IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU DATED THIS THE 22^{ND} DAY OF MARCH, 2025



BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P. SANDESH

<u>CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.975/2024</u> C/W.

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1714/2023 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.166/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.167/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.168/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.185/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.196/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.219/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.224/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.225/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.226/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.242/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.243/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.244/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.475/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.480/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.484/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.486/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.487/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.503/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.524/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.534/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.537/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.538/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.542/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.543/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.544/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.547/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.623/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.642/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.650/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.651/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.652/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.653/2024

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.654/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.655/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.660/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.663/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.664/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.665/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.697/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.701/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.702/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.705/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.757/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.759/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.760/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.762/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.763/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.767/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.768/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.769/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.770/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.780/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.782/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.786/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.791/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.793/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.798/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.849/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.851/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.855/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.872/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.877/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.898/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.900/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.959/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.961/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.962/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.963/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.964/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.965/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.966/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.967/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.968/2024

CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.969/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.970/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.971/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.973/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.974/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.976/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.977/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.978/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.979/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.980/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1010/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1011/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1012/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1013/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1014/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1016/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1017/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1018/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1019/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1020/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1028/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1060/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1088/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1104/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1129/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1130/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1131/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1133/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1298/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1319/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1493/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1506/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1540/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1546/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1552/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1557/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1562/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1565/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1569/2024 CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1570/2024

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.975/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARANTAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C I D BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUIDLINGS
BENGALURU-560001. ... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR.
 S/O LATE B R GOPAL GOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
 RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD
 BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR
 BENGALURU 560040.
- 2. Y M MUNIRAJU
 S/O MUNISHAMAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 SANJAYANGAR SUB-DIVISION
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
 R/AT NO. 935, 64TH CROSS
 5TH DIVISION, RAJAJINAGAR
 BENGALURU 10.
- 3. H.P. RAMESH
 S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 WARD NO.100, SANJAYANAGARA

MALLESHWARAM DIVISION WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

PRESENTLY CHIEF ENGINEER K.R. CIRCLE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. L-204, POORVANKARA HEBBALA, KEMPAPURA BENGALURU - 560024.

- 4 . M. NAGESH S/O MAYANNA AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS CONTRACTOR R/AT NO. 3520/A, 7TH CROSS GAYATHRINAGAR BENGALURU - 560 028.
- 5. B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B H THAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP OFFICE
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO. 702, 9TH MAIN ROAD
 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE
 BASAVESHWARANAGAR
 BENGALURU 79.
- 6. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M.MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT
 OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER
 BBMP WEST ZONE
 BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU -40. 7. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISAMY AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS A.E./T.A. BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.
R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALASANDRA BENGALURU - 560061.

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA
ARASIKERE TOWN
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573103. ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 TO R7; SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D., ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. H.SHANTI BHUSHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R4; R2 - SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 CR.P.C BY THE SPP FOR THE STATE PRAYING THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 08.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.139/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1714/2023:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
INVESTIGATED BY DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
CID BENGALURU
REP.STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU - 01. ... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHANNAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS
 RTD. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 SWDB BBMP, 4TH BLOCK
 SHOPPING COMPLEX
 JAYANAGAR (EX EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION)
 R/AT NO.5/15, 3RD MAIN ROAD
 BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU-560026
- 2. B.R. OBAIAH
 S/O B P ROSAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PANCHAYATH RAJ ENGINEERING
 SUB-DIVISION, PONNAMPET
 NORTH COORG,
 R/AT VINOBHA COLONY VILLAGE
 SBRT COLONY POST, HUNASUR TALUK
 MYSORE DISTRICT-571105.
- 3 . S R UDAYA SHANKAR
 S/O LATE S N RAMACHANDRA
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 CLASS-I CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.80, 1ST MAIN ROAD
 CHAMRAJPET, BENGALURU-560018

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. S. RAJASHEKAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.236/2014 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.166/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
CID, BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001 ... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . D. NAGARAJ S/O DODDAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.39, KIADB INDUSTRIAL AREA
 HEREOHALLI POST, HEROHALLI
 TUMAKURU-572127
- 2 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS AE/TA B.B.M.P WEST ZONE BENGALURU

R/AT 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S. LAYOUT CHIKKAKALLASANDRA BENGALURU-560061

ALSO AT BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE CITY, HASSAN DISTRICT

3 . ABDUL GAFOOR S/O SHEIKH MISKIN MAJOR IN AGE JUNIOR ENGINEER, J.J. NAGARA WARD GANDHINAGARA SUB-DIVISION, BBMP BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.21-Q, 4TH STREET 2ND CROSS, THIMMAIAH ROAD, BHARATHI NAGARA, BENGALURU-560 001.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR R1 AND R3; SRI. VIJAY KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER-STATE PRAYING THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.795/2020 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.167/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D POLICE
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . M. PRABHU S/O LATE MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, ASST. ENGINEER, WARD NO.27, GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION, BBMP, BENGALURU, R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS, SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT, MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-560003.

- 2 . B. BASAVARAJ
 S/O BALASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO U/101,
 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS,
 SWATHANTRANAGARA
 SRIRAMPURA,
 BENGALURU-577542.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
 AE/TA, B.B.M.P WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU-01
 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD,
 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S. LAYOUT,
 CHIKKALLASANDRA,
 BENGALURU-61
 ALSO AT BEHIND MINI
 VIDHANA SOUDHA,
 ARASIKERE CITY,
 HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.
- 4 . ABDUL GAFOOR,
 S/O SHEIKH MISKIN,
 AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
 J.J.NAGARA WARD,
 GANDHINAGARA,
 SUB-DIVISION, B.B.M.P.
 R/AT NO 21-Q, 4TH STREET,
 2ND CROSS, THIMMAIAH ROAD,

BHARATHI NAGARA, **BENGALURU-01**

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R4; SRI VIJAY KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3; R1 - SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER-STATE PRAYING THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.729/2018 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.168/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA 1. BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE POLICE STATION (CID) REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT BUILDING BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. C. NARAYANA S/O CHANNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS, RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, SWDB BBMP, 4TH BLOCK CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE,

4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX, JAYANAGAR (EX. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION) R/AT NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA BANGALORE-560026.

- 2 . R. BENAKESHA
 S/O H. RAMAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
 JAYANAGAR SUB-DIVISION, B.B.M.P.
 R/AT 17, SREE RAMA NILAYA,
 2ND CROSS, KUVEMPU LAYOUT,
 ANJANA NAGAR,
 BENGALURU-560091.
- 3. S.R. UDAYA SHANKAR
 S/O LATE S.N. RAMACHANDRA,
 AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
 CLASS-I CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.80, 1ST MAIN ROAD,
 CHAMARAJPET,
 BENGALURU-560018

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. S. RAJASHEKAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER-STATE PRAYING THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.508/2014 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT, BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.185/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION (CID)
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING.
BENGALURU 560001 ... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. ABDUL GAFOOR
 S/O SHEIKH MISKIN,
 AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS,
 JUNIOR ENGINEER,
 J.J.NAGARA WARD,
 GANDHI NAGARA SUB-DIVISION,
 B.B.M.P, BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO 21-Q, 4TH STREET,
 2ND CROSS, THIMMAIAH ROAD,
 BHARATHI NAGARA,
 BENGALURU-560001.
- 2 . SRI. N. SAMPATH KUMAR S/O NARAYANA SHETTY, AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS, CONTRACTOR, R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS, PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA, KAMAKSHIPALYA, BENGALURU-79.
- 3. SRI M. THYAGARAJ S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, CHIEF ACCOUNTANT ENGINEER,

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS, K.R. CIRCLE, BENGALURU, FORMERLY ASSISTANT CONTROLLER (FINANCE), BBMP, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO 62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNYA LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYA NAGARA, 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU 40

4 . SRI M BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
TECHNICAL ADVISOR, B.B.M.P
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
PRESENTLY ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE,
RAJARAJESHWARI NAGARA DIVISION
B.B.M.P CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S. LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-61

PERMANENT ADDRESS: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE CITY, HASSAN DISTRICT.

5 . SRI D.A.ANWAR PASHA, S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN, AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS, ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, T.V.C.C. B.B.M.P, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD, (PIPELINE ROAD), R.P.C. LAYOUT, 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANGARA, BENGALURU-560040.

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVAL, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1, R2 AND R5; SRI. VIJAY KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.252/2016, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.196/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C I D BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001 ... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . ABDUL GAFOOR
 S/O SHEIKH MISKIN,
 AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS,
 RTD. JUNIOR ENGINEER
 J.J.NAGARA WARD, GANDHI NAGARA
 SUB-DIVISION, BBMP, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.21-Q, 4TH STREET
 2ND CROSS THIMMAIAH ROAD
 BHARATHI NAGARA
 BENGALURU-560001.
- 2. B. BASAVARAJ S/O BALASHETTY,

AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS, CONTRACTOR, R/AT NO.U/101, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS, SWATHANTHRAPALYA, GANDHI NAGAR, BENGALURU-09.

3. M. THYAGARAJ S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, CHIEF ACCOUNTANT OFFICER, OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER, NATIONAL HIGHWAYS K.R.CIRCLE, BENGALURU, FORMERLY ASSISTANT CONTROLLER (FINANCE), BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU, R/AT NO 62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYA NAGARA, 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-40.

4. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
TECHNICAL ADVISOR, BBMP,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

PRESENTLY ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE, RAJARAJESHWARI, NAGARA DIVISION, BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKAKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-61 PERMANENT ADDRESS: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKRE CITY HASSAN DISTRICT. 5. D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R.AMEER JAN,
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS,
TVCC BBMP, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.27, 1ST MAIN ROAD, PIPELINE ROAD, R P C LAYOUT, 2ND STAGE, VIJAYA NAGARA, BENGALURU.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1, R2 AND R5; SRI. VIJAY KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.253/2016 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.219/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHANNAPPA
 MAJOR IN AGE,
 RTD. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 (EX. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER)
 RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION,
 R/AT NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD
 BYATARAYANAPURA
 BENGALURU -560026
- 2 . S.R. RAVISHANKAR
 S/O LATE S.N. RAMACHANDRA,
 AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
 CLASS- I CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO 80, 1ST MAIN ROAD,
 CHAMARAJPET,
 BENGALURU 560018

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. PADMAPRASAD B. NASHI, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.664/2017 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER SECTIONS 120B, 409, 415, 418, 477 OF IPC AND SECTIONS 13(1)(c)(d) AND 13(2) OF THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.224/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
 POLICE STATION (CID), BENGALURU
 REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,

HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU - 560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHANNAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 77 YEARS,
 RTD. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 SWDB, BBMP CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE,
 4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX,
 JAYANAGAR (FORMER EXECUTIVE ENGINEER),
 RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION
 R/AT NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD,
 BYATARAYANAPURA
 BANGALORE-560026
- 2. L.K. SHIVANANDA
 S/O KEMPEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
 RTD. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 R/AT 405, 11TH CROSS,
 14TH MAIN ROAD,
 J.P. NAGAR 2ND PHASE,
 BENGALURU-560078.
- 3. CHINNAMAREGOWDA
 S/O EREGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 77 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA SUB-DIVISION,
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA DIVISION,
 BBMP AT PRESENT ASST. ENGINEER,
 PWD DEPARTMENT, MANDYA.

R/AT NO 64, VENKATALINGAIAH LAYOUT, BANNUR ROAD, MYSURU.

4. SHASHINAYAK
S/O LATE DHANAJAYA NAYAK,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
VIKASH ENTERPRISES,
CIVIL CONTRACTOR,
R/AT NO 2, BASAPPA EXTENSION,
PATTANAGERE, RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA
BENGALURU-560098

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R3 AND R4; SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.557/2024 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.225/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D POLICE,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . C. NARAYANA S/O CHANNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS, RTD. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, SWDB, BBMP, 4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX, JAYANAGAR (EX. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION) R/AT NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA BANGALORE-560026.

- 2 . L.K. SHIVANANDA
 S/O KEMPEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS,
 RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 R/AT 405, 11TH CROSS,
 14TH MAIN ROAD,
 J.P. NAGAR, 2ND PHASE,
 BENGALURU-560078.
- 3. S.B. SOMESH S/O BYREGOWDA, AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS, ASST. ENGINEER, RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA SUB-DIVISION, RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA DIVISION, BBMP, PRESENTLY ZONAL OFFICER, DEVELOPMENT OFFICER-3, KIADB, MAHARSHI ANANDABHAVANA, NRUPATHUNGA ROAD, BENGALURU-560098 R/AT NO.185, 9TH CROSS, PARK AND PLAY GROUND, IDIAL HOME, RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA BENGALURU-560098.
- 4. N. MURALIDHAR
 S/O LATE M. NARAYANA,
 AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
 CLASS-I CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO 31, 4TH CROSS,
 7TH BLOCK JAYANAGARA,

BENGALURU 82, PERMANENT VILLAGE: BENGALURU

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R3; SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. PADMAPRASAD B. NASHI, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C BY PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.476/2014 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.226/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
INVESTIGATED BY DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . C. NARAYANA
S/O CHANNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
RTD. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
SWDB, BBMP, 4TH BLOCK
SHOPPING COMPLEX,
JAYANAGAR (EX. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION)

R/AT NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA BANGALORE-560026.

- 2 . R. BENAKESH
 S/O H. RAMAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
 JAYANAGAR SUB-DIVISION, BBMP
 R/AT NO.17, SRIRAMA NILAYA,
 2ND CROSS, KUVEMPU LAYOUT,
 ANJANA NAGAR, BENGALURU-91.
- 3 . S.R. RAVISHANKAR
 S/O LATE S.M. RAMACHANDRA,
 AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
 CLASS-I CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO 80, 1ST MAIN ROAD,
 CHAMRAJPET, BENGALURU-560018.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. PADMAPRASAD B. NASHI, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.477/2014 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.242/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION (CID), BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,

HIGH COURT BUILDING BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHANNAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS,
 RTD. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 SWDB, BBMP, 4TH BLOCK
 SHOPPING COMPLEX, JAYANAGAR
 (EX. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION)
 R/AT NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD,
 BYATARAYANAPURA
 BANGALORE-560026.
- 2 . L.K. SHIVANAND
 S/O KEMPEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
 RTD. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 R/AT 405, 11TH CROSS, 14TH MAIN ROAD,
 J.P. NAGAR 2ND PHASE,
 BENGALURU-560078.
- 3. B.S.ANIL
 S/O B.K. SOMASHEKAR,
 AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,
 R/AT NO.1, 6TH CROSS,
 2ND MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA
 MYSURU ROAD, BENGALURU-560026.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. SWAROOP ANAND R., ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.556/2014 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.243/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING.
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . M. PRABHU
 S/O LATE MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
 WARD NO.27, GANDHINAGAR
 SUB-DIVISION, BBMP,
 BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS,
 SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
 MALLESHWARAM,
 BENGALURU-560003.
- 2 . D. NAGARAJ S/O LATE DODDAIAH, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, CONTRACTOR,

R/AT 40/1, 1ST MAIN ROAD, 4TH CROSS, OPP. TO ANGANAWADI WEST DIVISION, B.K.NAGARA, BENGALURU-560022.

3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, AE/TA, B.B.M.P. WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S. LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-560061

ALSO AT: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE CITY, HASSAN DISTRICT.

4 . ABDUL GAFOOR
S/O SHEIKH MISKIN,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
J.J. NAGARA WARD,
GANDHI NAGARA
SUB-DIVISION, B.B.M.P.
BENGALURU,
R/AT NO.21-Q, 4TH STREET,
2ND CROSS, THIMMAIAH ROAD,
BHARATHI NAGARA,
BENGALURU-560001.

... RESPONDENTS

(R1 TO R4 ARE SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 17.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.727/2018 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.244/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D POLICE,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. D.A. ANWAR PASHA
 S/O LATE H R AMEER JAN,
 AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
 ASST EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD,
 (PIPE LINE ROAD), RPC LAYOUT,
 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGAR,
 BENGALURU-560040.
- 2 . K.B. JAYANNA GOWDA
 S/O LATE BELURE GOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 KENGERI SUB-DIVISION,
 R.R. NAGARA ZONE, BBMP,
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO 558,
 RAILWAY PARALLEL ROAD,
 HAMPINAGARA,
 VIJAYANAGARA II STAGE,
 BENGALURU- 104.

- 3 . R. CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU S/O LATE RAMAPPA, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR, OFFICE SITUATED AT FLAT NO.204, AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO 1, 2ND FLOOR, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-01.
- 4. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D M MUNIRAJAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
 CHIEF CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.),
 OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER,
 BBMP, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO 62, 2ND MAIN ROAD,
 BINNYA LAYOUT,
 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE,
 VIJAYANAGARA, 2ND STAGE,
 BENGALURU-40.
- 5. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, AE/TA B.B.M.P WEST ZONE, BENGALURU-560090

R/AT NO 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S. LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE CITY, HASSAN DISTRICT-573201.

6. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B R GOPAL GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED),
MALLESWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU-560090.

R/AT NO.137, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT CHANRALAYOUT BENGALURU-40.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R3; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.274/2016 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.475/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O. LATE H. NANJEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
(PRESENTLY RETIRED),
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP,
BENGALURU-560 009.

R/AT NO. 1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA, BENGALURU-560 075.

2 . M. PRABHU
S/O. LATE B. MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
BBMP, BENGALURU,
R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS,
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
MALLESHWARAM,
BENGALURU-560 003.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN.

- 3 . R. CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU S/O. LATE RAMAPPA, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, CONTRACTOR, R/AT FLAT NO. 204, 2ND FLOOR, AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1, KUMARA KRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-560 051.
- 4 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O. LATE B.H.THAMMAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP,
 BENGALURU,
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER,
 WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE,
 BENGALURU-560 015.

R/AT NO. 702, 9TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE,

BASAVESHWARANAGARA, BENGALURU-560 079.

5. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O. LATE D. M. MUNIRAJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-560 040.

6. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O. MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU, NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.VIJAY V. BAJENDRI, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R4 TO R6; SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.521/2018 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.480/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C I D BENGALURU.
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
(PRESENTLY RETIRED)
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION,
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP,
BENGALURU - 560009.

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA, BENGALURU - 560075.

2. M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION,
BBMP, BENGALURU,
R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS,
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
MALLESHWARAM,
BENGALURU - 560003.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN.

- 3 . N SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA,
 KAMAKSHIPALYA,
 BENGALURU 560079.
- 4 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B H THAMMAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER, WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU. R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE, BASAVESHWARANGARA, BENGALURU - 79.

5. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU - 40. 6. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT - 572116.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R4 TO R6; SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.422/2018, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.484/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGLAURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHANNAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 SWD, BBMP, CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE
 4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX,
 JAYANAGARA, (THE THEN EXECUTIVE ENGINEER)
 RAJARAJESWARINAGARA DIVISION,
 BENGALURU-560 098
 R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD,
 BYATARAYANAPURA
 BENGALURU-560 026.
- 2. L.K. SHIVANANDA
 S/O KEMPEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS,
 14TH MAIN ROAD,
 J.P.NAGAR, II PHASE,
 BENGALURU-560 078.
- 3. B.P. PRAMESH
 S/O B.K.PUTTEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
 OCC: ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
 R.R. NAGAR, SUB-DIVISION,
 R.R. NAGARA DIVISION, BBMP,
 NOW AT LAND ARMY DIVISION
 JUNIOR ENGINEER,
 NEAR CHINNASWAMY STADIUM,
 RAJABHAVANA ROAD, BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.3009, 13TH MAINT ROAD,
 RPC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGARA ROAD,
 BENGALURU 560 040

NATIVE PLACE BOODANURU GRAMA KERALAPURA, ARKALGUD TALUK HASSAN DISTRICT-573 102. 4. B.S.ANIL S/O B.K.SOMASHEKAR,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
CONTRACTOR,
R/AT NO.1, 6TH CROSS,
2ND MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA
MYSURU RAOD, BENGALURU-26.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. R.SWAROOP ANAND, ADVOCATE FOR R4; SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2; R3-SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 21.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.411/2014, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.486/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C I D BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

<u>AND</u>:

1 . D.A. ANWAR PASHA S/O LATE H.R.AMEER JAN AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS, ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, NATIONAL HIGHWAYS, TVCC, BBMP BENGALURU - 560001

R/AT NO.27, 1ST MAIN ROAD, PIPE LINE ROAD, R P C LAYOUT 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA, BENGALURU – 560104.

2. M. PRABHU S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS ASST. ENGINEER, GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION, BBMP, BENGALURU R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS, SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT, MALLESHWARAM BENGALURU- 560003 PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR - 563101.

- 3 . R. CHANDRU
 S/O LATE RAMAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT AHUJA CHAMBERS
 NO.204, 2ND FLOOR,
 KUMARAKRUPA ROAD,
 BENGALURU 560001.
- 4 . M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M.MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT OFFICER
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
 NATIONAL HIGHWAYS
 K.R.CIRCLE, BENGALURU
 FORMERLY ASSISTANT CONTROLLER
 (FINANCE) BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD,

BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYA NAGARA 2ND STAGE, BANGALORE – 40.

5. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, A E /T A BBMP, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU - 560015 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

> NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R3; SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R1, R4 AND R5)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING THAT THIS HONOURABLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.124/2021 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.487/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,

HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

<u>AND</u>:

1 . ABDUL GAFOOR
S/O SHEIK MISKIN,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
JR. ENGINEER, J.J. NAGAR WARD,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION, BBMP,
BENGALURU.

R/AT HOUSE NO.21-Q, 4TH STREET, 2ND CROSS, TIMMAIAH ROAD, BHARATHI NAGARA, BENGALURU-560049.

- 2 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O NARAYANASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA,
 KAMAKSHIPALYA,
 BENGALURU-560079.
- 3. M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,
 A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU

R/AT NO 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU, NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-572116

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 21.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.124/2021 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.503/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGLAURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. C. NARAYANA
S/O CHANNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
SWD, BBMP CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE
4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX,
JAYANAGARA, (THE THEN EXECUTIVE ENGINEER)

RAJARAJESWARINAGARA DIVISION, BENGALURU R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU-560 026.

- 2. L.K.SHIVANANDA S/O KEMPEGOWDA, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, RETIRED ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS, 14TH MAIN ROAD, J.P.NAGAR, II PHASE, BENGALURU - 560 078.
- 3. B.P. PRAMESH
 S/O B.K.PUTTEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
 OCC: ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
 R.R.NAGAR, SUB-DIVISION,
 R.R.NAGARA DIVISION, BBMP,
 NOW AT LAND ARMY DIVISION
 JUNIOR ENGINEER,
 NEAR CHINNASWAMY STADIUM
 RAJABHAVANA ROAD, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO.3009, 13TH MAINT ROAD, RPC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGARA ROAD, BENGALURU - 560 040 NATIVE PLACE BOODANURU GRAMA KERALAPURA, ARKALGUD TALUK HASSAN DISTRICT-573 102.

4. C. KARIGOWDA
S/O CHIKKABOREGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
CLASS-1 (A) CONTRACTOR,
R/AT NO.46, VENKATALINGAIAH LAYOUT
OPP. MYSURU MILK DIARY,
SIDDHARTH LAYOUT, MYSURU-570011

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. P.M.SIDDAMALLAPPA, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 21.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.555/2014 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.524/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D. POLICE,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O. LATE B.R.GOPAL GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED),
MALLESWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU-560001.

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD, B.C.C. LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 040.

