



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 9101/2025

Mansingh S/o Ramraj, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Badolas, P.s Kundera, District Sawai Madhopur (Rajasthan) (At Present Con-
fined At District Jail Sawai Madhopur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Umesh Dixit
For Respondent(s) : Mr. N.S. Dhakar, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN

Order

08/08/2025

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, on behalf of the petitioner, who has been arrested in connection with FIR No.134/2025 registered at Police Station Kotwali Sawai Madhopur, District Sawai Madhopur (Raj.) for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS & Section 4/21 of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957. After completion of investigation, police filed charge-sheet in this matter.
2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel submits that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. Trial of the case will take considerable time in its conclusion. Petitioner is in custody since his date of arrest and



further custody of the petitioner would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. I have considered the contentions.

5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by both the parties, as also the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; trial will take time as well as looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it proper to allow the bail application.

6. This bail application is accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner - **Mansingh S/o Ramraj**, shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station, till trial is concluded.

8. Concerned SHO is directed to maintain a register recording the attendance of the petitioner, as directed above. In case the petitioner fails to mark his presence in the concerned police



station, as directed above, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If breach of any of these conditions is reported or come to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to him by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observation made herein above is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

GAUTAM JAIN /283