ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.13

SECTION PIL-W

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

## Writ Petition(Civil) No.260/2025

G.S. MANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 09-05-2025 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. MAHADEVAN

For Petitioner(s) : Petitioner-in-person

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

1. Application for seeking permission to appear and argue in person is allowed.

2. The petitioner appearing-in-person has invoked jurisdiction of this Court under Article 32 of the Constitution praying for the following reliefs:-

"a) Issue an appropriate Writ or Order especially in the nature of Writ of Certiorari Mandamus calling for the records of 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> Respondents/Central and State Governments pertaining to the National Education Policy

1

2020 of the 4<sup>th</sup> Respondent, UOI and direct the 1<sup>st</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> respondents, States Governments to implement the said National Education Policy 2020 and sign the MOU with the 5<sup>th</sup> Respondent, Union Education Ministry and ensure the fundamental rights of right to education of needy children"

3. Whether the States should adopt the National Education Policy, 2020 or not, is a vexed issue.

4. The Supreme Court through Article 32 of the Constitution can issue directives to ensure that the fundamental rights of the citizens are protected.

5. It cannot directly compel a State to adopt a policy like the National Education Policy, 2020.

6. The Court may, however intervene if a State's action or inaction related to the National Education Policy violates any fundamental rights or any other legal rights.

7. We do not propose to examine this issue in this writ petition filed under Article 32 of the Constitution.

8. We believe that the petitioner has nothing to do with the cause he proposes to espouse. Although he may be from the State of Tamil Nadu yet on his own admission he is now residing in New Delhi.

9. The main issue may be examined by this Court in an appropriate proceedings but at least not in this particular petition.

2

- 10. In such circumstance, this petition stands dismissed.
- **11.** Pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(CHANDRESH) ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS (POOJA SHARMA) COURT MASTER (NSH)