2 . T.N.BETTASWAMAIAH S/O. LATE MASTAIAH, AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS, ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD), YESHWANTHPURA, SUB-DIVISION, MALLESHWARAM DIVISION, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU, R/AT NO.154, 4TH CROSS, SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE, J.P.NAGARA, BENGALURU-560 076.

- 3 . N.S. REVANNA
 S/O. SIDDAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE,
 BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS,
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM,
 BENGALURU-560 086.
- 4 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O. LATE NARAYANASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA,
 KAMAKSHIPALYA,
 BENGALURU-560 079.
- 5 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O. LATE B.H.THAMMAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU,

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER, WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU, R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE, BASAVESHWARANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 079.

6. M. THYAGARAJ S/O. LATE D. M. MUNIRAJAPPA, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.), OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER, BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU, R/AT NO. 62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-560 040.

7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O. MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU,

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU, NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 TO R7; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2, R3, R4 AND R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 CR.P.C BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING THAT THIS HONBLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO SET THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 **PASSED** ASIDE SPL.C.C.NO.135/2020, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CLITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.534/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITIAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D, BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
 S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
 (PRESENTLY RETIRED) ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE,
 ENGINEER, GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
 GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS,
 BEML MAIN ROAD,
 NEW THIPPASANDRA,
 BENGALURU-560075.
- 2. M. PRABHU
 S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
 GANDHINAGAR, BBMP, BENGALURU

R/AT NO. 13, 9TH CROSS, SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT, MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-03 NATIVE: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN, KOLAR-563101.

- 3. N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O NARAYANASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA,
 KAMAKSHIPALYA,
 BENGALURU-560079.
- 4. M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
 AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD,
 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT,
 CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU
 NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA,
 ARASIKERE NAGARA,
 HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.418/2018 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGLAURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.537/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE

POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU, REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . G.R. KUMAR
 S/O. G. H. RAMEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
 ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 WARD NO. 1,
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
 WEST ZONE BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO. 7, 4TH CROSS,
 BRUNDAVANA NAGARA,
 MATHIKERE, BENGALURU-560 086.
- 2 . R. CHANDRU
 S/O. LATE RAMAPPA,
 AGED MAJOR,
 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR,
 OFFICE ADDRESS NO.204,
 AHUJA CHAMBERS,
 NO.1, 2ND FLOOR,
 KUMARAKRUPA ROAD,
 BENGALURU-560 001.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU, NATIVE: BEHIND MINI, VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.PC PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.138/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL. JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.538/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D. POLICE,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O. LATE B. R. GOPAL GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED),
MALLESWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
R/AT NO. 139, 10TH MAIN ROAD,

B.C.C. LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 040.

- 2. T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH
 S/O. LATE MASTAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD),
 YESHWANTHPURA SUB-DIVISION,
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.154, 4TH CROSS,
 SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE,
 J. P. NAGARA, BENGALURU-560 076.
- 3 . N.S. REVANNA
 S/O. SIDDAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE,
 BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS,
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM,
 BENGALURU-560 086.
- 4. B. BASAVARAJ
 S/O. BALASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.U/101, 3RD MAIN ROAD,
 6TH CROSS, SWATHANTRANAGARA,
 SRIRAMAPURA, BENGALURU-570008.
- 5. B.T. RAMESH
 S/O. LATE B.H.THAMMAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU,
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER,
 WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE,
 BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE, BASAVESHWARANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 079.

- 6. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O. LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.),
 OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER,
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD,
 BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE,
 ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE,
 BENGALURU-560 040.
- 7. M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O. MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
 A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU,

R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU, NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 TO R7; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R2, R3, R4 AND R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.PC PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.34/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.542/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU.
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H NANJEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION,
GANGHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP
BENGALURU-560009

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU-560075.

2 . M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
BBMP,BENGALURU,
R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS,
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
MALLESHWARAM,
BEGALURU-560003

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN-563101.

- 3 . B.N. VISHWANATH
 S/O LATE B S NAGARAJ,
 AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.J.123, 3RD CROSS,
 PIPE LINE ROAD,
 D.T. ROAD, SHESHADRIPURAM
 BENGALURU-560020.
- 4 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B H THAMMAIHA,
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
 OFFICE OT THE BBMP BENGALURU
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER,
 WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE,
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO. 702, 9TH MAIN ROAD,
 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE
 BASAVESHWARANAGAR,
 BENGALURU-79.
- 5. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D M MUNIRAJAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
 BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-40. 6. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

> R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R6; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R5; R2 - SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.PC PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.618/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL. JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.543/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B R GOPAL GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER(RETIRED)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE BENGALURU

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD, BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU-560040.

2 . H.P. RAMESH
S/O LATE PUTTATHIMME GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WARD NO.100, SANJAYNAGAR,
MATHIKERE SUB DIVISION,
MALLEHSWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

PRESENTLY CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE, K.R CIRCLE, BENGALURU R/AT NO.L-204, POORVANKAR, HEBBAL, KEMPAPURA, BENGLAURU-560024.

3. M.S. VENKATESH
S/O MARAPPA NAIDU,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
CIVIL CONTRACTOR,
R/AT NO.163, 5TH CROSS,
2ND MAIN PRAKASH NAGAR,
BENGALURU-21.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA, ADVOCATE FOR R2; R3 - SERVED) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.PC PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.338/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.544/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA
S/O SIDDAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
BBMP HEAD OFFICE,
BENGALURU

R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS, MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU-560086.

2 . R. CHANDRU S/O LATE RAMAPPA, AGED MAJOR, 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR, OFFICE ADDRESS NO.204 AHUJA CHAMBER, 2ND FLOOR, NO.1 KUMARA KRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-560001.

R/AT NO.126, 6TH MAIN ROAD, IN BETWEEN 12 AND 13TH CROSS, MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-03.

3 . K. MURULASIDDAPPA S/O KARINAYAK, AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, JUNIOR ENGINEER, TECHNICAL ASSISTANT, CHIEF ENGINEER, BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

PRESENTLY PLANNING DIVISION, DAASRAHALLI, BENGALURU-560057.

R/AT NO.8, 2ND CROSS, KUVEMPUNAGARA, T DASARAHALLI, BENGALURU-03.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.PC PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.136/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.547/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY C.I.D. POLICE, BENGALURU,
 METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE, BENGALURU,

REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . N.S. REVANNA
 S/O. SIDDAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 BBMP CENTRL OFFICE,
 BENGALURU,
 R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS,
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM,
 BENGALURU-560 086.
- 2 . D. NAGARAJ S/O. LATE DODDAIAH, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, CONTRACTOR, R/AT NO.39, KIADB, INDUSTRIAL AREA, HEREHALLI POST, HEREHALLI, TUMKUR-570001.
- 3 . K. MARALUSIDDAPPA
 S/O. KARINAIKA,
 AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
 JUNIOR ENGINEER,
 TECHNICAL ASSISTANT,
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,
 PROJECT DIVISION,
 DASARAHALLI, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.8, 2ND CROSS,
 KUVEMPUNAGARA, T. DASARAHALLI,
 BENGALURU-560 003.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.122/2021 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.623/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE P
 OLICE STATION, C.I.D, BENGALURU,
 REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
 HIGH COURT BUILDING,
 BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H NANJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.1282,
1ST CROSS, BEML ROAD,
NEW THIPPASANDRA
BENGALURU -560075

- 2 . S. SHIVAMALLU
 S/O SIDDEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
 ASST ENGINEER GANDHI
 NAGAR SUB-DIVISION
 GANDHI NAGAR DIVISION
 BBMP, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.1238, 11TH CROSS,
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU
 NATIVE: JADAGANAPURA
 MALAVLALI TALUK
 MANDYA DISTRICT 571430
- 3 . CHANDRU @ R. CHANDRAPPA S/O LATE RAMAPPA AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS CONTRACTOR R/AT FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR, AHUJA CHAMBERS NO.01, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU 51.
- 4 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
 WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD,
 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE
 BASAVESHWARA NAGAR
 BENGALURU 79.
- 5. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M.MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RETD)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, CHIKKALASANDRA, BENGALURU ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGAR 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU – 40.

6. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
A E /TA BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT 3 CHIKKALASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT - 573103

- 7. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
 S/O LATE B R GOPAL
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETD)
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD,
 BCC LAYOUT VIJAYANAGARA
 BENGALURU 40
- 8 . T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH
 S/ O LATE MASTAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
 ASST EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETD)
 YESHWANTHPURA SUB-DIVISION
 WEST ZONE BENGALURU

R/AT NO,154, 4TH CROSS, SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE J.P.NAGARA, BENGALURU - 76 (BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R8; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R7; R1 AND R2 - SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.730/2018, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.642/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHANNAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR DIVISION,
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD,
 BYATARAYANAPURA
 BENGALURU-560026.
- MADHUKAR S/O SHIVARAMEGOWDA

AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS CLASS-I CONTRACTOR R/AT NO.23, 2ND MAIN ROAD, 2ND STAGE WEST OF CHORD ROAD RAJAJIANGAR, BENGALURU-86

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. LOKESHA M.Y., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.665/2017 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADLD. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.650/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
(PRESENTLY RETIRED)
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION

GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP, BENGALURU-560 009

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU-560 075.

2 . M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT
MALLESHWARAM
BENGALURU-560 003

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATEEXTENSION KOLAR TOWN.

3 . B. BASAVARAJ
S/O BALASHETTY
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS
CONTRACTOR
R/AT NO.U/101
3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS
SWARATHANTRA NAGARA
SRIRAMPURA, BENGALURU-560 021.

4 . B.T. RAMESH S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE

BASAVESHWARANAGAR BENGALURU-79.

- 5 . M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD,
 BINNY LAYOUT 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE,
 VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE
 BENGALURU-40.
- 6 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

7 . D.A ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H R AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT BI,27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD,
(PIPE LINE ROAD) R.P.C LAYOUT
2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA
BENGALURU-40.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R7; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R6; R1 AND R2 - SERVED) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.29/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.651/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D POLICE,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . N.S. REVANNA
 S/O SIDDAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE,
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS,
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM,
 BENGALURU-560086.
- 2 . R. CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU S/O LATE RAMAPPA, 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR, OFFICE SITUATED AT FLAT NO.204 AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1,

2ND FLOOR, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-01

R/AT NO.126, 6TH MAIN ROAD, IN BETWEEN 12TH AND 13TH CROSS, MALLESHWARM, BENGALURU-03.

3. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
AE/TA, BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU-01

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-61 ALSO AT BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE CITY HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.855/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.652/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATIN, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

HIGH COURT BUILDING BENGALURU-560 001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . R. CHANDRAPPA
 S/O LATE RAMAPPA
 AGED MAJOR
 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR
 OFFICE SITUATED AT FLAT NO.24,
 AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1,
 2ND FLOOR, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD,
 BENGALURU 01.
- 2 . M. BAAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS
 AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGLAURU
 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD,
 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT
 CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU
 NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA
 ARASIKERE NAGARA
 HASSAN DISTRICT-573103

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. VIJAYAKUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.860/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.653/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGLAURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH CORT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H NANJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
(PRESENTLY RETIRED)
ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN RAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU-75.

2. M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
ASST. ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS,
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
MALLESHWARAM
BENGALURU-03

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN.

- 3 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O NARAYANASHETTY
 AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGAR,
 KAMAKSHIPALYA
 BENGALURU-79.
- 4 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B H THAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP BENGALURU
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
 WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD,
 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE
 BASAVESHWARANAGAR
 BENGALURU-79.
- 5. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD,
 BINNY LAYOUT,
 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE
 VIJAYANAGAR 2ND STAGE,
 BENGALURU-40.
- 6 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,

A E/TA BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. GOPI P.M., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. VIJAY KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R6 SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1202/2019, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE AND TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.654/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, CID BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS.
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.39, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU – 560040.

2 . T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH
S/O LATE MASTAIAH
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD.)
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
YESHWANTHPURA SUB-DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.154, 4TH CROSS, SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE, J.P.NAGARA, BENGALURU – 76.

3 . G.R. KUMAR
S/O G.H. RAMEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
WARD NO.01, MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.7, 4TH CROSS, BRUNDAVANA NAGARA, MATHIKERE, BENGALURU – 86.

4. N. SAMPATH KUMAR
S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
CONTRACTOR,
R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,

PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA, KAMASHIPALYA, BENGALURU – 79.

5 . B.T. RAMESH
S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU
PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE,
BENGALURU

R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE, BASAVESHWARANAGARA BENGALURU – 79.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RETD.)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU – 40.

7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI VIJAYAKUMAR V.B, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5, R6 AND R7; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.32/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.655/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D POLICE,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN, TASK FORCE,
BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA
S/O SIDDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
ASST. ENGINEER,
BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS,
MAHALAKSHMIPURAM,
BENGALURU-560086.

- 2 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O NARAYANASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGAR,
 KAMKSHIPALYA, BENGALURU-79.
- 3. M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
 AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU-01
 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD,
 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S LAYOUT,
 CHIKKALLASANDRA,
 BENGALURU-61
 ALSO AT BEHIND MINIVIDHANA SOUDHA,
 ARASIKERE CITY
 HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAY KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.456/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.660/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
 POLICE STATION, CID, BENGALURU,

REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
 S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
 (PRESENTLY RETIRED)
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
 GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP
 BENGALURU -560009
 R/AT NO.1282 , 1ST CROSS,
 BEML ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA
 BENGALURU -560075.
- 2 . M. PRABHU
 S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
 BBMP, BENGALURU.
 R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS,
 SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT
 MALLESHWARAM
 BENGALURU 560003

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN.

3 . R. CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU S/O LATE RAMAPPA AGED MAJOR 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR R/AT NO.204, AHUJA CHAMBERS NO.01, 2ND FLOOR, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU - 560001 R/AT NO.126, 6TH MAIN ROAD, IN BETWEEN 12 AND 13TH CROSS, MALLESHWARAM BENGALURU - 03

4. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D M MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RETD.)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGAR 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU – 40.

5 . M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A E /TA BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT – 573103.

6 . D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD, PIPE LINE ROAD, R.P.C. LAYOUT 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA BENGALURU – 40.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R1, R4 AND R5; SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.28/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY B ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.663/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
 POLICE STATION, CID, BENGALURU,
 REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
 HIGH COURT BUILDING,
 BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
ASST. ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION

GANDHINAGAR, BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.12, 9TH CROSS SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT MALLESHWARAM, BENGALUR-03 NATIVE SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN, KOLAR-563101.

- 2 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY
 AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA
 KAMAKSHIPALYA
 BENGALURU-560079.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
 A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLANSANDRA, BENGALURU

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT-572116.

4 . HARISH KUMAR D., S/O LATE DASAPPA AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS JUNIOR ENGINEER COTTONPET, WARD NO.120, R/AT NO.09, 2ND CROSS, RAMAKRISHNA STREET SHESHADRIPURAM BENGALURU -572116 AND ALSO AT SATHANURU MANDYA-571401

5 . M.K. MANJAIAH
S/O KARIGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
MALLESHWARAM SUB-DIVISION
BANGALORE -560003
R/AT NO.58, JNANAJYOTHI NAGAR
BANGALORE-560056.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. AJAY S., ADVOCATE FOR R4; SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R5)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.728/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.664/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, CID, BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . S. SHIVAMALLU
 S/O SIDDEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 GANDHI NAGAR SUB-DIVISION
 GANDHI NAGAR DIVISION, BBMP,
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.1238, 11TH CROSS,
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM,
 WEST OF CHORD ROAD
 BENGALURU -560086.
- 2 . B. BASAVARAJ
 S/O BALASHETTY
 AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.U/101,
 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS,
 SWATHANTRANAGARA,
 SRIRAMPURA, BENGALURU 560021
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
 A E /TA BBMP WEST ZONE
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD,
 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT
 CHIKKALASANDRA, BENGALURU
 NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA
 ARASIKERE TOWN
 HASSAN DISTRICT 573103

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.30/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.665/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . S. SHIVAMALLU S/O SIDDEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS ASST. ENGINEER GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION BBMP, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.1238, 11TH CROSS, MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU, ALSO JADAGANAPURA MALAVALLI TALUK MANDYA DISTRICT-571430.

2 . R. CHANDRAPPA @ R. CHANDRU S/O RAMAPPA AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS PROP: M/S. ISHWARYA INFRASTRUCTURES AND DEVELOPERS R/AT FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR AHUJA CHAMBERS NO.1 KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-01 (CONTRACTOR)

3 . M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
TECHNICAL ADVISER
BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

PRESENTLY ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROAD & CIVIC AMENITIES R.R.NAGAR DIVISION, BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE BENGALURU-560098.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.527/2018, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.697/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D POLICE, BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, BENGALURU

REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . H.P. RAMESH
 S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 WARD NO.100, SANJAYANAGAR,
 MATTIKERE SUB-DIVISION,
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
 PRESENTLY CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE,
 K.R. CIRLCE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.L-204, POORVANAKAR,
 HEBBAL, KEMPAPURA,
 BENGALURU-560024.
- 2 . M. NAGESH
 S/O MAYANNA,
 AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
 R/AT NO.3520/A,
 7TH CROSS,
 GAYATHRI NAGAR,
 BENGALURU-560010.
- 3. M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
 AE/TA, BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU-01
 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD,
 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S LAYOUT,
 CHIKKALLASANDRA,
 BENGALURU-61

ALSO AT BEHIND MINIVIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE CITY HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. H.SHANTHI BHUSHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.858/2019 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.701/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATIN, C.I.D BENGAURU
REP. BY STATE PUBIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . S. SHIVAMALLU
S/O SIDDEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
ASST. ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISON
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1238, 11TH CROSS, MAHALASKHIMPURAM, BENGALURU

NATIVE: JADAGANAPURA MALAVALLI TALUK MANDYA DISTRICT-571430.

- 2. CHANDRU
 S/O LATE RAMAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR,
 BENGALURU-51.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, 3 CHIKKALLANSANDRA, BENGALURU. NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

4 . T.N.BETTASWAMAIAH
S/O LATE MASTAIAH
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD.)
YESHWANTHPURA SUB-DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.154, 4TH CROSS SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE J.P.NAGARA, BENGALURU-76.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R4; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.731/2018 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.702/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D. POLICE,
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O. LATE B.R.GOPAL GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED),
MALLESWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD,
B.C.C.LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 040.

2. T.N.BETTASWAMAIAH
S/O. LATE MASTAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD.),
YESHWANTHPURA SUB-DIVISION,
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO.154, 4TH CROSS, SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE, J.P.NAGARA, BENGALURU-560 076.

3. G.R.KUMAR
S/O. G.H.RAMEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WARD NO.1,
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO.7, 4TH CROSS, BRUNDAVANA NAGARA, MATHIKERE, BENGALURU-86.

4. M.S. VENKATESH
S/O. MARAPPA NAIDU,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
CIVIL CONTRACTOR,
R/AT NO.163, 5TH CROSS, 2ND MAIN,
PRAKASH NAGARA,
BENGALURU-560 021.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3; SMT. HEMALATHA S., ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.339/2018 ON THE FILE OF

THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.705/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
 POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
 REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
 HIGH COURT BUILDING
 BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
ASST. ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.13, 19TH CROSS,
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
MALAESHWARAM, BENGALURU-03

PERMANENT ADDRES: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN.

2 . D. NAGARAJ S/O LATE DODDAIAH AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS CONTRACTOR R/AT NO.39, KIADB INDUSTRIAL AREA TUMKUR-572104. 3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLAANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.31/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.757/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D, BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA S/O SIDDAPPA AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER, BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS, MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU-560086.

- 2 . R. CHANDRU
 S/O RAMAPPA
 AGED MAJOR
 PROP: M/S ISHWARAYA INFRASTRUCTURES
 AND DEVELOPERS, AHUJA CHAMBER,
 FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR,
 NO.01, KUMARA PARK ROAD,
 BENGALURU-560001.
- 3. M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
 A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU-61 NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT-573125

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.116/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.759/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
 POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU,
 REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
 HIGH COURT BUILDING,
 BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O. LATE H.NANJEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
RETIRED ASSISTANT
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION,
BBMP, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA, BENGALURU-560 075.

2 . M. PRABHU S/O. LATE B. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
BBMP, BENGALURU,
R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS,
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
MALLESHWARAM,
BENGALURU-560 003.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN.

- 3 . B. BASAVARAJ S/O. BALASHETTY, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, CONTRACTOR, R/AT NO. U/101, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS, SWATHANTRANAGARA, SRIRAMAPURA, BENGALURU-560 021.
- 4 . B.T. RAMESH S/O. LATE B.H.THAMMAIAH, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER, OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU,

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER, WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.

5 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU,

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R5; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3; R2 - SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.144/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENECS UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.760/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C I D BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.P. RAMESH S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA, AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER, WARD NO.100, SANJAYANAGARA, MATTIKERE SUB DIVISION, MALLESHWARAM DIVISION, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU -03.

PRESENTLY CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE, K R CIRCLE, BENGALURU. R/AT NO.L-204, POORVANKAR, HEBBAL KEMPAPURA, BENGALURU - 560024.

- 2 . M. NAGESH S/O MAYANNA, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, R/AT NO.3520/A, 7TH CROSS, GAYATHRI NAGARA, BENGALURU - 560021.
- 3 . M BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
 A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
 BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU - 61, ALSO AT BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT-573125.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE CITY, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. SHANTHI BHUSHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.569/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BE ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.762/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. C. NARAYANA,
 S/O CHANNAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR DIVISION,
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD,
 BYATARAYANAPURA,
 BENGALURU 560026.
 PERMANENT ADDRESS:
 BOMMAPALLI, CHINTHAMANI TALUK,
 CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT.
- 2. N. MURALIDHAR S/O LATE M.NARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, CLASS-I, CONTRACTOR,

R/AT NO.31, 4TH CROSS, 7TH BLOCK, JAYANAGARA, BENGALURU - 82.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI.PADMAPRASAD B. NASHI, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 27.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.167/2017 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.763/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
(PRESENTLY RETIRED)
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION,
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA, BENGALURU-560075.

2. M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO 13, 9TH CROSS
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
MALLESHWARAM,
BENGALURU-560003

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN.

- 3 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY
 AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA
 KAMAKSHIPALYA
 BENGALURU-79.
- 4. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-40. 5. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,.
A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT

6. D.A.ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R.AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD
(PIPE LINE ROAD) RPC LAYOUT
2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA
BENGALURU-40

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. GOPI P.M., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCAE FOR R2; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R6; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R5)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.140/2020 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.767/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C I D BENGALURU.
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA S/O SIDDAPPA, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER, BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS, MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU - 560086.

- 2 . B. BASAVARAJ
 S/O BALA SHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.U-101,
 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS,
 SWARATHANTRA NAGARA,
 SRIRAMPURA,
 BENGALURU 560021.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,

A E / T A, BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU - 61, NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT - 573125.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.572/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.768/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.P. RAMESH,
S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
WARD NO.100,
SANJAYANAGARA,
MATTIKERE SUB-DIVISION,
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU - 03

PRESENTLY CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE, K.R.CIRCLE, BENGALURU R/AT NO.L-204, POORVANKAR, HEBBAL KEMPAPURA, BENGALURU - 560024.

2 . M. BASAVARAJU, S/O MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, A E / T A, BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU - 61 ALSO AT BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT - 573125.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.570/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND

THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.769/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . C. NARAYANA, S/O CHANNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS, RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, RAJARAJESWARINAGAR DIVISION, R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU - 560026.
- 2 . B.R. OBAIAH, S/O ROSAIAH, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER, PANCHAYATH RAJ ENGINEERING SUB-DIVISION, PONNAM PET, DAKSHINA KODAGU,

R/AT VINOBHA COLONY VILLAGE, C.B.T. COLONY POST, HUNUSURU TALUK, MYSURU DISTRICT, ALSO AT: VINOBHA COLONY VILLAGE, C.B.T. COLONY, HUNUSURU.

