

**WRIT APPEAL NO: 597 of 2025**

Vennapusa Raghunath Reddy

...Appellant

Vs.

The State of Andhra Pradesh and others

...Respondents

Advocate for appellant : Sri Gundala Siva Prasada Reddy

Advocate for respondents : Learned Government Pleader for
Home**CORAM : THE CHIEF JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR
SRI JUSTICE R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO****DATE : 8th May 2025****P C :**

The present Writ Appeal has been filed by the petitioner, who is the son of a convict prisoner namely Vennapusa Gangadhar Reddy who is serving life sentence and currently lodged in Kadapa Central Prison.

2. Case of the petitioner is that the application of the father of the petitioner for grant of parole has been allowed subject to the condition that another convict in the same offence, who is currently on parole, returns. This has been done with a view to avoid any law and order situation in terms of Rule 27 of the Suspension of Sentence on Parole Rules, 2024 (for short, "the Rules") in G.O.Ms.No.04, HOME (Paroles & HRC) Department, dated 06.01.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's father had earlier been released on parole.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents would submit that one Vemireddy Bala Chinnareddy (A-4) was granted parole on 26.03.2025 and was released as such on 29.03.2025. The parole was granted in his favour for a period of 30 days, which stood extended by another 15 days, and the said period would expire on 14.05.2025. It is urged that the petitioner's father could be granted parole only after the period of parole prescribed for Vemireddy Bala Chinnareddy expires on 14.05.2025.

5. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. On a perusal of Rule 27 of the Rules, it can be seen that while considering the case of parole proposal of prisoners where there are more than one convicts under the same offence, the Rule envisages that the Superintendent of the Jail should exercise due diligence and process the parole application in such a way so as to avoid any law and order complications once the convicts are released on parole.

7. In terms of Rule 27 of the Rules, it appears that the Superintendent of Central Prison is of the opinion that since there is already another convict namely Vemireddy Bala Chinnareddy on parole, granting parole to the petitioner's father would lead to law and order complications. However, considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case where the convict's son i.e., the petitioner herein is getting married on 11.05.2025, we

feel that interests of justice, as an exceptional case, warrant that the petitioner's father be permitted to join the wedding celebrations on 10.05.2025 and 11.05.2025 under proper police escort.

8. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Kadapa, accordingly, is ordered to organize proper police escort to the convict prisoner on 10.05.2025 to be taken to the village of the petitioner's father where the wedding ceremony is to be held and be brought back by the evening of 12.05.2025 by 5:00 P.M.

9. The Writ Appeal is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, CJ

R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO, J

AMD

Note:-

Furnish C.C. today.

B/O
AMD

05

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, CHIEF JUSTICE
&
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. RAGHUNANDAN RAO**

WRIT APPEAL NO: 597 of 2025

Dt : 08.05.2025

AMD