having custody of the child stating that other parent wilfully denied consent. It is further stated by the learned counsel that in such case, the Passport Issuing Authority should intimate the unwilling parent, regarding issue of passport to the child and for that purpose, the petitioner shall furnish the contact details of the mother of the child.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent by relying on the counter affidavit filed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent submitted that the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent returned to India in the year 2021 for some purpose and also to call on her parents and thereafter, the petitioner failed to take any steps to take her back to United States and therefore, the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent was forced to live along with her parents in India. The learned counsel also submitted that the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent is ready to give her consent for renewal of the



W.P.No.35761 of 2024

passport on condition that the petitioner is agreeing to facilitate return of 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent to United States.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent filed a status report, dated 09.12.2024, wherein it is stated that the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent preferred a criminal complaint against the petitioner in Crime No.4 of 2022 pending on the file of All Women Police Station, Peravallore. It is seen from the said status report, FIR has been registered against the petitioner under Section 498(A) of IPC and the same is pending investigation.

7. The narration of facts in the pleadings of the parties and also the status report filed by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent would establish that the marital relationship between the petitioner and the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent got strained and they are living apart. The Indian Passport issued to the child is due to expire on 28.01.2025. The averment in the affidavit of the petitioner that the minor child is pursuing her 10<sup>th</sup> Grade in a School in United States is not disputed by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in the counter affidavit. Therefore, it is clear that the child his pursuing her studies in United States. If the passport of the child is allowed to expire without renewal, there is a danger of child acquiring



W.P.No.35761 of 2024

unwanted tag of “illegal migrant” and the same will adversely affect her studies. The strained relationship between the parents shall not be allowed to affect the welfare of the minor child. It is pertinent to mention that right to education is recognized as a fundamental right of every child below the age of 14 years by Article 21-A of the Constitution of India. Further, right to education is integral part of right to life guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. It is needless to say, a person cannot live a dignified life without proper education or atleast school education.

8. In the case on hand, the passport of the minor child is sought to be renewed by the father and non-renewal will jeopardize her education in United States. Being a minor, she is incapable of applying for renewal of the passport and signing the necessary documents. Therefore, for the purpose of renewal, the poor child is depending on her parents/guardian.

9. Merely because, the passport manual prescribed that renewal application shall be made with the consent of both the parents, the right available to the child to pursue the education and her passport renewal cannot be denied on technical grounds. In the case on hand, one of the



W.P.No.35761 of 2024

parents of the child has got some reservation in giving her consent for renewal and infact, the consent is made conditional by imposing certain conditions. If the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent wants to join the petitioner, it is always open to her to explore the remedies available to her under law. It is seen from the pleadings of the parties, both the petitioner and 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent got separated in the year 2021 and no legal steps have been taken by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent to join the petitioner.

10. Infact, the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent preferred a complaint against the petitioner before the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent and criminal case is pending investigation. When the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent has got legal remedy to explore the possibility of joining the petitioner, it is not open to her to impose a condition that unless petitioner facilitate her return to United States, she cannot give her consent for renewal of the passport.

11. In any event, this Court is of the firm view that the strained relationship between the petitioner and 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent shall not come in the way of their minor child pursuing her education. In the cases like this, the welfare of the child shall be paramount consideration. This Court is not in a



W.P.No.35761 of 2024

WEB COPY

position to go into the question whether pursuing education in United States under the custody of the petitioner is in the best interest of the child or not.

Infact, in this writ petition, this Court cannot go into that question. However, misunderstanding between the parents shall not affect or interrupt the education of the child. The question, who is entitled to custody of the child and what is good for the future of the child etc., can be decided by the Competent Court in appropriate proceedings. However, in no case, the education of the child shall get jeopardized. The minor child is aged about 15 years and the legal guardianship vests with the petitioner and in the absence of any order of the court of competent jurisdiction depriving the petitioner of his custody over the child, I do not think the Official Respondents can refuse renewal of passport on technical consideration that one of the parent has not given her consent for renewal of the passport.

12. In view of the discussion made earlier, the petitioner is directed to represent the returned passport application and the respondents 1 and 2 are directed to process the application for renewal of passport of the minor child-~~XXXXXXPOONAM~~ in Passport No.~~MM4865937~~ and pass necessary orders for reissue of passport, without insisting consent from the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent, if





W.P.No.35761 of 2024

the petitioner is able to fulfil other legal formalities. The final order on the application for renewal of the passport shall be passed on or before 27.01.2025. It is made clear that any observation made in this writ petition will not affect the rights of the petitioner as well as 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in the matrimonial proceedings or any proceedings initiated regarding custody of the child.

13. With the above direction and observation, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

**10.01.2025**

Index : Yes

Speaking order : Yes

Neutral Citation : Yes

dm

**Note: Issue order copy today.**

To

1.The Secretary Ministry of External Affairs,,  
Union of India, South Block,  
Raisina Hill, New Delhi – 110011.

2.The Consulate General of India,  
USA – Houston, 4300 Scotland Street,  
Houston, Texas-77007, USA  
Email :cons.houston@mea.gov.in

3.The Inspector of Police, K1 Sembiam Police Station,  
466R+65R, Paper Mills Road, Bunder Garden,  
Perambur, Chennai – 600011.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.35761 of 2024

**S.SOUNTHAR, J.**

dm

**W.P.No.35761 of 2024**

**10.01.2025**