3 . C. LOKESH,
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
CLASS-I CONTRACTOR,
R/AT NO. 72, 5TH E MAIN,
BHOVI COLONY,
2ND STAGE, 3RD BLOCK,
BASAVESHWARANAGARA
BENGALURU - 79.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. S. RAJASHEKAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.525/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.770/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N.PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H.NANJEGOWDA,
AGED MAJOR
RTD. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA, BENGALURU - 560075.

2. M. PRABHU, S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, ASST. ENGINEER, GANDHINAGAR, SUB-DIVISION, BBMP, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS, SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT, MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU - 03 NATIVE: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN.

- 3 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O LATE NARAYASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA
 KAMAKSHIPALYA,
 BENGALURU 79.
- 4 . B.T.RAMESH S/O LATE B.H.THAMMAIAH AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS

RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU
PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD
3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE
BASAVESHWARA NAGAR
BENGALURU-79.

5. M. THYAGARAJ, S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA, AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,) OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU - 40.

6. M. BASAVARAJU, S/O MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

7. D.A. ANWAR PASHA,
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD,
(PIPE LINE ROAD), R.P.C. LAYOUT,

2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA, BENGALURU - 40.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKAR K., ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R7; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R6; SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.137/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.780/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD, BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU-560040.

2 . Y.M. MUNIRAJU
S/O LATE MUNISHAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD)
MATTIKERE SUB DIVISION,
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO 64TH CROSS, 5TH DIVISION, RAJAJINAGARA BENGALURU-10.

- 3. M.K. HARISH
 S/O M. KODANDARAMA
 AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 WARD NO.36, MATTIKERE SUB-DIVISION,
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
- 4. C. KARIGOWDA
 S/O CHIKKABOREGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,
 CLASS-I(A) CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO 46, VENKATALINGHA,
 LAYOUT, OPPOSITE TO MYSURU MILK DIARY
 SIDDARTHA LAYOUT
 MYSURU-11.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3; SRI. M.PARTHA, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.107/2018 ON THE FILE OF

THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGLAURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.782/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
 POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU,
 REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
 HIGH COURT BUILDING,
 BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . G.R. KUMAR S/O. G.H. RAMEGOWDA, AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER, WARD NO.1,MALLESHWARAM DIVISION, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

> R/AT NO.7, 4TH CROSS, BRUNDAVANA NAGAR, MATHIKERE, BENGALURU-560 086.

2. M. MANJUNATH S/O. LATE MUDDURNALLAIAH, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, R/AT NO.58/B, 1ST BLOCK, RAJAJINAGAR, BENGALURU-10. 3. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O. MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU, ALSO AT BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI.VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. SHANTHI BHUSHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.800/2020 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THE RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.786/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALUUR METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE,
 POLICE STATIN, C.I.D. BENGALURU
 REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
 HIGH COURT BUILDING,
 BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . G.L.KESHAVA MURTHY
S/O LANKAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
ASST. ENGINEER,
WARD NO.99, MATTIKERE SUB-DIVISION,
MALLESHWARAM, BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.345, 10TH CROSS, SARASWATHPURAM, NANDINI LAYOUT, BENGALURU-96.

- 2. C.K. ANAND
 S/O KEMPAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS
 R/AT NO.64/E, 14TH MAIN
 VIJAYANAGAR,
 BENGALURU-40
 ALSO AT 10TH CROSS,
 GUTTALU COLONY, MANDYA.
- 3 . M. BASAVARJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
 A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE
 BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU.

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M.GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3; SRI. SHANTHI BHUSHAN H., ADVOCATE FOR R2) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.791/2020 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.791/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORC POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

<u>AND</u>:

1 . B. G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O. LATE B. R. GOPAL GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD.,)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO. 139, 10TH MAIN ROAD, BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 040.

2 . T. N. BETTASWAMAIAH S/O. LATE MASTAIAH, AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS, ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD), YESHWANTHPURA SUB-DIVISION, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO. 154, 4TH CROSS, SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE, J. P. NAGARA, BENGALURU-560 076.

3 . N. S. REVANNA S/O. SIDDAPPA, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER, BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 566, 4TH CROSS, MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU-560 086.

- 4 . B. BASAVARAJ S/O. BALASHETTY, AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS, CONTRACTOR, R/AT NO. U/101, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS SWATHANTRANAGARA, SRIRAMPURA, BENGALURU-560 021.
- 5 . B. T. RAMESH S/O.LATE B. H. THAMMAIAH AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE OF THE BBMP BENGALURU,

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.
R/AT NO. 702, 9TH MAIN ROAD,
3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE,
BASAVESHWARA NAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 079.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O. LATE D. M. MUNIRAJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RETD.,),
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU,

R/AT NO. 62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, CHIKKALLANSANDRA, BENGALURU, ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-560 040.

7. M. BASAVARAJU S/O. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU-573 103

> R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 TO R7; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2, R3 AND R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 23.08.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.33/2020 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.793/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. D. A. ANWAR PASHA
 S/O. LATE H.R.AMEER JAN
 AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
 ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO. 27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD
 (PIPE LINE ROAD), RPC LAYOUT
 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGAR,
 BENGALURU-560 040.
- 2. M. PRABHI
 S/O. LATE B. MUNISWAMY,
 AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
 ASST. ENGINEER,
 GANDHINAGAR DIVISION,
 R/AT NO. 19, 11TH CROSS,
 SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT,
 MALLESHWARAM,
 BENGALURU-560 003,
 PERMANENT ADDRESS:
 SANTHE GATE EXTENSION,
 KOLAR TOWN.

3 . R. CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU S/O. LATE RAMAPPA, AGED MAJOR, 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR, OFFICE SITUATED AT FLAT NO. 204, AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1, 2ND FLOOR, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-560 001, R/AT NO.126, 6TH MAIN ROAD, IN BETWEEN 12TH AND 13TH CROSS, MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-560 003.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R3; R2 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.856/2019 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.798/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION,
C.I.D. BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,

HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H. N. PRABHAKAR
S/O. LATE H. NANJEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION,
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 1282, 1ST CROSS, BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA, BENGALURU-560 075.

- 2. S. SHIVAMALLU S/O. SIDDEGOWDA, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, R/AT NO. 1238, 11^{TH} MAIN, 12^{TH} CROSS, MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU-560 003.
- 3 . R. CHANDRU S/O. RAMAPPA, PROPRIETOR M/S. AISHWARYA INFRASTRUCTURES AND DEVELOPERS, AHUJA CHAMBERS, FLAT NO. 204, 2ND FLOOR, NO.1, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-560 051.
- 4. B. T. RAMESH
 S/O. LATE B. H. THAMMAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP,
 BENGALURU.

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER, WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.
R/AT NO. 702, 9TH MAIN ROAD,

3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE, BASAVESHWARANAGARA, BENGALURU-560 079.

5. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O. LATE D. M. MUNIRAJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
CHIEF ACCOUNANT (RTD.),
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 62, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-560 040.

6. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O. MUNISWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS,
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU, NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

7. D. A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O. LATE H. R. AMEER JAN,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU,
R/AT NO. 27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD,
(PIPE LINE ROAD), R.P.C. LAYOUT,
2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA,
BENGALURU-560 040.

(BY SRI. GOPI P.M., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2; SRI KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R3 & R7 SRI VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.141/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.849/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/.W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . C.R.MAHESH KUMAR, S/O. RANGEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS ASST. ENGINEER MALLESHWARAM DIVISION WEST ZONE, BENGALURU R/AT NO.1431, 2ND MAIN ROAD CHANDRA LAYOUT BENGALURU -560 040.

- 2 . D. NAGARAJ, S/O. LATE DODDAIAH AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS CONTRACTOR R/AT NO.39 KIADB INDUSTRIAL AREA HEREHALLI POST HEREHALLI, TUMKUR-572 104.
- 3 . K. MARALUSIDDAPPA, S/O.KARINAIKA AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS JUNIOR ENGINEER TECHNICAL ASSISTANT OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

PRESENTLY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PROJECT DIVISION, DASARAHALLI, BENGALURU R/AT NO.8, 2ND CROSS, KUVEMPUNAGAR, T.DASARAHALLI BENGALURU-560 057.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. C.R. GOPALASWAMY, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. BHARGAV G., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.793/2020 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.851/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU -560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S.REVANNA S/O.SIDDAPPA AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU-560 086.

- 2 . B. BASAVARAJ, S/O. BALASHETTY AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS CONTRACTOR R/AT NO.U/101 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS SWARATHANTRA NAGARA SRIRAMPURA, BENGALURU-560 021.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU, S/O. MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS

AE/TA, BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU-560 061. NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT-573 125.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2; SRI VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.455/2019, ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.855/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . N.S.REVANNA
 S/O. SIDDAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE
 BENGALURU.
 R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM
 BENGALURU-560 086.
- 2 . R.CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU, S/O. LATE RAMAPPA AGE : MAJOR 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR OFFICE SITUATED AT FLAT NO.204 AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1 2ND FLOOR, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD BENGALURU - 560 001.

R/AT NO.126, 6^{TH} MAIN ROAD, IN BETWEEN 12^{TH} AND 13^{TH} CROSS, MALLESHWARAM BENGALURU-560 031.

3 . M. BASAVARAJU, S/O. MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, AE/TA, BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-61 NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT-573 125.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2; SRI VIJAYA KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.857/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.872/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. G.R.KUMAR
 S/O.G.H.RAMEGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER, BDA
 R/AT NO.54, 6TH CROSS
 BRUNDAVANA NAGARA
 MATHIKERE, BENGALURU -560 054.
- R. CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU,
 S/O. LATE RAMAPPA
 AGE: MAJOR

1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR OFFICE SITUATED AT FLAT NO.204 AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1 2ND FLOOR, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD BENGALURU-560 001.

R/AT NO.126, 6TH MAIN ROAD IN BETWEEN 12TH AND 13TH CROSS MALLESHWARAM BENGALURU-560 003.

3 . K. MARALUSIDDAPPA,
S/O. KARINAIKA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
JUNIOR ENGINEER/TECHNICAL ASSISTANT
O/O.THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
PRESENTLY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROJECT DIVISION, DASARAHALLI
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.8, 2ND CROSS KUVEMPUNAGARA T.DASARAHALLI BENGALURU - 560 057.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2; SRI VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.859/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.877/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . G.L.KESHAVA MURTHY
 S/O. LANKAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS
 ASST. ENGINEER, WARD NO.99
 MATTIKERE SUB-DIVISION
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
 BBMP, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.345, 10TH CROSS
 SARASWATHIPURA
 NANDINI LAYOUT
 BENGALURU-560 096.
- 2 . K.C. CHANDRASHEKAR
 S/O. LATE CHIKKAPUTTEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS
 R/AT NO.64/E, GROUND FLOOR
 14TH CROSS/MAIN, VIJAYANAGAR
 BENGALURU-560 040
 ALSO AT KT-814, 8TH CROSS
 CHAMUNDESHWARINAGAR
 BENGALURU-571 401.

3 . M. BASAVARAJU, S/O. MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. GOPI P.M., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. H. SHANTHI BHUSHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.792/2020 ON THE FILE OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.898/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION,
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. N.S. REVANNA
S/O. SIDDAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE,
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS, MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU-560 086.

- 2. N. SAMPATH KUMAR S/O. NARAYANASHETTY, AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS, CONTRACTOR, R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS, PUSHPAVATHINAGAR, KAMAKSHIPALYA, BENGALURU-560 079.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER/ BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLANSANDRA, BENGALURU, NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2; SRI VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.571/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.900/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION,
C.I.D., BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . G.R. KUMAR S/O. G.H.RAMEGOWDA, AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS, ASSISTANT ENGINEER, WARD NO.1, MALLESHWARAM DIVISION, WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

> R/AT NO.7, 4TH CROSS, BRUNDAVANA NAGAR, MATHIKERE, BENGALURU-560 086.

2 . R. CHANDRU S/O. RAMAPPA, AGED MAJOR, PROPRIETOR-M/S. ISHWARYA INFRASTRUCTURES AND DEVELOPERS, AHUJA CHAMBERS, FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR, NO.1, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-560 001.

3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE. BENGALURU

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU. ALSO AT: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2; SRI VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.88/2022 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLWOING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.959/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU

REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT BUILDING BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

<u>AND</u>:

1. C. NARAYANA
S/O. CHANNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER OF
RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR DIVISION,
R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD
BYATARAYANAPURA
BENGALURU-560 026.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: BOMMANAHALLI, CHINTAMANI TALUK CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT-562 101.

- 2. L.K.SHIVANAND
 S/O. KEMPEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
 RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
 R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS
 14TH MAIN ROAD
 J.P. NAGAR, II PHASE,
 BENGALURU-560 078.
- 3. CHENNAMAREGOWDA
 S/O. EREGOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA SUB DIVISION,
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA DIVISION, BBMP,

R/AT: 404, BASAPPA LAYOUT, BHEL LAYOUT, NEAR ANJANEYA TEMPLE RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA BENGALURU-560 098.

4 . S.M.RAJU S/O. MARIYAPPA, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,

> R/AT: NO 59, 5TH MAIN ROAD, 11TH CROSS, NGEF LAYOUT, NAGARABHAVI BENGALURU-560 072.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R3 & R4; SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD. 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.336/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PC ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS CRL.RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.961/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION,
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU – 560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . M. PRABHU, S/O. LATE B. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER,

> R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS, SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT, MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU – 560 003. NATIVE: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN KOLAR – 563 101.

- 2 . R. CHANDRAPPA @ CHANDRU S/O. RAMAPPA, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, PROP: M/S. ISHWARYA INFRASTRUCTURES AND DEVELOPERS R/AT FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR, AHUJA CHAMBERS NO.1, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU 01.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU, S/O. MUNISWAMY, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS, AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT – 573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2; SRI. VIJAY KUMAR V.B., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 20.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.617/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PC ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.962/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C.I.D. POLICE (BMTF),
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . C. NARAYANA S/O. CHENNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS, RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER OF RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION,

> R/AT: NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA BENGALURU-560 026 PERMANENT ADDRESS: BOMMANAPALLI, CHINTAMANI TALUK CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT-563 125.

2 . L.K. SHIVANAND S/O. KEMPEGOWDA, AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS, RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, R.R.NAGAR SUB DIVISION AND DIVISION, BBMP, BENGALURU,

R/AT: NO.405, 11^{TH} CROSS, 14^{TH} MAIN ROAD, J.P. NAGAR, 2^{ND} PHASE, BENGALURU-560 078.

3 . K. M. KUMARASWAMY S/O. MARANNA, AGED ABOUT 46 YERARS, JUNIOR ENGINEER RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR SUB DIVISION

R/AT NO.375, 4TH MAIN ROAD, 6TH 'B' CROSS, AROGYA LAYOUT, ANNAPOORNESHWARI NAGAR, BENGALURU-560 091

NATIVE PLACE: KARIOBANAHALLI, HERIYURU TALUK, CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577 501.

4 . N. MURALIDHAR S/O. LATE M. NARAYANA, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, CLASS-I CONTRACTOR,

> R/AT NO.31, 4TH CROSS, 7TH BLOCK, JAYANAGARA, BENGALURU-560 082 NATIVE PLACE BENGALURU.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3; SRI. PADMAPRASAD B. NASHI, ADVOCATE FOR R4) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD. 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.437/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PC ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.963/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION,
C.I.D., BENGALURU,
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

<u>AND</u>:

- 1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
 S/O. LATE B.R.GOPAL GOWDA,
 AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED)
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD,
 BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR,
 BENGALURU-560 040.
- 2 . T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH
 S/O. LATE MASTAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD.)
 YESHWANTHPURA SUB DIVISION,
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION,
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

- 3 . N.S. REVANNA
 S/O. SIDDAPPA,
 AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER, BBMP
 CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS,
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM,
 BENGALURU-560 086.
- 4. N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O. NARAYANASHETTY,
 AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
 CONTRACTOR,
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS,
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGAR,
 KAMAKSHIPALYA, BENGALURU.
- 5. B.T. RAMESH
 S/O. LATE B.H.THAMMAIAH,
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS,
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER,
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU.

PREVIOUSLY: CHIEF ENGINEER WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.
R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE, BASAVESHWARANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 079.

- 6. M. THYAGARAJ S/O. LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA, AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS, CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RETD.), OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER, BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
- 7. M. BASAVARAJU S/O. MUNISWAMY,

AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD, 1ST CROSS, B H C S LAYOUT, CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA, ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5, R6 & R7; SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD. 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1330/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.964/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION,
C.I.D., BENGALURU.
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . C. NARAYAN
 S/O. CHENNAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 FORMERLY AT RAJARAJESWARI NAGAR
 DIVISION, R/AT NO. 5/15
 2ND MAIN ROAD, BYATARAYANAPURA
 BENGALURU 560 026.
- 2 . RAMACHANDRAIAH S/O. BETTATHIMMAIAH, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS, R/AT NO.93, 3RD CROSS, HOYSALA MAIN ROAD, SUNKADAKATTE, BENGALURU - 560 091.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD. 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.103/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.965/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION,
C.I.D., BENGALURU,
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,

HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU-560 001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O. LATE H.R. AMEER JAN,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
T.V.C.C. BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.27, 1ST 'B' MAIN ROAD, (PIPE LINE ROAD) R.P.C. LAYOUT, 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA, BENGALURU-560 040.

2. R. CHANDRU
S/O. RAMAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS,
AHUJA CHAMBERS,
FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR
NO.1, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 001.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD. 20.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.86/2022 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.966/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

<u>AND</u>:

1. C. NARAYANA
S/O CHANNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, SWD
BBMP, CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE
4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX
JAYANAGARA (FORMER EXECUTIVE ENGINEER)
RAJARAJESWARINAGARA DIVISION
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU-560 026.

2. L.K. SHIVANANDA
S/O KEMPEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
RETIRED ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS
14TH MAIN ROAD, J.P.NAGARA
II PHASE, BENGALURU 560078.

3 . B.P. PARAMESH
S/O B.K. PUTTEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
ASST. ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA DIVISION
BBMP.

PRESENTLY BHOO SENE VIBHAGA JUNIOR ENGINEER, NEAR CHINNASWAMY STADIUM RAJABHAVANA ROAD, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.3009, 13TH MAIN ROAD RPC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU 560 040.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: BOODANURU GRAMA KERALAPURA, ARAKALGUDU TALUK HASSAN DISTRICT-573102.

4 . S.D. SHASHIKUMAR S/O DEVEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS 45/E, 14TH MAIN ROAD VIJAYANAGARA, BENGALURU - 560 040.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3 SRI. R. SWAROOP ANAND, ADVOCATE FOR DRI. D.C. DEEPAK, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.109/2015 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.967/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, CID BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA S/O SIDDAPPA AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER BBMP HEAD OFFICE, BENGALURU 560055.

R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU 560086.

2 . B. BASAVARAJU S/O LATE BALASHETTY AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS CONTRACTOR.

> R/AT NO.U 101, 2ND MAIN ROAD 6TH CROSS, SWATHANTRANAGARA SRIRAMAPURA, BENGALURU 21.

3 . K.L. MARULASIDDAPPA S/O KARINAYAK AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS JUNIOR ENGINEER TECHNICAL ASSISTANT CHIEF ENGINEER, BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

PRESENTLY: PLANNING DIVISION DASARAHALLI, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.8, 2ND CROSS KUVEMPUNAGAR, T. DASARAHALLI BENGALURU 560057.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 08.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.335/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.968/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION,
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA S/O SIDDAPPA AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE BENGALURU.

R/A, NO.556, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU-560086.

- 2 . R. CHANDRU S/O RAMAPPA AHUJA CHAMBER, FLAT No.204 2ND FLOOR, KUMARA KRUPA ROAD BENGALURU 560001.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
 A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE
 BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1200/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS

JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.969/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU -560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION, BBMP
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU-560075.

2. M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
WARD NO.27 GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-560003.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION, KOLAR TOWN.

- 3 . R. CHANDRU
 S/O LATE RAMAPPA
 AGED MAJOR, 1ST GRADE CONTRACTOR
 OFFICE ADDRESS NO.204
 2ND FLOOR, NO.1, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD
 BENGALURU-51.
- 4. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-40.

5. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573503.

6 . D.A. ANWAR PASHA S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, TVCC. BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO 27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD (PIPE LINE ROAD), R.P.C. LAYOUT 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA BENGALURUS 560040.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. GOPI P.M. ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. KESHAVA MURTHY M, ADVOCATE FOR R2 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R6 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R5)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.142/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.970/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU- 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. C. NARAYANA
S/O CHANNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
SWD, BBMP CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE
4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX
JAYANAGAR (THE THEN EXECUTIVE ENGINEER)
RAJARAJESWARINAGARA DIVISION, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU-560026.

2 . M.J. KUMAR S/O JAWAREGOWDA AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS ASST. ENGINEER, LAGGERE SUB DIVISION RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR DIVISION BBMP.

> R/AT NO.738, 13TH MAIN ROAD HBCS, ITI LAYOUT, II STAGE NAGARBHAVI, BENGALURU-40.

3. M.S. RAJU
S/O MARIYAPPA
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
VOKKALIGARU, CLASS-I CONTRACTOR
NO.159, 5TH MAIN ROAD, 11TH CROSS
NGEF LAYOUT, NAGARBHAVI,
BENGALURU-72.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R3 SRI. MADHUKAR S, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.794/2020 ON THE FILE OF

COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.971/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TVCC, BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD (PIPE LINE ROAD), R.P.C. LAYOUT 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA BENGALURU-560 040.

2 . M. PRABHU, S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER.

> R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-560 003.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN, KOLAR-563101.

- 3. CHANDRU R
 S/O LATE RAMAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR
 AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1
 KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-560 001.
- 4. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU-560 040.

5 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BACK TO MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R3 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R5 SRI. ANITHA N, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1334/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.973/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. B.N. KANAKADAS
 S/O LATE H.D. NARASAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
 RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION
 R/AT NO.883, 2ND CROSS
 1ST MAIN, BEML LAYOUT, 5TH STAGE
 2ND PHASE, RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR
 BENGALURU-560098.
- 2 . M.B. NAGARAJ S/O BORALINGAIAH AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER RAJARAJESHWARI NAGARA SUB DIVISION R.R.NAGAR DIVISION, BBMP R.R. NAGAR, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.68, 5TH MAIN ROAD 6TH CROSS, HEALTH LAYOUT BENGALURU.

NATIVE: MANAGAL VILLAGE MAGADI TALUK, RAMANAGARA DISTRICT-562159.

3 . S.K. MURTHY S/O LATE K. SUBRAMANYA AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS CLASS-I CONTRACTOR.

R/AT NO.504, 8TH CROSS, 7TH BLOCK WEST JAYANAGAR, BENGALURU-560 082.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.619/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.974/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C I D BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . C. NARAYANA S/O CHENNAPPA AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RAJARAJESWARINAGARA DIVISION

> R/AT NO. 5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA BENGALURU - 560 026.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: BOMMANAHALLI, CHINTAMANI TALUK CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT 563125.

2 . L.K. SHIVANANDA
S/O KEMPEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS
RETIRED ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
R R NAGAR SUB DIVISION, BBMP
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 405, 11TH CROSS 14TH MAIN ROAD J P NAGAR, II PHASE BENGALURU - 560 078.

3 . K.M. KUMARASWAMY
S/O MARANNA
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS
JUNIOR ENGINEER
R R NAGAR SUB DIVISION.

R/AT NO.375, 4TH MAIN ROAD 6TH B CROSS, AROGYA LAYOUT ANNAPOORNESHWARI NAGAR BENGALURU - 560 091.

AND ALSO AT: KARIOBANAHALLI, HIRIYURU TALUK CHITRADURGA DISTRICT - 577 511. 4 . N. MURALIDHAR
S/O LATE M. NARAYANA
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
CLASS -1 CONTRACTOR
R/AT NO.31, 4TH CROSS, 7TH BLOCK
JAYANAGAR, BENGALURU - 560082.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 AND R3 R4 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.436/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.976/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION GANDHINAGAR DIVISION BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS BEML MAIN ROAD NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU - 560075.

- 2. M. PRABHU
 S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
 BBMP, BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS
 SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT
 MALLESHWARAM
 BENGALURU 560 003
 PERMANENT ADDRESS
 SANTHE GATE EXTENSION
 KOLAR TOWN.
- 3. N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY
 AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.129, 5TH CROSS
 PUSHPAVATHI NAGARA
 KAMAKSHIPALYA, BENGALURU 79.
- 4. B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
 WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE
 BENGALURU
 R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD
 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE

BASAVESHWARANAGARA BENGALURU - 79.

5. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT. No.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-40.

6. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT. No.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT.

7. D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TVCC., BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT. No.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD
(PIPE LINE ROAD), RPC LAYOUT
2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA,
BENGALURU-40.

(BY SRI. SIDDAMALLAPPA P.M. ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R6 & R7 R2 SERVED R5 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.799/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.977/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU.-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU-560040.

2. Y.M. MUNIRAJU
S/O LATE MUNISHAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD)
SANHATABAGARA SUB-DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.935, 64TH CROSS 5TH DIVISION, RAJAJINAGAR BENGALURU-10.

3. H.P. RAMESH
S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS
ASST. ENGINEER, WARD NO.100
SANJAYANAGAR SUB-DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

PRESENTLY CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE K.R.CIRCLE, BENGALURU R/AT NO.L-204, POORVANKAR HEBBAL, KEMPAPURA, BENGALURU.-560024.

4. B.T. RAMESH
S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU
PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGARA, BENGALURU-79.

5. M. THYAGARAJ S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT. NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU-40.

6. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R4, R5 & R6 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 18.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.798/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.978/2024:

BETWEEN:

 STATE OF KARNATAKA BY BENGALUUR METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION
C.I.D BENGALURU
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU-560040.

2 . Y.M. MUNIRAJU
S/O LATE MUNISWAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
SANJAYANAGAR SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 935, 64TH CROSS 5TH DIVISION, RAJAJINAGAR BENGALURU-10.

3 . G.L. KESHAV MURTHY
S/O LANKAPPA
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
ASST. ENGINEER, WARD NO.99
MATTIKERE SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM, BBMP
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.345, 10TH CROSS SARASWATHPURAM, NANDINI LAYOUT BENGALURU-96.

4 . C.P. UMESH
S/O LATE PUTTASWAMI GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
CONTRACTOR
R/AT NO.210, 5TH CROSS
10TH MAIN, NGEF LAYOUT
NAGARABHAVI, BENGALURU-72.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3 SRI. H. SHANTHI BHUSHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1338/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.979/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . S. SHIVAMALLU
S/O SIDDEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT. No.1238, 11TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU – 560086.

ALSO AT JADAGANAPURA MALAVALLI TALUK MANDYA DISTRICT.

2 . M/S. BALAJI CONSTRUCTIONS CONTRACTORS PROPRIETOR R. CHANDRA NAYAK AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS CLASS- I CONTRACTOR

> R/AT No.13/A/19 OMKAR NILAYA 5TH BLOCK 72ND CROSS, 17TH E MAIN RAJAJINAGAR, BENGALURU - 560010.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. LOHITH KUMAR N.S. ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.119/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.980/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER MALLESHWARAM DIVISION WEST ZONE, BENGALURU - 560 055.

> R/AT. NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD B.C.C. LAYOUT, VIJAYA NAGAR BENGALURU - 560040.

2 . Y.M. MUNIRAJU
S/O LATE MUNISWAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
SANJAYANAGARA SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARA DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 935, 64TH CROSS 5TH DIVISION, RAJAJINAGAR BENGALURU - 560010. 3 . H.P. RAMESH
S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER, WARD NO.100
SANJAYANAGARA,
MATTIKERE SUB-DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION, WEST ZONE
BENGALURU - 560094.

PRESENTLY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER K.R.CIRCLE, BENGALURU - 560001.

R/AT NO. 204, POORVANKARA HEBBAL KEMPAPURA BENGALURU – 560024.

- 4. T.G. SURESH
 CLASS-I CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO. M/39, 7TH CROSS
 MARKET ROAD, L.N. PURA
 BENGALURU 560021.
- 5 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B.H. TAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 BBMP OFFICER, BENGALURU
 EARLIER CHIEF ENGINEER
 WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE
 BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD, 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGARA BENGALURU - 560079.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPEE, VIJAYANAGAR 2ND STAGE BENGALURU-560040.

7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A.E/T.A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, B.H.C.S. LAYOUT CHIKKALASANDRA, BENGALURU - 560061

ALSO AT BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT - 573201.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5, R6 & R7 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.705/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1010/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

HIGH COURT BUILDING BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . SRI. H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD)
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
GANDHINAGAR DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1282, IST CROSS BEML MAIN ROAD NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU-560075.

2 . SRI. S. SHIVAMALLU S/O SIDDEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1238, 11TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU-560086.

NATIVE: JADAGANAPURA MALAVALLI TALUK MANDYA DISTRICT-571430.

3 . SRI. B. BASAVARAJA S/O BALASHETTY AGED ABOUT 39 YEARS CONTRACTOR. R/AT NO.U/101, 3RD MAIN ROAD 6TH CROSS, SWATHANTRANAGARA SRIRAMAPURA, BENGALURU-560021.

4 . SRI. B.T. RAMESH
S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.

PREVIOUSLY: CHIEF ENGINEER WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGARA BENGALURU-560079.

5 . SRI. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD)
OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU-40.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGAR 2ND STAGE BENGALURU-560040.

6 . SRI. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD IST CROSS, B.H.C.S. LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN-573103.

7 . SRI. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD) MALLESHWARAMA DIVISION (WEST ZONE), BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD B.C.C. LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGARA BENGALURU-560040.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SIDDAMALLAPPA P.M. ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOATE FOR R3 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 TO R7)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1331/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1011/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . SRI. C. NARAYANA S/O CHANNAPPA AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RAJARAJESHWARI NAGARA DIVISION BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATAYANAPURA BENGALURU-560026.

PERMANENT ADDRESS BOMMAPALLI CHINTHAMANI TALUK CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT.

2 . SRI. N. MURALIDHAR S/O LATE M. NARAYANA AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS CLASS-I CONTRACTOR R/AT NO.31, 4TH CROSS 7TH BLOCK, JAYANAGARA BENGALURU-82.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR H. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. PADMAPRASAD B. NASHI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 - SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.166/2017 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1012/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.P. RAMESH
S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
WARD NO.100, SANJAYANAGAR
MATHIKERE SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

PRESENTLY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER K.R CIRCLE, BENGALURU 01.

R/AT NO.L-204, POORVANKARA HEBBALA, KEMPAPURA BENGLAURU.

2 . M. NAGESH S/O MAYANNA AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS R/AT NO.3520/A, 7th CROSS GAYATHRI NAGAR BENGALURU 560 028. 3 . M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3rd MAIN ROAD 1st CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU 560 061. NATIVE: BACK TO MINI VIDHANA SOUDA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT 573 103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D, ADVOCATE FOR R3 SRI. SHANTHI BHUSHAN H, ADVCOATE FOR R2 V/O.DATED 03.02.2025 NOTICE TO R1 IS H/S TO R1)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.704/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1013/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . C. NARAYANA
S/O CHANNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR DIVISION.

R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA BENGALURU 560 026.

PERMANENT ADDRESS BOMMAPALLI, CHINTAMANI TALUK CHIKKBALLAPURA DISTRICT 562 101.

2 . N. MURALIDHAR S/O LATE M. NARAYANA AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS CLASS-1 CONTRACTOR R/AT NO.31, 4th CROSS 7th BLOCK, JAYANAGAR BENGALURU 560 082.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR H. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. PADMAPRASAD B. NASHI, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.09.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.165/2017 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1014/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TVCC., BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD (PIPE LINE ROAD), R.P.C. LAYOUT 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA BENGALURU-560 040.

2 . S. SHIVAMALLU S/O SIDDEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS R/AT NO.1238, 11TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU 560 086.

> ALSO AT JADAGANAPURA MALAVALLI TALUK MANDYA DISTRICT-571430.

- 3 . CHANDRU R
 S/O RAMAPPA
 R/AT NO.204, 2nd FLOOR
 AHUJA CHAMBERS NO.1
 KUMARAKRUPA ROAD
 BENGALURU 560 001.
- 4. M. THYAGARAJ
 S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU-560 040.

5 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-560061.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT -593103.

6. M. PRABHU
S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-560 003. PERMANENT ADRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN, KOLAR-563101.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R3 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R5 SMT. ANITHA N, ADVOCATE FOR R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.120/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1016/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU- 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED)

MALLESHWARAM DIVISION WEST ZONE, BENGALURU R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU-560 040.

2 . Y.M. MUNIRAJU
S/O LATE MUNISWAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETD.)
SANJAYANAGARA SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.934, 64TH CROSS 5TH DIVISION, RAJAJINAGAR BENGALURU 560 010.

3. G.L. KESHAVAMURTHY
S/O LATE LANKAPPA
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
WARD NO.99, MATTIKERE SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM, BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.345, 10TH CROSS SARASWATHIPURAM, NANDINI LAYOUT BENGALURU-560 096.

- 4. M. NAGESH
 S/O LATE MAYANNA
 AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR, R/AT. NO.3520/A,
 7TH CROSS GAYATHRINAGARA,
 BENGALURU 560 028.
- 5. B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 O/O THE BBMP, BENGALURU.

PREVIOUSLY: CHIEF ENGINEER WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGARA, BENGALURU-79.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RETD.,)
OFFICE OF CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGAR 2ND STAGE BENGALURU 560 040.

7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLANSANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT -573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B. ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 TO R7 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3 SRI. SHANTHI BHUSHAN H, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 08.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.339/2020 ON THE FILE OF

COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1017/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. C. NARAYAN
S/O CHENNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, SWD
BBMP CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE
4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX
JAYANAGARA (FORMER EXECUTIVE ENGINEER)
RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA DIVISION
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA BENGALURU-560026.

2 . L.K. SHIVANANDA
S/O KEMPEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
RETIRED ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
R/AT. NO.405, 11TH CROSS

14TH MAIN ROAD J P NAGARA, II PHASE BENGALURU-78.

3 . B.P. PARAMESH
S/O B.K. PUTTEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
OCC ASST. ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA SUB DIVISION
RAJARAJESHWARI NAGARA DIVISION
BBMP, PRESELTLY: BHOO SENE VIBHAGA
JUNIOR ENGINEER
NEAR CHINNASWAMY STADIUM
RAJABHAVANA ROAD, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.3009, 13TH MAIN ROAD RPC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU-40.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: BOODANURU GRAMA KERALAPURA, ARAKALGUDU TALUK HASSAN DISTRICT-573102.

4 . S.D. SHASHIKUMAR S/O DEVEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS 45/E, 14TH MAIN ROAD VIJAYANAGARA, BENGALURU-40.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3 SRI. SHANTHI BHUSHAN N, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.108/2015 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1018/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU - 560001. ... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA
S/O SIDDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU - 86.

- 2 . B.N. VISHWANATH
 S/O B.N. NAGARAJ
 AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT. NO.123, 3RD CROSS
 PIPE LINE ROAD, D.T.ROAD
 SHESHADRIPURAM, BENGALURU 40.
- 3. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER / BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLANSANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHAN SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT – 573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 14.07.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.454/2019 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1019/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . C. NARAYANA S/O CHANNAPPA AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER SWD, BBMP, CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE 4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX JAYANAGARA EARLIER EXECUTIVE ENGINEER OF RAJARAJESWARINAGAR DIVISION R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA BENGALURU - 560026.

- 2. L.K. SHIVANANDA
 S/O KEMPEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
 RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS
 14TH MAIN ROAD, J.P.NAGAR, II PHASE
 BENGALURU 560078.
- 3. B.P. PARAMESH
 S/O B.K. PUTTEGOWDA
 MAJOR
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA SUB DIVISION
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA DIVISION, BBMP
 PRESENTLY J.E. ARMY WING
 NEAR CHINNASWAMY STADIUM
 RAJ BHAVAN ROAD
 BENGALURU 01

R/AT NO.3009, 13TH MAIN ROAD RPC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU – 560040.

4 . M.J. NAGARAJ S/O JAVAREGOWDA MAJOR NO.898, 18TH MAIN ROAD 5TH BLOCK, RAJAJINAGAR BENGALURU - 560010.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.699/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1020/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU.-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . H.N. PRABHAKARA
 S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
 RETIRED ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION
 GANDHINAGAR DIVISION
 BBMP, BENGALURU
 R/AT. No.1282, 1ST CROSS
 BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA
 BENGALURU 560046.
- 2. M. PRABHU S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY

AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT No.13, 9TH CROSS
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT
MALLESHWARAM
BENGALURU 560003.

PERMANENT ADDRESS SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR DISTRICT 563101.

- 3 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY
 AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS
 CONTRACTOR
 R/AT. No.129, 5TH CROSS
 VRUSHABHAVATHI NAGAR
 KAMAKSHIPALYA
 BENGALURU 560079.
- 4. M. THYAGARAJ S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-40.

5 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT.-573103.

6. D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
T.V.C.C BBMP BENGALURU
R/AT. No.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD
(PIPE LINE ROAD)
R.P.C. LAYOUT, 2ND STAGE
VIJAYANAGAR, BENGALURU 560040.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SMT. ANITHA N, ADVOCATE FOR R2 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 & R5 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R3 & R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.90/2022 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1028/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY C I D POLICE
BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE (BMTF)
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1. C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHENNAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
 THE THEN RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 RAJARAJESWARINAGARA DIVISION
 R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD
 BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU 560 026.
- 2. L.K. SHIVANANDA
 S/O KEMPEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
 RETIRED ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS
 14TH MAIN ROAD, J P NAGAR
 II PHASE, BENGALURU 560 078.
- 3 . G.S. LOKESH
 S/O LATE SAKE GOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR SUB DIVISION
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR BBMP
 PRESENTLY CHIEF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 NATIONAL HIGHWAY DIVISION
 DEPT., OF PUBLIC WORKS
 K R CIRCLE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.23, 3RD CROSS PANCHASHEELA LAYOUT RAJARAJESHWARINAGAR BENGALURU - 560 098.

4 . N. HANUMANTHAPPA S/O LATE NAGAPPA AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS CLASS - I CONTRACTOR. R/AT NO.21/1, 12TH A CROSS AGRAHARA DASARAHALLI MAGADI ROAD, BENGALURU - 560 079.

AND ALSO AT:
HESARUGHATTA HOBLI
KAMAKSHI PURA
BENGALURU NORTH
BENGALURU - 560089.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R3 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1333/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1060/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE, POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

C. NARAYANA
 S/O CHENNAPPA

AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION.

R/AT NO.5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA BENGALURU - 560026.

NATIVE:

BOMMAPALLI, CHINTAMANI TALUK CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT - 562101.

2 . L.K. SHIVANAND S/O KEMPEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

> R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS 14TH MAIN ROAD, J.P.NAGAR 2ND PHASE, BENGALURU – 78.

3 . K.M. KUMARASWAMY
S/O MARANNA
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS
JUNIOR ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR SUB DIVISION
R/AT NO.375, 4TH MAIN ROAD
6TH 'B' CROSS, AROGYA LAYOUT
ANNAPOORNESHWARI NAGAR
BENGALURU – 91.

AND ALSO AT: KARIOBANAHALLI, HIRIYURU TALUK CHITRADURGA DISTRICT - 577501.

4 . S.K. MURTHY
S./O LATE K. SUBRAMANYA
AGED ABOUT 74 YEARS
CLASS I, CONTRACTOR
R/AT NO.504, 8TH CROSS

7TH BLOCK, WEST JAYANAGARA BENGALURU - 560070.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R4 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 27.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.620/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1088/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. C. NARAYANA
S/O CHANNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
SWD, BBMP, CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE
4TH BLOCK, SHOPPING COMPLEX
JAYANAGARA (FORMER EXECUTIVE ENGINEER)
RAJARAJESWARINAGAR DIVISION.

R/AT NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD BYATARAYANAPURA BENGALURU-560026.

2 . L.K. SHIVANANDA S/O KEMPEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER.

> R/AT NO.405, 11TH CROSS 15TH MAIN ROAD, J.P. NAGAR II PHASE, BENGALURU-78.

3. B. PARAMESH
S/O B.K. PUTTEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA SUB-DIVISION
BBMP.
PRESENTLY AT LAND ARMY CORPORATION
J.E. NEAR TO CHINNASWAMY STADIUM
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.3009, 13TH MAIN ROAD RPC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU-40.

PERMANENT R/AT. BOODANURU GRAMA KERALAPURA, ARAKALGUD TALUK HASSAN DISTRICT-573102.

4. G.S. SHIVASWAMY
S/O G.K. SHIVARUDRAIAH
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS
LINGAYATH, CLASS-I CONTRACTOR
M/S VINAYAKA CONSTRUCTIONS PROPRIETOR
R/AT. NO.15/3-1, 2ND MAIN ROAD
MARENAHALLI, VIJAYANAGARA
BENGALURU-40.

PERMANENTLY R/AT.
GATTIPURA MAGADI TALUK
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT 562159.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 & R3 SRI. R. SWAROOP ANAND, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (FILED U/S 438 R/W 442 BNNS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 13.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.663/2017 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL. JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1104/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU.-560001

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . N.S. REVANNA S/O SIDDAPPA AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGALURU. R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU-560086.

- 2. B. BASAVARAJ S/O BALA SHETTY AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS CONTRACTOR, NO.U/101 3RD MAIN ROAD, 6TH CROSS SWATHANTHRA NAGAR, SRIRAMPARA BENGALURU-560021.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS

A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-61

ALSO AT: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT 573125.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1 & R2 VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET 20.12.2023 ASIDE THE ORDER DATED PASSED SPL.C.C.NO.89/2022 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE OFFENCES UNDER THE FOR TRYING PREVENTION CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1129/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D.,
BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . R. CHANDRU, S/O. RAMAPPA AGED MAJOR AHUJA CHAMBERS, FLAT NO.204 2ND FLOOR, NO.1, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD BENGALURU-560 051.
- 2 . M. BASAVARAJU, S/O. MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS AE/TA, BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

R/AT NO. 247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU-560 061. ALSO AT BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN HASSAN DISTRICT-573 125. 3. M. PRABHU,
S/O. LATE MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB-DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU
R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS
SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT
MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-03.
ALSO AT SANTHE GATE EXTENSION
KOLAR TOWN, KOLAR-563 101.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R1; SRI DEEPAK K. BAJANTRI, ADVOCATE FOR R2; SMT. ANITHA N., ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W. 401 OF CR.P.C (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 20.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.87/2022 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1130/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D.,
BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560 001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A.BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W. SMT. K.P.YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR, S/O. LATE B.R.GOPAL GOWDA AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED) MALLESHWARAM DIVISION WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR

- 2. T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH,
 S/O. LATE MASTAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD),
 YESHWANTHPURA SUB-DIVISION
 MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
 WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
 R/AT NO.154, 4TH CROSS
 SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE
 J.P. NAGARA
 BENGALURU-560 076.
- 3 . N.S. REVANNA,
 S/O. SIDDAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS
 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE
 BENGALURU.
 R/AT NO.566, 4TH CROSS
 MAHALAKSHMIPURAM
 BENGALURU-560 086.
- 4. R. CHANDRU, S/O. LATE RAMAPPA AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS PROP: M/S. AISHWARAYA INFRASTRUCTURES AND DEVELOPERS, CONTRACTORS R/AT: FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR AHUJA CHAMBER, NO.1

KUMARA KRUPA ROAD BENGALURU-560 051.

5. B.T.RAMESH, S/O. LATE B.H.THAMMAIAH AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE OF THE BBMP,

BENGALURU
PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
WEST ZONE BBMP OFFICE
BENGALURU.
R/AT NO.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD
3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE
BASAVESHWARANAGARA
BENGALURU-560 079.

- 6. M. THYAGARAJ,
 S/O. LATE D.M.MUNIRAJAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
 BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
 R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD
 BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE
 ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE
 BENGALURU-560 040.
- 7. M. BASAVARAJU,
 S/O.MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS
 AE/TA BBMP WEST ZONE
 BENGALURU.
 R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD
 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT
 CHIKKALLASANDRA
 BENGALURU-560 061
 NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA
 ARASIKERE NAGARA
 HASSAN DISTRICT-573 103.

8. G.R.KUMAR, S/O.G.H.RAMEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER WARD NO.1, MALLESHWARAM DIVISION WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.7, 4TH CROSS BRUNDAVANA NAGARA MATHIKERE, BENGALURU-560 054.

> > ... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 TO R7; SRI KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI CHANDRASHEKARA K., ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4 & R8)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1336/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1131/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. C. NARAYAN
S/O CHENNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED)
RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA DIVISION
BENGALURU
R/AT. NO.5/15, II MAIN ROAD
BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU-560026.

NATIVE: BOMMAPALLI CHINTAMANI TALUK CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT-563125.

- 2 . L.K. SHIVANANDA
 S/O KEMPEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS
 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 R/AT. NO.405, 11TH CROSS
 14TH MAIN ROAD, J P NAGARA
 II PHASE, BENGALURU-78.
- 3. B.P. PARAMESH
 S/O B.K. PUTTEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
 ASST. ENGINEER
 RAJARAJESHWARINAGARA SUB DIVISION
 BBMP, PRESELTLY J.E.
 ARMY WING NEAR CHINNASWAMY STADIUM
 BENGALURU-560001
 R/AT No.3009, 13TH MAIN ROAD
 RPC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR
 BENGALURU-560040.

NATIVE OF BOODANOORU VILLAGE KERALAPURA, ARAKALAGOODU TALUK HASSAN DISTRICT-573102.

4 . S.S. JOSHI S/O LATE SHANTHAPPA, CONTRACTOR

AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
PROPRIETOR: M/S PRAGATHI ENTERPRISES
R/AT NO.17/2, 9TH F MAIN ROAD
PIPE LINE ROAD, VIJAYANAGARA
BENGALURU-560040.

NATIVE: BEHIND KALPATARU COLLEGE TIPATURU, TUMAKURU DISTRICT-572201.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2, R3 AND R4)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 15.12.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.621/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETIION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1133/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D. BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
RETIRED ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.1282, 1ST CROSS BEML MAIN ROAD NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU-560075.

2 . M. PRABHU S/O LATE B. MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION BBMP, BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-560003.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN, KOLAR.

- 3. CHANDRU R
 S/O RAMAPPA
 R/AT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR,
 AHUJA CHAMBERS NO.1
 KUMARAKRUPA ROAD
 BENGALURU-560001.
- 4 . M. THYAGARAJ S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD) OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER

BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU-560040.

5. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU-560061.

NATIVE BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT-573103.

6 . D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
TVCC BBMP BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.27, 1ST B MAIN ROAD (PIPE LINE ROAD) R.P.C. LAYOUT 2ND STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA BENGALURU-560040.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. GOPI P.M. ADVOCATE FOR R1 SMT. ANITHA N, ADVOCATE FOR R2 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R6 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R5) THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 08.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.700/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1298/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C I D BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.N. PRABHAKAR
S/O LATE H. NANJEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS
RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION
GANDHI NAGAR DIVISION
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO 1282, 1ST CROSS BEML MAIN ROAD, NEW THIPPASANDRA BENGALURU - 75.

2 . M. PRABHU S/O LATE MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER WARD NO 27 GANDHINAGAR SUB DIVISION BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.13, 9TH CROSS SWIMMMING POOL LAYOUT MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU – 03.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR - 563101.

- 3. CHANDRAPPA @ R. CHANDRU S/O RAMAPPA R/AT AHUJA CHAMBERS FLAT NO 204, 2ND FLOOR KUMARAKRUPA, BENGALURU - 01.
- 4 . B.T. RAMESH
 S/O B.H. THAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 OFFICE OF THE BMMP, BENGALURU.
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
 WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGARA BENGALURU – 79.

5. M. THYAGARAJ
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE

ATTIGUPEE VIJAYANAGAR 2ND STAGE BENGALURU – 40.

6. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
AE / T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT. No.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLANSANDRA BENGALURU.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA HASSAN DISTRICT- 573103.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B. ADVOCATE FOR R4 AND R6 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R5 R2 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (FILED U/S 438 R/W 442 BNNS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 08/11/2023 PASSED IN SPL. C.C. NO.698/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1319/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION

C.I.D. BENGALURU REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT BUILDING BENGALURU - 560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

<u>AND</u>:

- 1. C. NARAYAN
 S/O CHENNAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 FORMERLY AT RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR DIVISION
 R/AT NO. 5/15, 2ND MAIN ROAD
 BYATARAYANAPURA
 BENGALURU 560026.
- 2 . S.M. RAJU
 S/O MARIYAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
 VAKKALIGA COMMUNITY
 CLASS-I CONTRACTOR
 R/AT NO.159, 5TH MAIN ROAD
 11TH CROSS, NGEF LAYOUT
 NAGARABHAVI, BENGALURU 560072.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (FILED U/S 438 R/W 442 BNNS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 15/12/2023 PASSED IN SPL. C.C. NO.104/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1493/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
REP. BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH
S/O LATE MASTAIAH
AGE ABOUT 70 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RTD)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/A NO.154, 4TH CROSS SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE J P NAGAR BENGALURU.

2 . N.S. REVANNA S/O SIDDAPPA AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE BENGAURU.

> R/AT No.566, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU - 560086.

3 . N. SAMPATH KUMAR S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY

AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS R/AT No.129, 5TH CROSS VRUSHABAVATHI NAGARA KAMAKSHIPALYA, BENGALURU- 79.

4. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU – 560040.

5 . K. MARALUSIDDAPPA
S/O KARINAIKA
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS
JUNIOR ENGINEER, TECHNICAL ASSISTANT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.08, 2ND KUVEMPUNAGARA T DASARAHALLI, BENGALURU – 3.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R4 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R5)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (UNDER SEC.442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.123/2021 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1506/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
REP. BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . R. CHANDRU
 S/O RAMAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
 PROP. M/S. ISHWARYA INFRASTRUCTURES
 AND DEVELOPERS
 R/AT. FLAT No.204, 2ND FLOOR
 AHUJA CHAMBERS No.1
 KUMARA KRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU 560001.
- 2. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

R/AT. NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALASANDRA, BENGALURU-560061.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE TOWN, HASSAN DISTRICT - 573125.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2 R1 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (FILED U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1339/2021 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1540/2024:

BETWEEN:

STATE OF KARNATAKA
 BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
 POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
 REP. BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
 HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. C. NARAYANA
S/O CHANNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWAR DIVISION.
R/AT No.5/15, II MAIN ROAD
BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU – 560026.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: BOMMANAHALLI, CHINTAMANI TALUK CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT.

2 . L.K. SHIVANANDA S/O KEMPEGOWDA AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER BENGALURU. R/AT NO 405, 11TH CROSS 15TH MAIN ROAD J P NAGAR II PHASE BENGALURU – 560078.

3 . K.M. KUMARASWAMY
S/O MARANNA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
JUNIOR ENGINEER
RAJARAJESHWARI NAGARA
SUB DIVISION.

R/AT NO 375, 4TH MAIN ROAD 6TH B CROSS, AROGYA LAYOUT ANNAPOORNESHWARI NAGAR BENGALURU – 560091.

AND ALSO AT: KARIBONAHALLI, HIRIYURU TALUK CHITRADURGA DISTRICT - 577598.

4. M. RANGASWAMY
S/O MADATTI GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS
CLASS- I CONTRACTOR
SPOORTHI CONSTRUCTIONS
R/AT NO 17, 6TH CROSS
CAUVERY LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR
BENGALURU - 560040.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PARAMESHWAR N. HEGDE, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R4 R2 SERVED R3 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (FILED U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 15.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.337/2018 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL.CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE

FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1546/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D BENGALURU
REP BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO 139, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU – 560040.

2. T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH
S/O LATE MASTAIAH
AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETD)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.154, 4TH CROSS SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE J P NAGARA, BENGALURU – 560076. 3 . N.S. REVANNA
S/O SIDDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE
BENGLAURU.

R/AT No.566, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM BENGALURU – 560086.

- 4. N. SAMPATH KUMAR
 S/O LATE NARAYANASHETTY
 AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
 R/AT No.129, 5TH CROSS
 VRUSHABAVATHI NAGARA
 KAMAKSHIPALYA, BENGALURU 79.
- 5. B.T. RAMESH
 S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
 AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
 RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
 OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU.
 PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
 WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE
 BENGALURU.

R/AT No.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGAR, BENGALURU – 79.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/OLATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU – 560040. 7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT No.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU – 560061.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 AND R7 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R2, R3, R4 AND R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 (FILED U/S.438 R/W SEC.442 BNSS) CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 15.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.341/2020 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1552/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION
C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . D.A. ANWAR PASHA
S/O LATE H.R. AMEER JAN
AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, TVCC
BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.27, 1ST MAIN ROAD (PIPELINE ROAD), R.P.C. LAYOUT 2ND STAGE, VIJAYA NAGARA BENGALURU-560040.

2 . M. PRABHU S/O LATE MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS ASST. ENGINEER.

> R/AT NO.13, 9TH CROSS SWIMMING POOL LAYOUT MALLESHWARAM, BENGALURU-03.

NATIVE: SANTHE GATE EXTENSION KOLAR TOWN, KOLAR.

- 3 . R. CHANDRU S/O LATE RAMAPPA AGED MAJOR R/AT FLAT NO.204, 2ND FLOOR AHUJA CHAMBERS, NO.1, KUMARAKRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-01.
- 4 . M. THYAGARAJ S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,) OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYA NAGARA, 2ND STAGE BENGALURU-40.

5. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU.

> R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU - 560061.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGAR, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R3 AND R4 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR V.B. ADVOCATE FOR R5 R2 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 27.10.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1332/2021 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1557/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN
TASK FORCE POLICE STATION

C.I.D., BENGALURU REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . H.P. RAMESH
S/O LATE PUTTATHIMMEGOWDA
AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
WARD NO.100, SANJAYANAGARA
MATTIKERE SUB-DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU-03.

PRESENTLY CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE K.R. CIRCLE, BENGALURU.

R/AT. NO.L-204, POORVANKAR HEBBAL, KEMPAPURA, BENGALURU-560024.

- 2 . M. NAGESH S/O MAYANNA AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS R/AT NO.3520/A, 7TH CROSS GAYATHRI NAGARA, BENGALURU-560021.
- 3 . M. BASAVARAJU
 S/O MUNISWAMY
 AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
 A E/T A BBMP WEST ZONE
 BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-61.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HANUMANTHARAYA D, ADVOCATE FOR R1 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3 R2 SERVED)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (FILED U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 15.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.706/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPL.JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE P.C. ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1562/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
REP. BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD BCC LAYOUT, VIJAYANAGAR BENGALURU – 560040.

2 . T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH S/O LATE MASTAIAH

AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETD)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.154, 4TH CROSS SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE J P NAGARA, BENGALURU – 560076.

3 . N.S. REVANNA S/O SIDDAPPA AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS ASSISTANT ENGINEER BBMP CENTRAL OFFICE, BENGLAURU.

> R/AT NO 566, 4TH CROSS MAHALAKSHMIPURAM, BENGALURU – 560086.

4 . R. CHANDRU
S/O LATE RAMAPPA
AGED MAJOR
PROPRIETOR M/S. ISHWARYA
INFRASTRUCTURES AND DEVELOPERS
R/AT FLAT No.204 , 2ND FLOOR
AHUJA CHAMBERS, No.01
KUMARAKRUPA ROAD
BENGALURU - 560001.

5. B.T. RAMESH
S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
OFFICE OF THE BBMP
BENGALURU.

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER
WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE
BENGALURU.
R/AT No.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD
3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE
BASAVESHWARANAGAR, BENGALURU – 79.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU – 560040.

7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT No.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU - 560061.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 AND R7 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. K. CHANDRASHEKARA, ADVOCATE FOR R2, R3, R4 AND R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S. 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (FILED U/S 438 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET THE DATED 08.11.2023 ASIDE ORDER PASSED SPL.C.C.NO.337/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION FOR CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1565/2024:

BETWEEN:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D., BENGALURU
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

- 1 . R. CHANDRU
 S/O RAMAPPA
 AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS
 PROP M/S. ISHWARAYA INFRASTRUCTURES
 AND DEVELOPERS
 CONTRACTORS, R/AT FLAT NO.204
 2ND FLOOR, AHUJA CHAMBER, NO.1
 KUMARA KRUPA ROAD, BENGALURU-560001
 (CONTRACTOR).
- 2. M. THYAGARAJ S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS CHIEF ACCOUNTANT (RTD.,) OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE, BENGALURU-40.

3. M. BASAVARAJU S/O MUNISWAMY AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE BENGALURU. R/AT NO.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA, BENGALURU-61.

NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. K. CHANDRASHEKARA, ADVOCATE FOR R1 AND R2 SRI. VIJAYA KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S.397 R/W 401 (FILED U/S.438 R/W SEC.442 BNSS) OF CR.P.C. PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 18.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.1337/2021 ON THE FILE OF THE COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCE UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT AT BENGALURU CITY BY ALLOWING THIS REVISION PETITION.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1569/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION C.I.D BENGALURU
REP. BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING,
BENGALURU.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1 . B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETIRED)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD, BCC LAYOUT VIJAYANAGAR, BENGALURU – 560040.

2 . Y.M. MUNIRAJU
S/O LATE MUNISWAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS
RETIRED ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
SANJAYANAGAR SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM WEST ZONE
BENGLAURU.

R/AT No.935, 64TH CROSS 5TH DIVISION RAJAJINAGAR, BENGALURU – 10.

3. G.L. KESHAV MURTHY
S/O LATE LANKAPPA
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS
ASSISTANT ENGINEER WARD No.99
MATTIKERE SUB DIVISION
MALLESHWARAM, BBMP, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.345, 10TH CROSS, SARASWATHIPURA, NANDINI LAYOUT BENGALURU – 96.

- 4. J.K. JAYARAM
 S/O LATE KALEGOWDA
 AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS
 R/AT No.911, 10TH CROSS
 10TH MAIN, SRINAGAR
 BENGALURU 560050.
 ALSO AT No.52, MARALIGA POST
 GOLLARADODDI, KOPPA HOBLI
 MADDUR TALUK, MANDYA DISTRICT.
- 5. B.T. RAMESH S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH

AGED ABOUT 69 YEARS RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU.

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE BENGALURU.

R/AT No.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGAR, BENGALURU – 79.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE ATTIGUPPE, VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU – 560040.

7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A E / T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.

R/AT No.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD 1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT CHIKKALLASANDRA BENGALURU - 560061. NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. V.B. VIJAY KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R5 AND R7 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA K, ADVOCATE FOR R6 SRI. P.M. GOPI, ADVOCATE FOR R2 SRI. H. SHANTHI BHUSHAN, ADVOCATE FOR R4 NOTICE TO R3 IS H/S V/O DATED 20.02.2025)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 15.11.2023 PASSED IN SPL.C.C.NO.701/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE SPECIAL JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

IN CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.1570/2024:

BETWEEN:

1 . STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY BENGALURU METROPOLITAN TASK FORCE
POLICE STATION, C.I.D BENGALURU
REP. BY ITS STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDING
BENGALURU-560001.

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.A. BELLIAPPA, SPP A/W SMT. K.P. YASHODHA, HCGP)

AND:

1. B.G. PRAKASH KUMAR
S/O LATE B.R. GOPAL GOWDA
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS
RETIRED EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT NO.139, 10TH MAIN ROAD, BCC LAYOUT VIJAYNAGAR, BENGALURU-560040.

2 . T.N. BETTASWAMAIAH S/O LATE MASTAIAH

AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS
ASST. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (RETD)
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.
R/AT No.154, 4TH CROSS
SAI ENCLAVE, 8TH STAGE
J P NAGARA, BENGALURU – 560076.

3 . S. MANOJ KUMAR
S/O SHIVADEVAIAH
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS
ASST. ENGINEER
MALLESHWARAM DIVISION
BBMP BENGALURU.

R/AT No.35, SRI SHARADHA 4TH MAIN 2ND CROSS, RAMAMOHAN NEAR DAIVAGNA PARK BENGALURU.

4. B.T. RAMESH
S/O LATE B.H. THAMMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ENGINEER
OFFICE OF THE BBMP, BENGALURU.

PREVIOUSLY CHIEF ENGINEER WEST ZONE, BBMP OFFICE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.702, 9TH MAIN ROAD 3RD BLOCK, 3RD STAGE BASAVESHWARANAGAR, BENGALURU - 79.

5 . R. CHANDRU
S/O RAMAPPA
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS
CONTRACTOR
R/AT FLAT No.204, 2ND FLOOR
AHUJA CHAMBERS No.01
KUMARAKRUPA ROAD
BENGALURU – 560001.

6. M. THYAGARAJ
S/O LATE D.M. MUNIRAJAPPA
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
RETIRED CHIEF ACCOUNTANT
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
BBMP WEST ZONE, BENGALURU.

R/AT No.62, 2ND MAIN ROAD BINNY LAYOUT, 3RD STAGE, ATTIGUPPE VIJAYANAGARA 2ND STAGE BENGALURU – 560040.

7. M. BASAVARAJU
S/O MUNISWAMY
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
A E /T A BBMP WEST ZONE
BENGALURU.
R/AT No.247, 3RD MAIN ROAD
1ST CROSS, BHCS LAYOUT
CHIKKALLASANDRA
BENGALURU - 560061.
NATIVE: BEHIND MINI VIDHANA SOUDHA
ARASIKERE NAGARA, HASSAN DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. V.B. VIJAY KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R1, R4 AND R7 SRI. KIRAN S. JAVALI, SR. COUNSEL FOR SRI. CHANDRASHEKARA, ADVOCATE FOR R2, R3, R5 AND R6)

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION IS FILED U/S 397 R/W 401 OF CR.P.C. (U/S 438 R/W 442 BNSS) PRAYING TO SET ASIDE THE ORDER DTD 08.11.2023 PASSED SPL.C.C.NO.707/2020 ON THE FILE OF COURT OF LXXVII ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE AND THE JUDGE FOR TRYING OFFENCES SPECIAL UNDER THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION ACT, BENGALURU CITY, BY ALLOWING THIS RP.

THESE CRIMINAL REVISION PETITIONS HAVING BEEN HEARD AND RESERVED FOR ORDERS ON 25.02.2025 THIS DAY, THE COURT PRONOUNCED THE FOLLOWING:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

CAV ORDER

Heard the learned SPP appearing for the petitioner - State and the respective learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

- 2. These revision petitions are filed by the State being aggrieved by the orders of discharging the accused persons acting under Sections 227 and 239 of Cr.P.C. *suo moto* without any application for discharge by any of the accused persons.
- 3. For the sake of convenience, this Court would like to mention the details of the cases and revisions, impugned order passed on respective dates, amount manipulated, offences invoked against them, number of accused and number of accused discharged in respective cases which depicts as hereunder:

Sl. No.	Crl.R.P.No	Impugned order dated	Spl. C. C. No.	Amt misappropriated in Rs.	Offences invoked	No. of accused persons	Discharged accused
1	975/2024	08.11.2023	139/2020		Sec.120B, 409, 465,	7	1 to 7
				16,76,235	468, 477A of IPC and		
					Sec. $13(1)(c)(d)$ and		
					13(2) of PC Act		
2	1714/2023	17.08.2023	236/2014	15,27,575	ditto	4	1, 3 & 4
3	166/2024	17.08.2023	795/2020	4,56,368	ditto	9	4, 7 & 9
4	167/2024	17.08.2023	729/2018	16,57,364	ditto	9	3, 4, 7 & 9
5	168/2024	17.08.2023	508/2014	5,52,882.93	ditto	4	1, 3 & 4

6	185/2024	17.08.2023	252/2016	33,24,971	ditto	8	3 to 5, 7 & 8
7	196/2024	17.08.2023	253/2016	39,12,880		8	3 to 5, 7 & 8
8	219/2024	17.08.2023	664/2017	3,26,251	ditto	4	1 & 4
9	224/2024	20.07.2023	557/2014	5,96,506		4	1 to 4
10	225/2024	20.07.2023	476/2014	3,57,599		4	1 to 4
11	226/2024	17.08.2023	477/2014	16,39,574		4	1, 3 & 4
12	242/2024	17.08.2023	556/2014	16,96,108	ditto	4	1, 2 & 4
13	243/2024	17.08.2023	727/2018	19,71,979		9	3, 4, 7 & 9
14	244/2024	20.07.2023	274/2016	3,30,770		8	2 to 4, 6 to 8
				* *			
15	475/2024	20.09.2023	521/2018	11,56,430 and 22,01,954		7	2 to 7
16	480/2024	20.09.2023	422/2018	24,89,066.70 and 18,66,803	ditto	7	2 to 7
17	484/2024	21.09.2023	411/2014	1,426,447	ditto	4	1 to 4
18	486/2024	14.09.2023	124/2021	543,192	ditto	7	2 to 4, 6 & 7
19	487/2024	21.09.2023	1198/2019	46,11,472 and 22,33,292	ditto	8	3, 4 & 7
20	503/2024	21.09.2023	555/2014	2,221,800		4	1 to 4
21	524/2024	13.10.2023	135/2020	2,251,671	ditto	7	1 to 7
22	534/2024	20.09.2023	418/2018	2,266,449	ditto	7	2 to 4 & 7
23	537/2024	13.10.2023	138/2020	2,262,272	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
24	538/2024	23.08.2023	34/2020	2,256,708	ditto	7	1 to 7
25	542/2024	20.09.2023	618/2018	23,13,900 and 18,78,546		7	2 to 7
26	543/2024	20.09.2023	338/2018	2,497,065	ditto	4	1, 3 & 4
27	544/2024	13.10.2023	136/2020	2,147,585	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
28	547/2024	14.09.2023	122/2021	2,201,877	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
29	623/2024	20.09.2023	730/2018	24,89,731 and 22,46,164	ditto	9	2 to 9
30	642/2024	13.10.2023	665/2017	2,70,346		4	1 & 4
31	650/2024	23.08.2023	29/2020	43,08,700 and		8	2 to 8
22	651 1202 1	1105000	0.7.7.10.10	18,09,732			2 4 0 5
32	651/2024	14.07.2023	855/2019	16,91,282	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
33	652/2024	23.08.2023	860/2019	7,97,531		8	4 & 7
34	653/2024	14.07.2023	1202/2019	22,44,356		7	2 to 7
35	654/2024	23.08.2023	32/2020	17,57,178		7	1 to 7
36	655/2024	14.07.2023	456/2019	17,65,161	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
37	660/2024	23.08.2023	28/2020	13,25,314	ditto	8	2 to 4 & 6 to 8
38	663/2024	20.09.2023	728/2018	32,95,880 and 18,03,983	ditto	10	3, 4 & 7 to 9
39	664/2024	23.08.2023	30/2020	20,47,797	ditto	8	3, 4 & 7
40	665/2024	20.09.2023	527/2018	24,83,898	ditto	8	3, 4 & 7
41	697/2024	14.07.2023	858/2019	16,91,573	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
42	701/2024	20.09.2023	731/2018	15,58,692 and 22,44,987		9	3, 4, 7 & 9
43	702/2024	20.09.2023	339/2018	41,10,278	ditto	4	1 to 4

44	705/2024	23.08.2023	31/2020	23,94,463	ditto	8	3, 4 & 7
45	757/2024	14.09.2023	116/2021	22,55,573	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
46	759/2024	18.10.2023	144/2020	69,45,969 and	ditto	6	2 to 6
				22,10,917			
47	760/2024	14.07.2023	569/2019	16,82,847	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
48	762/2024	27.09.2023	167/2017	10,42,807	ditto	4	1 & 4
49	763/2024	13.10.2023	140/2020	49,39,778	ditto	8	2 to 4 &
~ 0	7.7.12.22.1	1.1.07.2022	550/0010	15.51.505			6 to 8
50	767/2024	14.07.2023	572/2019	17,74,505	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
51	768/2024	14.07.2023	570/2019	16,44,856		7	3 & 7
52	769/2024	13.10.2023	525/2018	1,22,699		4	1, 3 & 4
53	770/2024	13.10.2023	137/2020	34,20,845		8	2 to 8
54	780/2024	20.09.2023	107/2018	35,76,105		4	1 to 4
55	782/2024	18.10.2023	800/2020	5,84,452 and	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
5.0	796/2024	10 10 2022	791/2020	15,63,301	1'44	7	2 4 9 7
56	786/2024	18.10.2023	/91/2020	12,93,586 and 16,63,572	ditto	/	3, 4 & 7
57	791/2024	23.08.2023	33/2020	17,48,358	ditto	7	1 to 7
58	793/2024	14.07.2023	856/2019	30,59,271	ditto	4	2 to 4
59	798/2024	18.10.2023	141/2020	10,72,951 and	ditto	8	2 to 4
	77072024	10.10.2023	141/2020	22,41,972	ditto	0	2 10 0
60	849/2024	18.10.2023	793/2020	4,49,559 and	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
				15,40,070			,
61	851/2024	14.07.2023	455/2019	11,67,716	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
62	855/2024	14.07.2023	857/2019	16,55,531	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
63	872/2024	14.07.2023	859/2019	22,29,816	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
64	877/2024	18.10.2023	792/2020	7,10,248 and	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
				16,68,089			
65	898/2024	14.07.2023	571/2019	22,69,538		7	3, 4 & 7
66	900/2024	20.12.2023	88/2022	79,77,340 and	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
67	050/2024	27.10.2023	336/2018	17,71,021	ditto	4	1 to 4
67	959/2024			15,75,600 35,99,771 and	ditto ditto	8	
68	961/2024	20.09.2023	617/2018	35,99,771 and 17,53,763	aitto	8	3, 4 & 7
69	962/2024	15.12.2023	437/2018	3,45,158	ditto	4	1 to 4
70	963/2024		1330/2021	15,82,966 and	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
70	70312024	27.10.2023	1330/2021	22,49,739	unto	,	3, 4 & 7
71	964/2024	15.12.2023	103/2018	6,19,165	ditto	4	1 & 4
72	965/2024	20.12.2023	86/2022	21,80,436		4	2 to 4
73	966/2024	15.12.2023	109/2015	23,44,830		4	1 to 4
74	967/2024	08.11.2023	335/2020	11,03,689 and	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
			<u> </u>	17,19,691			
75	968/2024	14.07.2023	1200/2019	17,77,296	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
76	969/2024	18.10.2023	142/2020	26,42,626 and 9,61,749	ditto	6	2 to 4, 6 to 8
77	970/2024	15.12.2023	794/2020	8,60,793	ditto	4	1, 3 & 4
78	971/2024	27.10.2023	1334/2021	22,70,261 and		7	2 to 4, 6 & 7
				5,27,283			

I	0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000	07.10.000	610/2010	22111-	40		1 2 2 2
79	973/2024	27.10.2023	619/2018	25,16,453		4	1, 3 & 4
80	974/2024	15.12.2023	436/2018	5,35,602		4	1 to 4
81	976/2024	18.10.2023	799/2020	25,84,501 and 22,45,240		8	2 & 8
82	977/2024	18.10.2023	798/2020	20,37,557 and 16,91,416		7	3 & 5 to7
83	978/2024	27.10.2023	1338/2021	6,85,879	ditto	4	1 to 4
84	979/2024	15.12.2023	119/2021	31,54,795	ditto	4	3 & 4
85	980/2024	15.11.2023	705/2020	30,64,051 and 18,60,416		7	1 to 7
86	1010/2024	27.10.2023	1331/2021	16,09,282 and 17,59,695	ditto	8	2 to 8
87	1011/2024	27.09.2023	166/2017	5,41,796	ditto	4	1 & 4
88	1012/2024	15.11.2023	704/2020	7,53,042 and 21,26,527	ditto	6	3,4 & 7
89	1013/2024	27.09.2023	165/2017	3,53,376	ditto	4	1 & 4
90	1014/2024	15.12.2023	120/2021	17,90,039 and 5,10,433		8	2 to 4 & 6 to 8
91	1016/2024	08.11.2023	339/2020	5,98,655 and 17,53,225		7	1 to 7
92	1017/2024	15.11.2023	108/2015	31,45,509	ditto	4	1 to 4
93	1018/2024	14.07.2023	454/2019	17,22,683	ditto	7	3, 4 & 7
94	1019/2024	27.12.2023	699/2020	4,38,546	ditto	4	1 to 4
95	1020/2024	15.12.2023	90/2022	79,07,607 and 9,46,541	ditto	8	2 to 4 & 6 to 8
96	1028/2024	27.12.2023	1333/2021	4,21,829	ditto	4	1 to 4
97	1060/2024	27.12.2023	620/2018	3,08,538.30	ditto	4	1 to 4
98	1088/2024	13.10.2023	663/2017	9,29,125	ditto	4	1 to 4
99	1104/2024	20.12.2023	89/2022	14,64,530 and 17,01,485		7	3, 4 & 7
100	1129/2024	20.12.2023	87/2022	29,05,209 and 5,37,488	ditto	8	4, 7 & 8
101	1130/2024	27.10.2023	1336/2021	41,00,617 and 22,80,876	ditto	8	1 to 8
102	1131/2024	15.12.2023	621/2018	6,06,143.69	ditto	4	1 to 4
103	1133/2024	08.11.2023	700/2020	18,17,783 and 9,46,234		8	2 to 4 & 6 to 8
104	1298/2024	08.11.2023	698/2020	27,05,665 and 21,70,286		7	2 to 7
105	1319/2024	15.12.2023	104/2018	3,76,004	ditto	4	1 to 4
106	1493/2024	18.11.2023	123/2021	79,71,819 and 22,00,074		7	2 to 4, 6 & 7
107	1506/2024	18.11.2023	1339/2021	10,00,005 and 5,40,739	ditto	8	4 & 7
108	1540/2024	15.11.2023	337/2018	17,49,681		4	1 to 4
109	1546/2024	15.11.2023	341/2020	79,63,615 and 22,52,006	ditto	7	1 to 7
110	1552/2024	27.10.2023	1332/2021	29,23,587 and 5,32,850	ditto	7	2 to 4, 6 & 7

111	1557/2024	15.11.2023	706/2020	31,09,889 and	ditto	7	3,4 & 7
				21,84,451			
112	1562/2024	08.11.2023	337/2020	22,41,255	ditto	7	1 to7
113	1565/2024	18.11.2023	1337/2021	5,09,659	ditto	8	4,6 & 7
114	1569/2024	15.11.2023	701/2020	16,20,578 and	ditto	7	1 to7
				16,62,357			
115	1570/2024	08.09.2023	707/2020	31,73,741 and	ditto	7	1 to 7
				22,49,159			

4. The main grounds urged in all the revision petitions by the State that the order of discharge made by the Trial Court invoking Sections 227 and 239 of Cr.P.C., are contrary to the evidence, materials on record and opposed to law and facts and probabilities of the case, therefore, the impugned judgment and orders are liable to be set aside. The learned counsel appearing for the State also contend that the Trial Court has passed an order on the sustainability of the charge sheet after the commencement of the trial which is highly impermissible in law. It is also contended that the Trial Court had already rejected the application filed by the accused persons for discharge and again invoking of provision of Sections 227 and 239 of Cr.P.C does not arise and *suo moto* passed the said orders without any application. It is also contended that the Trial Judge has discharged the accused persons mainly on the ground that the prosecution has failed to produce the original Technical Vigilance Committee Cell report (for short 'TVCC report') and the same is

very much necessary to prove the case of the prosecution as the complaint was registered only on the basis of the TVCC report. The Trial Court has erred in discharging the accused persons for the reason that original TVCC report is not produced. counsel further contend that if really the same is required, the Trial Court ought to have given the opportunity to lead secondary evidence by following the procedure prescribed under the Evidence Act and without there being an opportunity to prove the case, interdicted the proceedings and hence, the Trial Court has erroneously discharged the accused persons in an inappropriate manner. It is also contend that the Trial Court volunteered at the initial stages of the evidence itself to secure the presence of Chief Engineer, BBMP and voluntarily checked the availability of the original TVCC report in the office of the Chief Engineer, BBMP and on the basis of the statement of the Chief Engineer, BBMP, the Trial Court has come to the conclusion that the original TVCC report is not available and hence, the charge-sheet is not sustainable against the accused persons and shown personal interest in interdicting the proceedings by volunteering the Court.

5. The counsel for the State further contend that the Trial Court committed an error in discharging the accused persons at the stage of evidence by taking note of the subsequent developments and changed circumstance which is highly impermissible in law and the conclusion of the Trial Court that the charge-sheet is not sustainable is also erroneous since after rejection of discharge application, passed such orders at an inappropriate stage which is illegal and contrary to law. It is also contend that the Trial Court has overlooked the settled principles of law that in the absence of primary evidence, the parties are at liberty to adduce the secondary evidence before the Court at the time of evidence and without looking into the settled principles of law in a proper perspective, the Trial Court passed such an erroneous order. It is also contended that the Trial Court has also made an observation that separate charge-sheets have been filed with respect of one FIR and has come to a wrong conclusion that the prosecution should have registered separate complaints on the basis of which separate charge-sheets could have been filed. But in the instant case, the prosecution has filed separate charge-sheets with respect to one complaint and hence, the order is not sustainable in the eye of law. The Trial Court committed an error in passing such an order since crores of rupees are misappropriated as detailed above in the chart.

6. The counsel also brought to notice of this Court that multiple cases have been registered and the same has been challenged by filing separate charge-sheets on one FIR before this Court in W.P.No.7246-7250/2013 and connected matters and this Court vide its order dated 26.09.2013, upheld the validity of filing of multiple charge-sheets in one crime number. Thus, the Trial Court has lost sight of the same while considering the validity of filing of separate charge-sheets in one crime number. The counsel appearing for the State also brought to notice of this Court the observations made by this Court in W.P.No.7246-7250/2013 with regard to maintainability of several charge-sheets based on one complaint. In paragraph 36 of the said judgment, this Court referred the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2011) 3 SCC 758 in the case of ASHOK KUMAR TODI vs KISHWAR JAHAN AND OTHERS and also brought to notice of this Court paragraph 49 of the said judgment wherein the Apex Court held that it cannot be said that the CID police had no competence to file charge-sheet under Section 173(2) of Cr.P.C. Having regard to the fact that the Government transferred the investigation to CID police, the CID police assumed the status of officer-in-charge of the police empowered to take all necessary steps as contemplated by the Code regarding investigation of the case including the power to form final opinion and to place the charge-sheet before the jurisdictional Court.

7. In paragraph 37, this Court made an observation that the investigation relate to a long period from 2005-06 up to 2011-12 in respect of different Engineering Divisions. The illegalities and irregularities alleged, covers large number of officials and officers apart from Civil Contractors. having regard to the above facts and circumstances, it cannot be expected that the CID should file a single charge-sheet. On the other hand, on completion of investigation as regards particular work during a particular period, the CID has filed charge-sheets against those officers/officials/contractors involved in such work. Therefore, comes to the conclusion that no illegalities or irregularities by CID in filing multiple charge-sheets and same involves examination of large number of records, registers, etc., and therefore, very few charge-sheets have been filed and many more charge-sheets are expected to be filed as and when the investigation progresses and when the Court already comes to such a conclusion, the very same ground cannot be urged before this Court.

8. The counsel for the State also given the details of chart wherein it discloses that even after quashing of proceedings, the papers are moved before the competent authority and sanctions are given. The counsel also would vehemently contend that sanctions are obtained and also the judgment passed by this Court in W.P.No.7246-7250/2013 referred supra also challenged before the Apex Court and the Apex Court also upheld the judgment. The counsel also would vehemently contend that the Trial Court passed the suo moto order and the same is not permissible in the eye of law and the reasoning given by the Trial Court in paragraph 14 is contrary to the observations made by this Court in W.P.No.7246-**7250/2013**. The counsel also would vehemently contend that the sanction is given subsequent to the quashing of proceedings in some of the cases and proceedings cannot be terminated only on the ground of non-production of original TVCC report. The counsel also would vehemently contend that when the cognizance was taken and discharge application was dismissed,

the question of discharging the accused persons once again does not arise. The counsel also would vehemently contend that having considered the material on record, charges were framed on 03.03.2022 and charge sheet also filed and apart from that CW2 has been examined as PW1 on 24.03.2023 and new Presiding Officer took charge on 25.05.2023 and *suo moto* taken up the matter on 19.06.2023 and discharged all the accused persons in all the cases *suo moto* without any application filed by the accused persons and hence, the very approach of the Trial Court is erroneous.

9. Per contra, the learned Senior Counsel Sri Jawali appearing for the counsel Sri Chandrashekar who appears for respondents in some of the cases would vehemently contend that there was no sanction and in **W.P.No.8362/2021**, quashed the proceedings initiated against some of the accused persons. However, liberty was given. The counsel also filed separate chart in respect of 82 cases and details are given in the chart and also mentioned the offences which have been invoked against the respective respondents in those cases and also grounds urged that without sanction, prosecution was taken up and multiple charge-sheets were filed and some of the

proceedings were initiated against the officials who have been retired from the service.

10. The counsel also would vehemently contend that if any sanction is granted subsequent to quashing of proceedings since liberty was given and same has to be placed before the Trial Court and they have to follow the procedure by reopening the cases as observed in the order of this Court. The counsel also would vehemently contend that the Trial Court also having taken note of the reasoning given in W.P.No.19480/2022 decided on 29.03.2023, relied upon the principle and passed the impugned order and hence, it does not require any interference by this Court wherein this Court held that there cannot be stand alone charge-sheet without FIR and the said order has been challenged before the Apex Court and the Apex Court dismissed the Special Leave Petition. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of this Court in W.P.No.61305/2016 and connected matters decided on 05.07.2022 and brought to notice of this Court paragraph 8 of the said judgment wherein Rule 214(3) and sub-Rule (6)(b) of the Rules, specifies that no judicial proceedings, if not instituted while the Government servant was in service, whether before his retirement or during

his re-employment shall be instituted in respect of a cause of action which arose or in respect of an event which took place, more than four years before such institution. The counsel also brought to notice of this Court that the said order has been challenged before the Apex Court in **SLP** (CIVIL) DAIRY No.2275/2023 wherein notice has been ordered. The counsel referring these judgments would vehemently contend that the Trial Court has rightly comes to the conclusion that there cannot be several charge-sheets based on the same complaint.

11. The counsel Sri P N Hegde appearing for the respondents in 27 cases would vehemently contend that stand alone charge-sheet has been filed and also contend that no sanction under Section 197 and Section 19 of PC Act and charge-sheet is also filed by CID since CID took investigation and CID police is not in-charge of police station under Section 173. The counsel also would vehemently contend that stages are different in different cases. In some of the cases, status is for hear before charge and in some of the cases, charges are framed and in some of the cases, trial also commenced and without sanction, cannot proceed against the accused persons. The counsel also

given the chart wherein it shows that the cases are posted for evidence.

12. The counsel also relied upon the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.19480/2022 in the case of MUNNINARAYANAPPA VS STATE BY **KARNATAKA** LOKAYUKTA decided on 29.03.2023. The counsel also would vehemently contend that question of validity of sanction can be raised at any stage of proceedings. The accused has raised the said question by filing applications under Section 227 of Cr.P.C wherever the stage of the case was hear before the charges. The cases in which charges were already framed, the accused questioned the validity of the sanction by filing an application under Section 19 of the PC Act. In some of the cases, the Trial Court has proceeded to interdict the proceedings suo moto having noticed the absence of sanction. The reasoning given by the Trial Court is well within its jurisdiction and the interdicting of the proceedings is valid in the absence of proper sanction. The orders under challenge are nomenclature as sustainability of the charge sheet. It is well settled law that the wrong mentioning of the correct nomenclature itself does not vitiates the order.

- the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2015) 14 SCC 186 in the case of NANJAPPA vs STATE OF KARNATAKA and brought to notice of this Court paragraphs 21 and 22 wherein it is held that the question of validity of an order of sanction under Section 197 Cr.P.C could be raised and considered at any stage of proceedings. The competency of the court against the accused depends upon the existence of valid sanction if the Trial Court proceeds, despite the invalidity attached to the sanction order, the same shall be deemed to be non est.
- 14. The counsel also relied upon the judgment passed by this Court in **W.P.No.8362/2021 and connected matters** decided on **21.04.2023** wherein also proceedings was quashed on the ground of sanction and liberty is reserved to the prosecution to obtain and place on record a valid sanction from the competent authority before the concerned Court and on such production, the concerned Court is at liberty to continue the prosecution from the stage of taking cognizance and also time bound direction was given to consider the sanction.

- 15. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2009) 9 SCC 173 in the case of P K PALANISAMY vs N ARUMUGHAM AND ANOTHER and referring this judgment the counsel would vehemently contend that the order under challenge are nomenclature as sustainability of the charge-sheet. It is well settled law that the wrong mentioning of the correct nomenclature itself does not vitiates the order.
- 16. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of this Court passed in W.P.NO.56754/2018 in the case of SRI MANJUNATH HEBBAR vs THE STATE OF KARNATAKA AND ANOTHER decided on 13.12.2021 wherein this Court held that while allowing the writ petition by quashing the proceedings in respect of the petitioner and the same would be restricted to the petitioner. It is also observed that the trial, if any, pending against any other accused shall be considered by the competent Court without being influenced by the observations or the findings in the case on hand.
- 17. The counsel Sri Vijayakumar appearing for some of the respondents would vehemently contend that even though

liberty was given, no sanction was obtained and only in some of the cases, sanction was given and in some of the cases, sanction was refused. The counsel also in support of his arguments relied upon the order passed by this Court in W.P.NO.18890/2022 AND CONNECTED MATTERS decided on 21.04.2023 and brought to notice of this Court with regard to taking of cognizance of the offences and registering the criminal cases without there being sanction for such prosecution either under Section 19 of the PC Act or under Section 197 of the Cr.P.C and also taken note of identical issues of all other co-accused which was considered in W.P.NO.8362/2021 AND CONNECTED **MATTERS** and having considered the same, the writ petitions are allowed and quashed taking of cognizance of the offences, however liberty was given to the prosecution to obtain and place on record a valid sanction from the competent authority before the concerned Court and on such production, the concerned Court is at liberty to continue the prosecution from the stage of taking cognizance and also direction was given to consider this sanction within time bound period of three months.

18. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2012)12 SCC 614 in the case of

SATISH MEHRA vs STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ANOTHER wherein the Apex Court held that the power to interdict a proceeding either at the threshold or at an intermediate stage of the trial is inherent in a High Court on the broad principle that in case the allegations made in the FIR or the criminal complaint, as may be, prima facie do not disclose a triable offence, there can be reason as to why the accused should be made to suffer the agony of legal proceeding that more often than not gets protracted. Invoking Section 482 of Cr.P.C held that power to quash proceedings after charges were framed is wider as evidence adduced while submitting charge-sheet can be looked into for determining disclosure of offence against accused, where allegations do not disclose prima facie case and prosecution of accused would result in abuse of process then proceedings can be quashed either at early stage or at later stage.

in (2014) 16 SCC 807 in the case of STATE OF PUNJAB vs LABH SINGH and the counsel referring this judgment would contend that an observation is made that action of appellant State in waiting for retirement of public servant and filing charge-sheet thereafter, thus setting at naught protection

available to him under Section 19 strongly deprecated and protection is available to public servant even after retirement in respect of offences punishable under the Penal Code.

- 20. The counsel also brought to notice of this Court statement of objections filed in Crl.R.P.No.975/2024 wherein referred Annexure-R1 as well as R2 wherein sanction was refused to some of the accused vide order dated 01.07.2024. The counsel also relied upon judgment of AMIRK SINGH vs STATE OF PEPSU reported in 1955 (1) SCR 1302 wherein held that sanction for prosecution under Section 197(1) of the Cr.P.C would be necessary irrespective of whether it was, in fact, a proper discharge of his duties, because that would really be a matter of defence on the merits, which would have to be investigated at the trial, and could not arise at the stage of the grant of sanction, which must precede the institution of the prosecution.
- 21. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2016) 12 SCC 87 in the case of DEVINDER SINGH vs STATE OF PUNJAB and brought to notice of this Court paragraph 39.2 wherein it is held that once

act or omission has been found to have been committed by public servant in discharging his duty it must be given liberal and wide construction so far its official nature is concerned. Public servant is not entitled to indulge in criminal activities. To that extent Section 197 of Cr.P.C has to be construed narrowly and in a restricted manner.

- Apex Court reported in (1973) 2 SCC 701 in the case of PUKHRAJ vs STATE OF RAJASTHAN and brought to notice of this Court the law is well settled the difficulty really arises in applying the law to the facts of any particular case. The intention behind the sanction is to prevent public servants from being unnecessarily harassed. The counsel referring these judgments would vehemently contend that the order of taking cognizance cannot be obliterated. The contention that sanction would not require is unacceptable.
- 23. The counsel Sri C R. Gopalaswamy who appears for some of the respondents would vehemently contend that when the matter is pending before the Supreme Court, the order of this Court is not helpful and also TVCC report is not placed

before the Trial Court and in the absence of placing of TVCC report, very proceedings against the respondents vitiates. The counsel also would vehemently contend that revision petitions are not maintainable. The counsel also would vehemently contend that TVCC report is only a Xerox copy not the original one. The Trial Court rightly observed that the original document of TVCC report is not placed and hence, the proceedings cannot be continued.

- 24. The counsel Sri R Swaroop Anand appearing for some of the respondents would vehemently contend that no sanction is obtained under Section 197 of Cr.P.C and liberty may be given to file discharge application and the counsel also would vehemently contend that in some of the cases, order was passed on discharge application and the order impugned does not require any interference of this Court or otherwise, it amounts to abuse of process.
- 25. The counsel in support of his arguments relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2014) 2 SCC 1 in the case of LALITA KUMARI vs GOVERNMENT OF U.P. AND OTHERS and brought to notice of this Court paragraph No.111,

wherein it is held that registration of FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code, if the information discloses commission of a cognizable offence and no preliminary inquiry is permissible in such a situation. In the absence of any compliant or FIR, question of filing charge-sheet is not acceptable.

26. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2013) 5 SCC 762 in the case of VINAY TYAGI vs IRSHAD ALI AND OTHERS and brought to notice of this Court paragraph 13 wherein an observation is made with regard to relevant part of the scheme of the Code, now we must examine the powers of the Court to direct investigation invoking Section 156 in exercise of its powers under the Code. That is initial investigation, further investigation, fresh or de novo investigation and brought to notice of this Court paragraph 14 wherein it is held that empowered officer shall conduct in furtherance of an order for investigation passed by the Court of competent jurisdiction. In paragraph 15 with regard to the further investigation where the investigating officer obtains further oral or documentary evidence after the final report has been filed before the Court in terms of Section 173. The counsel also brought to notice of this Court a scope of further investigation and supplementary report and also brought to notice of this Court paragraph 16 wherein discussion was made with regard to the fresh investigation, reinvestigation or de novo investigation there has to be a definite order of the Court and discussion was made with regard to Article 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India and also brought to notice of this Court paragraph 30 wherein discussion was made with regard to scope of Section 173(2) read with Section 173 and Section 156 of the Code.

27. The counsel also relied upon the judgment passed in CIVIL APPEAL No.20982/2017 dated 08.02.2018 and brought to notice of this Court paragraph 146 wherein discussion was made with regard to the concept of 'per incuriam' signifies those decisions rendered in ignorance or forgetfulness of some inconsistent statutory provisions and also brought to notice of this Court paragraph 147(e) wherein discussion was made with regard to the decision reported in AIR 2011 SC 1989 in the case of NARMADA BACHAO ANDOLAN (III) vs STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH and also brought to notice of this Court paragraph 148 with regard to refer the case to larger Bench,

MODERN COOP. SOCIETIES LTD reported in (2010) 13 SCC 336 wherein it is held that the rule of precedent is binding for the reasons that there is a desire to secure uniformity and certainty in law. The counsel also brought to notice of this Court discussion made in paragraph 149 so also paragraph 150 wherein discussion was made with regard to Section 24(2) of the Act of 2013 and also brought to notice of this Court paragraph 151, 32 to 37 and 39. The counsel referring these judgments would vehemently contend that the order impugned does not require any interference of this Court.

28. The counsel also brought to notice of this Court the statement of objections filed on behalf of the respondent in Crl.R.P.No.1088/2024 and brought to notice of this Court regarding registration of FIR based on the complaint of one of the officials of BBMP as per Annexure-R1 and later the petitioner herein was entrusted with the investigation and after conducting investigation, the CID filed the charge-sheet and produced the documents at Annexure-R2 and R3 and so also Annexure-R4 i.e., the copy of the charge-sheet and also taking of cognizance as per Annexure-R5 and also charge-sheets are filed before the

different Court and arraying of respondent as respondent No.6 in Spl.C.C.No.663/2017 and contend that all charge-sheets are filed based on the FIR in Cr.No.4/2011 and also contend that the original TVCC report is not produced along with the charge-sheet filed by the respondent before any Court and copy of TVCC report also produced at Annexure-R8 and brought to notice of this Court Annexure-R9 and also admission given by the witnesses that in Ex.P1 and P2, there is no any other reference to other BBMP ward number except 32 and 205. The counsel also brought to notice of this Court the answers elicited from the mouth of witnesses during the course of their cross-examination and contend that there is illegality in accepting the charge-sheet filed by the prosecution and ought to have been considered the charge-sheet and multiple charge-sheets are based on only single FIR and the present charge-sheets are not supplementary charge-sheet under Section 173 (8) but standalone charge-sheet Section 173(2) of Cr.P.C. The counsel also would vehemently contend that without prejudice to the above the undersigned herein would like to traverse the contentions made in the When they do not find original TVCC report and petition. additional report, now the question raised is how attested copy

of TVCC report and additional TVCC report were obtained when there are no originals found with them and this itself is a wrong process and so called attested copies claimed by the prosecution cannot be branded as attested copies as original of them is still not available for inspection and contend that the Trial Court rightly passed the order in interdicting the proceedings and hence, it does not require interference.

- 29. The counsel Sri Partha appearing for some of the respondents would vehemently contend that the accused being the contractors were also erroneously booked in the case and false charge sheet has been filed against them. This counsel adopts the arguments of other counsel who appears for other respondents.
- 30. The counsel Sri S Rajashekar would vehemently contend that the charges are framed and original TVCC report is not placed before the court and hence, there cannot be any criminal prosecution against the contractors.
- 31. The counsel Sri Shanti Bhushan filed the written submission before the Court along with the citations and contend that improper registration of FIRs and filing of multiple charge-

sheets were based on a single FIR, which is impermissible in law. The lack of prior sanction under Section 197 of Cr.P.C and Section 19 of the PC Act rendering the prosecution is void and non-production of the original TVCC report which was the basis of the entire charge-sheet is also considered by the Trial Court while interdicting the proceedings. The counsel also contend that denial of a fair trial, violating Article 21 of the constitution of India since the State has preferred an appeal before the High Court, the order of discharge must be defended on strong legal principles. The charge-sheet is legally unsustainable due to fundamental defects in investigation since, the violation of criminal procedure and multiple charge-sheets are based on a single FIR and the prosecution filed multiple charge-sheets in 145 cases from a Single FIR and the Apex Court held that filing of multiple charge-sheets from one FIR is impermissible in the case of T.T. ANTORY vs STATE OF KERALA reported in (2001) 6 SCC 181 wherein it is held that second FIR on the same facts is impermissible. The prosecution must consolidate all offences into one charge-sheet or file separate FIRs where different transactions are involved.

- 32. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2013) 6 SCC 384 in the case of ANJU **CHOUDHARY vs STATE OF U.P.** wherein it is held that filing of multiple charge-sheets without separate FIRs is illegally defective. Each offence must be independently registered and investigated properly and hence, the Trial Court correctly ruled that the charge-sheet was illegal and violated fundamental principles of criminal law. The counsel also would vehemently contend that the mandatory prior sanction for public servants was not obtained as contemplated under Section 19 of the PC Act and absence of prior sanction renders prosecution null and void and also the Courts cannot take cognizance of an offence against a public servant without prior sanction as held in the judgment reported in (1996) 11 SCC 439 in the case of STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH vs DR. KRISHNA CHANDRA SAKSENA.
- 33. Learned counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in **(2012)** 3 SCC 64 in the case of **B.SUBRAMANIAN SWAMY VS. MANMOHAN SINGH**, wherein it is held that sanction is a jurisdictional requirement, and failure to obtain it vitiates the entire proceedings. Since no valid

charge-sheet sanction was obtained, the was legally unsustainable, and the Trial Court correctly discharged the accused. With regard to failure to produce the original Technical Vigilance Committee Cell (TVCC) report, learned counsel would contend that TVCC report was the primary document forming the basis of the entire prosecution. The prosecution admitted that the original TVCC report was missing, and it relied on attested copies. The Trial Court also issued witness summons to produce the original report, but the prosecution failed to comply. It is contended that legal principle is that primary documentary evidence is essential for a valid charge-sheet.

- 34. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2011) 3 SCC 317 in the case of V.S. ACHUTHANANDAN VS. R. BALAKRISHNA PILLAI, wherein it is held that a trial cannot proceed when primary evidence is missing and reliance on attested copies without original documents renders a charge-sheet legally defective.
- 35. The counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2014) 5 SCC 108 in the case of STATE OF GUJARAT VS. KISHANBHAI, wherein it is held that

investigations must be based on primary documents and if the foundational evidence is missing, prosecution cannot be sustained.

- Apex Court reported in (1992) SUPP 1 SCC 335 in the case of STATE OF HARIYANA VS. BHAJAN LAL and contend that a defective investigation violates fundamental rights and Courts must quash proceedings where investigations violate due process. Learned counsel would vehemently contend that this Court has already quashed similar cases, making further prosecution legally untenable and continuing the trial would violate the fundamental right of accused to a fair trial under Article 21. Hence, the Trial Court rightly discharged the accused persons and prayed this Court to dismiss the revision petitions.
- 37. Learned counsel also would vehemently contend that in the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2012) 12 SCC 406 in the case of AJAY KUMAR PARMAR VS. STATE OF RAJASTHAN, the Apex Court clarified that Courts have the power to review and consider discharge applications based on the evolving nature of the evidence presented and contend that

under Sections 63 and 65 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, secondary evidence is admissible only if the prosecution convincingly establishes that the primary evidence is lost or unavailable due to circumstances beyond its control. However, the prosecution neither established the loss of the original report nor followed proper legal procedures to introduce secondary evidence. The prosecution claims that the Trial Court improperly volunteered to investigate the TVCC report by summoning the Chief Engineer, BBMP to verify the availability of the report. It is also contended that the Court is empowered to conduct necessary fact-finding measures to ensure a fair trial. In this case, verifying the availability of a crucial document was essential for determining the sustainability of the case and in the absence of original report, it directly impacts the prosecution ability to prove the charges.

38. Learned counsel would contend that while the High Court may have ruled that multiple charge-sheets were permissible, the Trial Court still had the authority to assess whether such a practice compromised the fairness of the trial. The principle of "one FIR, one charge-sheet" is a well-established legal norm, except in cases where different transactions occur

within the same offence. It is further contended that Trial Court exercised its discretion under Sections 227 and 239 Cr.P.C. after carefully examining the materials on record. Given the lack of primary evidence (TVCC report), procedural inconsistencies, and the failure of the prosecution to establish a prima facie case, the discharge was legally justified and mere dissatisfaction of the petitioners with the Trial Court's order is not a ground for setting it aside and the Appellate or Revisional Court can only intervene if there is a jurisdiction error, a manifest illegality and a gross miscarriage of justice. Hence, question of interfering with the order of the Trial Court does not arise.

- 39. Learned counsel also filed a memo dated 24.02.2025 along with the chart giving the details as to in which cases, he appears for respective respondents and he also adopts the written submissions filed in Crl.R.P.No.975/2024 in all the petitions which are stated therein.
- 40. Sri P.M. Gopi, learned counsel for the respondents also filed a memo dated 20.02.2025 stating the details in which cases, the Trial Court has framed charges and trial has been commenced i.e., in total 20 cases.

- 41. Sri M. Basavaraj, learned counsel for the respondents has also filed a statement on 20.02.2025 stating the details in which cases, he appears for the respective respondents i.e., in total 75 cases and Sri Vijaya Kumar, learned counsel has also filed a statement giving the details in which cases he appears for the respective respondents.
- 42. Sri Hanumantharaya D., learned counsel has also filed a memo stating in which cases, he appears for the respective respondents i.e., accused No.3 in all the cases which are stated therein, except Crl.R.P.No.543/2024 for accused No.2 and contend that all these matters are discharged at the stage of sustainability of charge-sheet, charge is not framed in all the cases and in the cases mentioned therein, the accused are discharged having considered the material on record.
- 43. Sri S. Rajashekar, learned counsel would submit that in Crl.R.P.No.168/2024 arising out of Spl.C.C.No.508/2014, charges are framed against respondent No.3. In Crl.R.P.No.1714/2023 arising out of Spl.C.C.No.236/2014 and Crl.R.P.No.769/2024 arising out of Spl.C.C.No.525/2014, charges are framed against respondent Nos.2 and 3 and contend

that there is no legal impediment for the Court to discharge the accused persons, even though charges have been framed.

- 44. Sri R. Swaroop Anand, learned counsel though contend that order was passed on discharge application, mainly relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (2013) 5 SCC 762 in the case of VINAY TYAGI VS. IRSHAD ALI AND **OTHERS,** particularly paragraph Nos.13 to 16. Learned counsel would contend that stand alone charge-sheet is filed, no permission was taken under Section 178 Cr.P.C. and stand alone charge-sheets are filed invoking Section 173(2). Learned counsel would contend that no material is collected in respect of Rajarajeshwarinagar and Trial Court given reasons while passing such an order. The counsel would vehemently contend that judgment of this Court in coming to the conclusion that stand alone charge-sheet can be filed on single FIR becomes per incuriam, in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in **VINAY TYAGI's** case and the same was not referred.
- 45. In reply to this argument, learned SPP for the petitioner-State would contend that dropping of the case is not sustainable in the eye of law and the same is not recognized,

once the discharge applications are dismissed and thereafter, charges are also framed and even in some of the cases, trial has been commenced and without appreciation of the evidence and completion of the same, the conclusion of the trial of the prosecution is erroneous. He would contend that order on discharge application was passed not at the instance of the accused and dropping of proceedings suo moto by the Trial Court is erroneous. He would further contend that there is no question of no de novo, as there was no such de novo investigation as contended by Sri R. Swaroop Anand, learned counsel. He also contends that **VINAY TYAGI's** case is not applicable and TVCC report can be relied upon by the prosecution, even producing secondary evidence before the Court. He would contend that the Police are not having any competence cannot be reagitated again, since this Court in the earlier judgment referred by him, particularly in paragraph No.36 answered the same and once again, they cannot raise the very same objection. He also further submits that TVCC report is only a preliminary enquiry report before initiating the proceedings and the same is not the main material relied upon by the prosecution. Hence, the very

contention of learned counsel for the respondents cannot be accepted.

46. Learned SPP for the petitioner-State also filed a memo dated 25.02.2025 providing details in respect of 145 prosecution cases, wherein it is stated that in some of the cases, prosecution sanction is not applicable and in some of the cases, sanction is given in respect of some of the respondents, particularly in respect of accused Nos.1 to 3 in Crl.R.P.No.1714/2023. So also in Crl.R.P.No.663/2024, sanction is given in respect of accused Nos.1 to 9, except accused No.5-B.T. Ramesh. In Crl.R.P.No.544/2024, sanction is given in respect of accused Nos.1 to 4, 6 and 7 and rejected in respect of accused No.5. In Crl.R.P.No.966/2024, sanction is given in 3 respect of accused Nos.1 to and SO also in Crl.R.P.No.1546/2024, sanction is given against accused Nos.3 and 7, in Crl.R.P.No.1013/2024, sanction is pending against accused No.1 and sanction is given against accused Nos.2 and 3. In Crl.R.P.No.976/2024, sanction is given against accused Nos.1, 3, 7 and 8 and so also in Crl.R.P.No.1020/2024, sanction is given against accused Nos.1 and 3, 7 and 8 and sanction is given

No.3 against accused in Crl.R.P.No.1298/2024. In Crl.R.P.No.967/2024, sanction is given against accused Nos.2, 3, 6 and 7 and rejected against accused Nos.1 and 5. In Crl.R.P.No.486/2024, sanction is given against accused Nos.1, 3 and 7 and so also in Crl.R.P.No.961/2024, sanction is given against accused Nos.1 to 3 and 6 to 8 and rejected only against accused No.5-B.T. Ramesh. In respect of other cases also, details are given as regards sanction and rejection against the respective accused. Hence, he would contend that in view of granting sanction, after quashing of proceedings, liberty was given to move the same Court having obtained the sanction and to continue the proceedings against them, in view of sanction He would further contend that, if sanction was not given. granted, question of continuing the proceedings does not arise. However, this Court while passing an order quashing the proceedings also, liberty was given and even time frame was fixed to consider the sanction. When such being the case, the very contention of learned counsel for the respondents cannot be accepted and the order passed by the Trial Court suo moto requires to be set aside by allowing revision petitions, since order is not sustainable in the eve of law.

- 47. Having heard learned SPP for the petitioner-State and respective counsels appearing for the respective respondents and also the principles laid down in the judgments referred supra by the respective counsels, the points that would arise for consideration of this Court are:
 - (1) Whether the Trial Court, in all the cases, committed an error in passing the impugned order in coming to the conclusion that charge-sheets filed against the respondents/accused are not sustainable in the eye of law invoking Sections 227 and 239 of Cr.P.C. without any application by suo moto and whether it requires interference of this Court by exercising revisional jurisdiction?
 - (2) What order?

Point No.(1)

48. Having heard learned SPP for the petitioner-State and respective counsels appearing for the respective respondents, the moot question that arises for consideration before this Court is whether the Trial Court committed an error in discharging the respective accused persons in all the cases invoking Section 227 and 239 Cr.P.C. and the same is contrary to evidence and material on record. It is important to note that

the Trial Court has passed an order on sustainability of the charge-sheet after commencement of the trial in some of the cases and in some of the cases, charges have been framed and no dispute with regard to the fact that in some of the cases, witnesses have been examined and charges are also framed and discharge applications are also dismissed. It is also important to note that the Trial Court while discharging the accused persons mainly comes to the conclusion that the prosecution has failed to produce the original TVCC report and the same is very much necessary to prove the case of the prosecution, as the complaint was registered only on the basis of the TVCC report. It is also the contention of learned SPP for the petitioner-State that Trial Court has committed an error in discharging the accused persons for the reason that original TVCC report is not produced. doubt, order sheet discloses that direction was given to produce TVCC report and though contend that the same is available in some of the cases, the same was not found in the said file also.

49. It is important to note that, no doubt, FIR was registered based on the TVCC report, since there was preliminary enquiry conducted by the authority against the respondents/accused, who were entrusted with the work of

allotting, overseeing and checking the civil work undertaken by the BBMP in respect of asphalting of roads, construction of drains and the allegation against the accused is that not properly held any periodical inspections, in order to assess the progress of civil work and quality of the civil work undertaken, helped the private civil contractors in drawing the contract amount which was far more than what was agreed upon. Further, the allegations against the accused persons are that these accused persons hatched a criminal conspiracy with a sole intention of causing huge loss to the State exchequer and they helped the civil private contractors to collect the said amount by creating, concocting and fabricating documents only with a view to help them to cheat the Government.

50. It is also important to note that offences invoked are under Section 120B IPC-criminal conspiracy, criminal breach of trust under Section 409 IPC and fabrication of document and using the same as genuine document and also invoked Sections 465, 468 and 477A IPC and Sections 13(1)(c)(d) and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, since the accused persons indulged in causing loss to State exchequer in connivance with the private contractors causing huge loss to the

State exchequer. It is also important to note that TVCC report is only a preliminary report before initiation of the proceedings and thereafter, case was registered and matter was entrusted to special body to investigate the matter and it is also not in dispute that stand alone charge-sheets are filed before the Trial Court.

51. It is important to note that very initiation of proceedings was challenged before this Court in W.P.Nos.7246-7250/2013 and connected petitions. This Court, vide order dated 26.09.2013, when the issues were raised with regard to competency of the Police Station and registration of one FIR and filing of several charge-sheets, a question was raised whether BMTF has authority to register the cases for the offence in Crime No.4/2011 and to investigate the same and the fact that Crime No.4/2011 is only the FIR which is registered is not in dispute. It is also important to note that in these writ petitions, question was raised whether transfer of investigation in Crime No.4/2011 from BMTF to CID by the Government was competent and also point was framed with regard to nature of accusations made in various charge-sheets filed by CID against the public servants, whether the bar created under Section 197 of Cr.P.C. is

attracted, if so, whether the learned Magistrate is justified in taking cognizance of the offences alleged and ordering issue of summons against the public servants involved in those cases and the fact that cognizance is taken is not in dispute and also this Court has taken note of proceedings of the Government of Karnataka for creation of Bangalore Metropolitan Task Force vide Government order dated 19.03.1996 and sanction was accorded to constitute a force to be called Bangalore Metropolitan Task Force was also taken note. This Court also taken note of notification dated 27.05.1996, Government order 28.08.1997 and the notification dated 02.09.2002, Government Order dated 17.11.2004 and proceedings of Government of Karnataka dated 02.02.2013 and so also, notification issued by Home Secretary dated 06.02.2013. Having considered all these material, including Section 2(s) of Cr.P.C. which defines 'Police Station' as well as Section 154 Cr.P.C., also taken note of the judgment of the Apex Court in ASHOK KUMAR TODI's case referring the definition of word 'investigation' found in Section 2(h) of Cr.P.C. and relied upon paragraph No.48 of the judgment.

- 52. Apart from that, in detail discussed in paragraph No.30 while answering point No.1 with regard to competency of the Police to investigate the matter and file the charge-sheet. This Court also while considering Point No.2 also taken note of transferring the case from BMTF to CID and answered the same that there was no error in passing such an order and so also relied upon paragraph No.49 of the judgment of **ASHOK KUMAR TODI's** case, particularly paragraph No.36 of the order of this Court which reads as hereunder:
 - "36) As noticed supra, it is the contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that CID Police had no jurisdiction to file the charge sheet before the jurisdictional Magistrate since they were directed by the Government to submit a report to the Government, as such, the charge sheets filed are without jurisdiction. I find no substance in this contention. The Government in exercise of its power of superintendence over the Police Force and having regard to the seriousness of the allegations made involving huge sums of public money, transferred the investigation to CID Police. Of course, in the notification, the CID was directed to complete the investigation at an early date and take steps to submit the report to the Government. This direction in my considered opinion, does not come in the way

of the power of the CID Police as officers-in-charge of the police station to file final report in terms of 173(2) of Cr.P.C. on completion Section investigation. The Government notification does not preclude the CID Police from exercising the power vested in the Investigating Officer to file final report under Section 173(2) of Cr.P.C. to the jurisdictional court. In every case registered in respect of cognizable offences under Section 154 of Cr.P.C., the officer-in-charge of the police station having jurisdiction to investigate, is empowered to proceed with the investigation and on completion investigation to form opinion as to whether on the materials collected, there is a case to place the accused before the Magistrate for trial and if so, to take necessary steps for the same by filing a charge sheet under Section 173(2) of Cr.P.C. The Apex Court in **Ashok Kumar Todi'**s case referred to supra, in Paragraph-49 has set-out various steps contemplated by Code of Criminal Procedure to be carried-out during investigation, which reads as under:-

- 49. The Code contemplates the following to be carried-out during such steps investigation:-
- *i)* proceeding to the spot;
- ii) ascertainment of the facts and circumstances of the case;

- iii) discovery and arrest of the suspected offender;
- iv) collection of evidence relating to the commission of the offence which may consist of
 - a) The examination of various persons (including the accused) and the reduction of their statements into writing, if the officer thinks fit,
 - b) The search of places or seizure of things considered necessary for the investigation and to be produced at the trial; and
- v) formation of opinion as to whether on the material collected there is a case to place the accused before a Magistrate for trial and, if so, to take necessary steps for the same by filing of charge sheet under Section 173."

Therefore, in the light of the above, it cannot be said that the CID Police had no competence to file charge sheet under Section 173(2) of Cr.P.C. Having regard to the fact that the Government transferred the investigation to CID police, the CID police assumed the status of officer-in-charge of the police empowered to take all necessary steps contemplated by the Code regarding investigation of the case including the power to form final opinion and to place the charge sheet before

jurisdictional court. Merely because, in the notification issued by the Government, CID was directed to submit a report to the Government, it cannot be interpreted that the CID police had no jurisdiction to file the charge sheet in terms of Section 173(2) of Cr.P.C.. The direction so contained in notification will have to be construed as a direction to CID to submit a status report to the Government as to the action taken by it in respect of the investigation of the case entrusted to it. In this view of the matter, I find no substance in the said contention and accordingly, it is rejected".

- 53. This Court also would like to rely upon paragraph No.37 of the order, wherein also considered the fact that CID filed multiple charge-sheets, as the respondents once again had raised the very same objection. Hence, this Court would like to extract paragraph No.37 of the judgment passed by this Court, which reads as hereunder:
 - "37) Yet another argument which calls for consideration is about C.I.D filing multiple charge sheets. There is no statutory provisions which curtails the power of the Investigating Officer to file multiple charge sheets. As noticed supra, the allegations made in the FIR were general in nature. Without mentioning the names of any of the

officials/officers/person in the FIR, it was generally stated that there is large scale defalcation of the funds of BBMP on the basis of the forged, fabricated and created documents and the entire matter requires a detailed investigation. It was for that reason only, the case was registered against the be identified accused. persons to as investigation relate to a long period from 2005-06 upto 2011-12 in respect of different Engineering Divisions. The illegalities and irregularities alleged, covers large number of officials and officers apart from Civil Contractors. Therefore, having regard to the above facts and circumstances, it cannot be expected that the CID should file a single charge sheet, On the other hand, on completion of investigation as regards particular work during a particular period, the CID has filed charge sheets against those officers/officials/contractors involved in such work. Therefore, I find no illegalities or irregularities in CID filing multiple charge sheets. In fact, according to the learned Advocate General, as on today, the Investigating Officer is able to complete the investigation in respect of certain works only since it involves examination of large amount of records, registers, etc. and therefore, very few charge sheets have been filed and many more charge sheets are expected to be filed, as and when the investigation progresses."

- With regard to the contention raised by the 54. respondents/accused as regards filing of multiple charge-sheets is concerned, already there was an order passed by this Court. It is also important to note that order passed by this Court in the above writ petitions connected with other writ petitions was challenged before the Apex Court and the Apex Court affirmed the order is not in dispute and the respondents once again cannot raise the very same issue since, it has attained its finality with regard to competency as well as filing of multiple chargesheets, based on single FIR is concerned. It is also important to note that scope of revision under Section 227 and 239 Cr.P.C. is very limited. Admittedly, some of the accused have filed the application for discharge earlier and no dispute with regard to the fact that same are dismissed. In the case on hand, the Trial Court proceeded suo moto invoking Sections 227 and 239 Cr.P.C. voluntarily interdicting the proceedings in the absence of the application.
- 55. This Court would like to rely upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in (1979) 2 SCC 179 in the case of RATILAL BHANJI MITHANI VS. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

AND OTHERS, wherein it is held that 'discharge' and 'acquittal' are distinct concepts, after framing of charge there cannot be a 'discharge' but only an 'acquittal' based on a finding of not guilty turning on the merits of the case, hence High Court could rightfully interfered in revision under Section 439 with an order of discharge passed after the framing of the charge, and order retrial, High Court was not barred by plea of autre fois acquit under Section 403(1) Cr.P.C., 1973, Sections 239 to 249 and 401 and 300 was also considered by the Court. Once a charge is framed in a warrant case, instituted either on complaint or a police report, the Magistrate has no power under the Code to discharge the accused, and thereafter, he can either acquit or convict the accused unless he decides to proceed under Sections 349 and 562 of the Code of 1892 (which correspond to Sections 325 and 360 of the Code of 1973) and the same is discussed in paragraph No.28 and the Apex Court in paragraph No.27 held that Section 253(1) shows that as a general rule there can be no order of discharge unless the evidence of all the prosecution witnesses has been taken and the Magistrate considers for reasons to be recorded, in the light of the evidence, that no case has been made out. Sub-section (2) which authorizes the

Magistrate to discharge the accused at any previous stage of the case if he considers the charge to be groundless, is an exception to that rule. A discharge without considering the evidence taken is illegal. It is also held in paragraph No.30 of the judgment that if after framing charge the Magistrate whimsically, without appraising the evidence and without permitting the prosecution to produce all its evidence, 'discharges' the accused, such an acquittal, without trial, even if clothed as 'discharge', will be illegal. The Apex Court also in paragraph No.35, held that assuming arguendo, the Magistrate's order of discharge was an order of 'acquittal', then also, it does not alter the fact that this 'acquittal' was manifestly illegal. It was not passed on merits, but without any trial, without consequent failure of justice. The High Court has undoubtedly the power to interfere with such a patently illegal order of acquittal in exercise of its revisional jurisdiction under Section 439, and direct a retrial.

56. Having considered the principles laid down in the judgment of this Court and also considering the factual aspects of the case, after framing of charge and also recording of evidence of witnesses in some of the cases, the Trial Court proceeded to interdict in the midst of the proceedings which is

unknown to law and ought to have considered the same after recording the evidence of prosecution by allowing the prosecution to place all the materials before the Court. Hence, the very act of the Trial Court is illegal. Having perused the reasons assigned by the Trial Court while interdicting the proceedings, taken note of order passed by this Court passed in W.P.NO.19480 OF 2022 dated 29.03.2023 in the case of T.P.MUNNINARAYANAPPA VS. STATE BY KARNATAKA **LOKAYUKTA** that there must be a separate complaint and FIR. Having considered this judgment, already in respect of these particular cases are concerned, similar issue was raised before this Court and this Court already taken note of the same and extracted paragraph Nos.36 and 37 of the order passed by this Court and the same has been challenged before the Apex Court and it was affirmed by the Apex Court. When such being the case, the Trial Court committed an error in relying upon this judgment. In this regard, similar contention was taken and the same was rejected and Apex Court also, affirmed the said judgment and it has attained its finality. Hence, the Trial Court ought not to have relied upon the said judgment and the factual

aspect of the said case is on a different footing and a different subject.

The Trial Court also considered the evidence of P.W.1 57. and also the document which was marked as Ex.P1 and ought not to have discussed the same, when the matter was challenged before this Court and confirmed by the Apex Court and also taken note of Ex.P1 marked in Special Case No.467/2014 and considered the evidence of P.W.2 and also Ex.P3 and discussed the evidence of P.Ws.1 and 2 and the very procedure adopted by the Trial Court is erroneous, unless allow all the witnesses on behalf of the prosecution to lead evidence and place on record the material and ought not to have discarded the same, in view of the judgment referred supra, wherein it is categorically held that prosecution ought to have been allowed to place all the material before the Court and discussed the evidence of P.W.2 that evidence of P.W.2 helps the Court to come to a conclusion as of now original TVCC report is not before the Court and appreciation of the evidence of P.Ws.1 and 2 of that case are looked into, it is the primary documents on which all 145 charge-sheets have been submitted before the Court. The true copies of which are filed as Exs.P2 and P3 in that case. When such documents are placed and marked as Exs.P2 and P3, only comes to the conclusion that original is not placed. When the document has already been marked as Exs.P2 and P3, whether the same is admissible or reliable or if any attempt is made to place the secondary evidence before the Court and also prosecution got an opportunity to place secondary evidence also, even if Exs.P2 and P3 are marked and the same would be considered in further proceedings of those cases and instead of allowing the prosecution to place either the original or secondary evidence before the Court, the Trial Court hurriedly interdicted the proceedings in passing such an order. It is also the contention that it is only preliminary report before initiation of proceedings as contended by learned SPP and prosecution not only placed reliance only on the TVCC report, but other material is also collected and ought to have given opportunity to the prosecution to place on record the material and instead of giving an opportunity to the prosecution, committed an error and the judgment referred supra is very clear that once trial has been commenced, it means, it has to end with an acquittal and not of discharge and the very reasoning given by the Trial Court is erroneous interdicting the

proceedings without allowing the prosecution to place the material before the Court with regard to the charges leveled against them.

58. It is also settled law that the Apex Court in the judgment reported in (2023) 12 SCC 735 in the case of CHANDI PULIYA VS. STATE OF WEST BENGAL, while considering Section 300(1) r/w. Section 227 and 228, plea of double jeopardy and proper stage for considering the stage of discharge i.e., prior to framing of charge, clarified the law that the stage of discharge under Section 227 is a stage prior to framing of the charge under Section 228 and it is at that stage alone that the Court can consider the application under Section 300, because once the Court rejects the discharge application, it would proceed to framing of charge under Section 228, resultantly, considering entire legal position, matter remitted to Trial Court to consider the application under Section 300(1) along with discharge application to pass appropriate orders on framing of the charge under Section 228, in case the objection/defence under Section 300(1) is overruled and Trial Court is satisfied that there is sufficient grounds for framing of charge against the accused. In paragraph No.5.1 also, the Apex

Court held that the Courts below have failed to appreciate that the present proceedings arise from the discharge proceedings and that the stage of discharge under Section 227 Cr.P.C. precedes the stage of framing of charge under Section 228 Cr.P.C. and also taken note of the judgment reported in (1979) 2 SCC 179 in the case of RATILAL BHANJI MITHANI VS. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, wherein also it is held that once the charges are framed, the accused is disentitled from praying for discharge. It is also held that, once the Court rejects the discharge application, it would proceed to framing of charge under Section 228 Cr.P.C. In the case on hand, it is not in dispute that the earlier applications filed for discharge are rejected and thereafter, charges are framed in almost all cases and the same is admitted by the learned counsel for the respondents by filing a memo stating that charges are farmed in maximum number of cases. Hence, this judgment is aptly applicable to the case on hand.

59. This Court also would like to reply upon the judgment of the Apex Court reported in 2008 (4) MH.L.J. 347 in the case of PRAMOD NARAYAN BANDEKAR AND ANOTHER VS. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, wherein also the

Apex Court discussed with regard to scope of Section 227 and 239 Cr.P.C. In the said judgment, considered the judgment of the Apex Court in **RATILAL BHANJI MITHANI's** case, wherein it is held that once the charge is framed, the Magistrate has no power under Section 227 of Criminal Procedure Code or any other provision of the Code to cancel the charge and reverse the proceedings and discharge the accused.

60. This Court also would like to rely upon the judgment reported in (2008) 10 SCC 109 in the case of BHARAT PARIKH VS. CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ANOTHER, wherein also the earlier judgment of RATILAL BHANJI MITHANI VS. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA is followed and the Apex Court also held that once a charge is framed, the Magistrate has no power under Section 227 or any other provision of the Code of Criminal Procedure to cancel such charge and to discharge the accused. It is also observed that once charge has been framed and the accused pleads not guilty, the Magistrate is required to proceed with the trial to its logical end. In other words, once a charge is framed in a warrant case instituted either on complaint or a police report, the Magistrate has no power under the Code to discharge the accused. He can,

thereafter, either acquit or convict the accused. But, in the case on hand, the learned Magistrate had committed an error in interdicting the proceedings and not allowing the prosecution to proceed further and place on record the material which have been collected during the course of investigation simply relying upon some of the witnesses, who have been examined and suo moto proceeded to discharge the accused without any application and the same is unknown to law as against the principles laid down in the judgment referred supra as well as criminal procedure code not permits the Magistrate to proceed in such a manner.

of the Apex Court reported in (2020) 2 SCC 768 in the case of M.E. SHIVALINGAMURTHY VS. CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, BENGALURU, wherein the Apex Court held that while deciding a discharge petition, only materials brought on record by prosecution (both in form of oral statement and documents) have to be considered and the defence of the accused cannot be looked into. In the case on hand also, not at the time of discharge and order was passed having considered incomplete evidence of prosecution and interdicted the

proceedings. The Apex Court also in the judgment in paragraph No.18 held that defence of the accused is not to be looked into at the stage when the accused seeks to be discharged under Section 227 Cr.P.C. The Code does not give any right to the accused to produce any document at the stage of framing of the charge. At the stage of framing of the charge, the submission of the accused is to be confined to the material produced by the In the case on hand, already material has been police. considered by the Court and rejected the discharge application and even proceeded to record the evidence of prosecution, but at this juncture, invoked Sections 227 and 239 Cr.P.C. and the same is not permissible. In this judgment also, in paragraph No.28, the Apex Court held that, again it becomes necessary that we remind ourselves of the contours of the jurisdiction under Section 227 Cr.P.C. The principle established is to take the materials produced by the prosecution, both in the form of oral statements and also documentary material, and act upon it without it been subjected to questioning through crosseverything assumed in examination and favour of prosecution, if a scenario emerges where no offence, as alleged, is made out against the accused, it, undoubtedly, would enure to the benefit of the accused warranting the Trial Court to discharge the accused.

This Court also would like to rely upon the judgment 62. of the Apex Court reported in (2021) 11 SCC 191 in the case of STATE OF RAJASTHAN VS. ASHOK KUMAR KASHYAP, wherein also discussion was made with regard to scope of Sections 227 and 228, wherein reiterated, at the stage of Section 227, Judge has merely to sift evidence in order to find out whether or not there is sufficient ground for proceeding against accused. In the cases on hand, Trial Court even proceeded to record the evidence and while examining some of the witnesses, invoked Section 228 Cr.P.C. and the same is not permissible and even though original document i.e., TVCC report is not placed before the Trial Court and if the prosecution is not permitted to produce the secondary evidence before the Court, then it will be fatal to the case of the prosecution and after allowing prosecution to lead complete evidence, the Court can either admit the evidence or discard the evidence, but the same was to be done after consideration of the material on merits not in the midst of the proceedings, that too, while recording the evidence of prosecution witnesses and the Trial Court interdicted

the proceedings on the ground that original TVCC report is not produced and the same is not the stage to consider the said fact and even the Court can draw an adverse inference, if such report was not placed before the Court while considering the matter on merits and not at the midst of the proceedings and the very approach of the Trial Court is erroneous.

63. The learned counsel Sri Parameshwar N. Hegde, who appears for some of the respondents in terms of the chart provided to this Court, would mainly contend that the Apex Court in its judgment in the case of **Nanjappa** (supra) has held that validity of sanction could be raised at any stage of the It is also held that competency of the Court proceedings. against the accused depends upon the existence of valid sanction. If the trial proceeds despite the invalid sanction or absence of sanction, the same shall be deemed to be non-est. There is no dispute that without the sanction, the Court cannot proceed against the Government officials and with regard to the validity is concerned, the same could be considered during the course of trial and unless the evidence has been recorded, the validity of the sanction cannot be decided and the law is settled in the recent judgment of the Apex Court in the case of

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND OTHERS v. PRAMILA VIRENDRA KUMAR AGARWAL AND ANOTHER reported in (2020) 17 SCC 664. The learned counsel also relied upon the order passed by this Court W.P.No.8362/2021 and other connected matters (supra), wherein this Court while considering the matter though guashed the proceedings on the ground of no sanction, liberty was reserved to the prosecution to obtain and place on record a valid sanction from the competent authority before the concerned Court and on such production, the concerned Court is at liberty to continue the prosecution from the stage of taking cognizance and even time bound direction was given to consider the sanction application within three months. That means to continue the proceedings with sanction.

64. The learned counsel also relied upon the order passed by this Court in **W.P.No.56754/2018** (supra), wherein the proceedings was quashed and it was made clear that the observations made in the course of the order is restricted to the consideration of the case of the petitioner alone and cannot be paraphrased to any other accused. The trial, if any, pending against any other accused shall be considered by the competent

Court without being influenced by the observations or the findings in the case at hand. Hence, it is very clear that the proceedings could be continued and trial must go on without being influenced by the observations made by this Court while quashing. These judgments will not come to the aid of the respondents having considered the factual aspects of this case.

65. The learned counsel Sri Vijaya Kumar appearing for some of the respondents in his arguments relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of Satish Mehra (supra), wherein it is held that power to quash proceedings after charges were framed is wider as evidence adduced while submitting of charge sheet can be looked into for determining disclosure of offence against accused. Where allegations do not disclose prima facie case and prosecution of accused would result in abuse of process then proceedings can be quashed either at early stage or at later stage. This extraordinary power available to High Court needs to be exercised carefully and sparingly. In the case on hand, not only relied upon the TVCC report, other materials are also placed before the Trial Court. The Trial Court rejected the discharge application earlier and after rejection of the discharge application when the trial was

commenced, interdicted the proceedings and hence this judgment will not come to the aid of the respondents. No doubt, there is no dispute with regard to the sanction is concerned under Sections 19 and 197 of the PC Act as contended by the learned counsel in the case of **Labh Singh** (supra) and sanction can be obtained and proceedings can be continued in accordance with law. The learned counsel also relied upon the order of this Court passed in **W.P.NO.18890/2022** and connected matters (supra), and though the writ petitions were allowed, only taking of cognizance was quashed and liberty was given and time bound period also fixed for getting the sanction.

66. The learned counsel for the State has also produced the chart for having given the sanction and rejection details are also given. No doubt, the learned counsel for the respondent relies upon Annexure-R2 total rejection of the sanction in respect of the respondent Sri B.T. Ramesh, retired Chief Engineer and Sri B.G. Prakash Kumar, retired Executive Engineer and when the sanction was not given, there cannot be any proceedings against those persons. If sanctions are given, the same could be considered by the Trial Court.

67. The learned counsel Sri R. Swaroop Anand in his arguments relied upon the statement of objections and Annexures-R1 to R11 and would contend that FIR was registered based on the complaint of one of the officials of BBMP, Sri B.F. Patil on 04.11.2011 and after the investigation conducted by the CID, charge-sheet was filed and also not disputes the fact that cognizance was taken. The learned counsel contend that the original TVCC report is not produced. The learned counsel relies upon the evidence of P.W.1 and P.W.2 and there is no reference to any other BBMP Ward number expect 32 and 205 and mainly relies upon the evidence of the witnesses and this Court has already formed the opinion that in the midst of the proceedings, the Trial Court cannot interdict the proceedings without following the procedure. This Court has already relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **Ratilal Bhanji Mithani** (supra), which has been followed by the Apex Court consistently and recently in 2023, in the case of **Chandi Puliya** (supra), the Apex Court held that after framing of the charge, there cannot be an interdicting of the proceedings.

- 68. The learned counsel in support of his arguments also relied upon several judgments and this Court already dealt with the issue by referring the judgments of the Apex Court from 1979 to 2023. The learned counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **Vinay Tyagi** (supra), with regard to the investigation, further investigation and denovo investigation is concerned. The learned counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of Lalita Kumari (supra), wherein it is held that registration of FIR is mandatory under Section 154 of the Code, if cognizable offence has taken place and no dispute with regard to the said principle is concerned the word used is 'shall' in Section 154(1) of Cr.P.C. and registration of FIR is mandatory. In the case on hand, registration of FIR is not in dispute, but only based on that FIR, standalone charge-sheet has been filed before the Court and the said issue has been considered by this Court in the earlier judgment and the same has attained its finality and the same cannot be re-opened by raising the same issue that too during the trial.
- 69. The learned counsel also relied upon the order passed by the Apex Court in **CIVIL APPEAL No.20982/2017**

and connected matters (supra), and particularly relying upon the principles of "per incuriam" would contend that the earlier judgment is "per incuriam" and brought to the notice of this Court paragraph No.147(e) of the said judgment, wherein discussion was made with regard to the case of Narmada Bachao Andolan (III) v. State of Madhya Pradesh reported in AIR 2011 SC 1989 and also larger Bench decision of the Apex Court in the case of Sant Lal Gupta v. Modern Coop. Societies Ltd., reported in (2010) 13 SCC 336, wherein it is held that if the latter bench wants to take a different view than that taken by the earlier bench, the proper course is for it to refer the matter to a larger bench.

70. In the case on hand, after filing of the charge-sheet, cognizance was taken and charges are also framed and even the evidence also commenced in some of the cases by examining the witnesses and instead of considering the matter on merits, interdicted the proceedings and hence the very contention of the learned counsel that the Trial Court rightly considered the material on record cannot be appreciated and the issue was already attained finality questioning the same before this Court

and the Apex Court and once it attained finality, cannot be questioned.

71. The learned counsel Sri Shanti Bhushan appearing for the respondent in some of the cases relied upon several judgments with regard to the validity of filing of multiple chargesheet and one FIR and also non-production of original TVCC report and discharge after rejection of earlier application with regard to the legality of the discharge order relied upon several judgments and contend that the Trial Court exercised the discretion under Sections 227 and 239 of Cr.P.C. after carefully examining the material on record. The learned counsel contend that primary evidence was not produced and this Court already made an observation that even if primary evidence is not produced before the Court, the Court can rely upon the secondary evidence and no such opportunity was given to the prosecution even to rely upon the secondary evidence. The fact that already true copy of the TVCC report was marked without any objections is not in dispute and whether the same is admissible or not, the same could be considered while considering the matter on merits.

- 72. This Court would like to rely upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **VIJAY v. UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS** reported in **2023 Live Law (SC) 1022**, wherein it is discussed with regard to Sections 61, 63 and 65 of the Evidence Act and the principles relevant for examining the admissibility of secondary evidence. Section 65 of the Evidence Act reads as follows:
 - "65. Cases in which secondary evidence relating to documents may be given Secondary evidence may be given of the existence, condition or contents of a document in the following cases-
 - (a) When the original is shown or appears to be in the possession or power of the person against whom the document is sought to be proved, or of any person out of reach of, or not subject to, the process of the Court, or of any person legally bound to produce it, and when, after the notice mentioned in Section 66, such a person does not produce it;
 - (b) when the existence, condition or contents of the original have been proved to be admitted in writing by the person against whom it is proved or by his representative in interest;
 - (c) when the original has been destroyed or lost, or when the party offering evidence of its

contents cannot, for any other reason not arising from his own default or neglect, produce it in reasonable time;

- (d) when the original is of such a nature as not to be easily movable;
- (e) when the original is a public document within the meaning of Section 74;
- (f) when the original is a document of which a certified copy is permitted by this Act, or by any other law in force in India to be given in evidence under Section 91 and 92 of the Evidence Act;
- (g) when the originals consist of numerous accounts or other documents which cannot conveniently be examined in Court, and the fact to be proved is the general result of the whole collection.

In cases (a), (c) and (d), any secondary evidence of the contents of the document is admissible."

73. The Apex Court in the case of **Vijay** (supra) in paragraph No.31 relied upon the Constitution Bench judgment in the case **Cement Corporation of India Ltd. v. Purya** reported in **(2004) 8 SCC 270**, wherein it is held that as the former being evidence that the law requires to be given first, the latter

being evidence that may be given in the absence of that original evidence when a proper explanation of its absence has been given.

- 74. Having considered the principles laid down in the judgment, the Trial Court ought to have given an opportunity to the prosecution to place the secondary evidence and without giving an opportunity to the prosecution, interdicted the proceedings in a hurried manner and even not allowed the prosecution to examine and place all the material before the Trial Court and the same is erroneous. Having considered these materials, the judgment relied upon by the learned counsel Sri Shanti Bhushan in the case of **T.T.Antony** (supra) is not helpful to the case of the respondents, wherein it is held that there can be no second FIR and no fresh investigation on receipt of every subsequent information in respect of the same cognizable offence or same occurrence giving rise to one or more cognizable offence as contended by the learned counsel for the respondents.
- 75. In the case on hand, FIR is registered, but multiple charge-sheets are filed in view of the investigation conducted by

the Investigation Officer i.e., by CID and standalone charge-sheets are filed and factual aspects of the each case is very similar. In all the cases, allegations are similar that of conspiracy and awarding the work to the contractors and misappropriation of funds and causing of loss of the State exchequer. Hence, the very contention of the learned counsel cannot be accepted. The learned counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **Bhajan Lal** (supra) and the said judgment will not come to the aid of the respondents having considered the factual aspects of the case and there is no any second FIR.

76. The learned counsel also relied upon the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **STATE OF ORISSA v. DEBENDRANATH PADHI** reported in **(2005) 1 SCC 568**, wherein it is held that summons to produce document or other thing, the accused cannot at the stage of framing of charge invoke Section 91 of Cr.P.C. to seek production of any document to prove his innocence. The Apex Court also discussed the expression "the record of the case" as used in Section 227 of Cr.P.C. But in the case on hand, 227 applications are rejected and subsequently no such application was filed. The Trial Court

suo motu invoked Sections 227 and 239 and interdicted the proceedings and while discharging the accused, the Trial Court cannot look into the contention or defence of the accused, but the Court invoked the jurisdiction of Sections 227 and 239 considering the defence and law is settled that defence cannot be taken note of while discharging the accused. Only the material collected by the Investigating Officer should be taken note of while invoking discharge jurisdiction.

77. In the case on hand, charge-sheets are filed and cognizance was taken, even charges are framed and trial has been commenced in some of the case. The learned Trail Judge relied upon the evidence of half baked meal of the prosecution and not allowed to complete the process of full baked meal by recording the evidence of the prosecution witnesses and interdicted the proceedings considering the grounds urged by the accused persons in the absence of any application for discharge. The procedure adopted by the Trial Court is unknown to law. I have already pointed out that the mode adopted by the Trial Court is erroneous. I have already considered the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of **Ratilal Bhanji Mithani** (supra)

and this judgment was followed through out till date regarding invoking of Sections 239 to 249, 401 and 300 of Cr.P.C. It is also held that 'discharge' and 'acquittal' are distinct concepts. After framing of charge, there cannot be a 'discharge', but only an 'acquittal' based on a finding of not guilty turning on the merits of the case. It is also held that the High Court could rightfully interfere in revision under Section 439 with an order of discharge passed after the framing of charge, and order re-trial.

78. Having considered the factual aspects of the case, the material on record and the principles laid down in the judgments referred by the State as well as the learned counsel for the respondents and the judgments referred by this Court, no doubt, there is no dispute that when there was no sanction, continuation of proceedings there cannot be any contemplated under Section 197 as well as Section 19 of PC Act. I have already pointed out that the High Court quashed the proceedings and liberty was reserved to the State to proceed against the accused persons to obtain the sanction and time bound direction was given to consider the sanction. The State also placed on record the sanction given in some of the cases and also rejection in some of the cases as contended by the respondents counsel. When such being the case, the Trial Court committed an error in interdicting the proceedings without allowing the prosecution to lead further evidence including secondary evidence as observed above as well as only non-production of original TVCC report, the proceedings was interdicted and the procedure adopted by the Trial Court is unknown to law. Hence, it requires interference of this Court by exercising the revisional jurisdiction. Hence, I answer the point in the affirmative in coming to the conclusion that the matter requires to be interfered with by this Court by exercising the revisional jurisdiction and this Court has already made a chart above in respect of cases in which the accused persons have been discharged in interdicting the proceedings.

79. In view of the discussions made above, I pass the following:

ORDER

- (i) All the criminal revision petitions are allowed.
- (ii) The order passed by the Trial Court in all the cases are set aside. The matters are remitted back to the Trial Court to consider the matter afresh in view of the observations made by this Court on merits.

- (iii) The Trial Court is directed to consider the sanction order given by the State to continue the proceedings against the accused where the proceedings have already been quashed by giving liberty to file sanction order and continue to proceed against them from the stage of taking cognizance.
- (iv) If no such sanction is given in respect of the accused persons, which have been relied upon by the respondents, there cannot be any proceedings against those accused persons.
- In the absence of original TVCC report, if any (v) secondary evidence is placed, if the same is admissible and reliable as observed by the Apex Court in the judgment referred supra, the Trial Court is directed to permit prosecution to place on record all the material recording the evidence of witnesses both oral and documentary evidence in full and then dispose of the matter on merits in accordance with law.

Sd/-(H.P. SANDESH)