



\$~90

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1909/2023&CM APPL. 4486/2024**

GUNJAN KUMAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sumitra Choudhary, Mr. M.K.
Raghav Raman, Ms. Nitya Sharma,
Ms. Jasmine Sheikh, Ms. Mansi
Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

VEDANT

.....Respondent

Through: In person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

19.09.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Section 2(b) read with Sections 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Articles 215/226 of the Constitution of India has been filed seeking the following prayers: -

“In light of the aforesaid, the Petitioners, therefore, prays that this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to:

a. Punish the Respondent Contemnor with Simple imprisonment for a term of six months and/or fine of Rs. 2000/- for the Contempt committed by him of this Hon’ble Court and the Court subordinate to this Hon’ble Court by deliberate wilful disobedience and open flouting of the order dated 17.01.2023 passed by Sh.Abhinav Singh, Ld. Civil Judge, North West District, Rohini Courts, Delhi in CS No. 1240/2018 titled Canara Bank Vs Vedant.

b. Pass any such other order or direction in favour of the Applicant as



this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper on the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. At the outset, it is pertinent to note that the respondent appeared in-person on the first date of hearing in the present petition before the Predecessor Bench on 20.12.2023 and had tendered an unconditional apology for making allegations against Judicial Officers and stated that he shall never do so in future.

4. Thereafter, on 19.01.2024, attention of the Predecessor Bench was drawn by learned counsel for the petitioners towards the averments made in the Contempt Petition No. 7/2023, filed by respondent herein in CS No.1240/2018, and following order was passed: -

“3. Considering the aforesaid averments and allegations made by the respondent in his contempt petition, this court is *prima facie* of the view that the petitioner is guilty of contempt of court. The honour and dignity of the judicial system has to be preserved and no litigant can take liberty to make any irresponsible remarks against the judiciary in the manner, as have been done by the respondent herein in the contempt petition filed by him, which is pending in the Trial Court.
4. Issue show cause notice of contempt to the respondent.
5. Let reply be filed within a period of two weeks.
6. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.
7. The respondent Mr. Vedant, is directed to be present in court on the next date of hearing.”

5. Subsequently, an application, **CM APPL. 4486/2024**, was filed on behalf of the petitioner under Section 151 of the CPC seeking stringent action against the respondent for aggravated contempt for violating the aforesaid order dated 19.01.2024 passed in the present contempt proceedings and for making scandalous imputations against the judiciary. The Predecessor Bench of this Court on 24.01.2024, during the course of hearing in aforesaid



application, while noting the e-mail dated 21.01.2024 written by the respondent containing libellous content along with other scandalous, contumacious and contemptuous allegations made by him, passed the following order: -

“2. Attention of this Court has been drawn to the E-mail dated 21st January, 2024 written by the respondent, the libellous paragraphs of which read as under: -

“xxx xxx xxx

9. *That till date even if 10 year has been elapsed despite, none of the orders either in CS no. 51721/16 and CS no. 1240/18 and High court orders adjudication about the applicant status in Canara bank is off probationary not confirmed and applicant belongs to SC community and entire one year the canara bank did not pay the applicant his legitimate perks and allowance due to his caste status, **thus not independent justice of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi coming in the aid of sikri & co who signed the prayer clauses in CS no. 51721/16 and CS No. 1240/18** and wants that applicant forgo his fundamental right and filed civil suits thus choose money instead of constitution as believed that **applicant i.e Vedant is dog and second class of citizen as the real intention of the corrupt judiciary to put stamp on the SC/ST people that these people doing litigation only for money as these people not having any respect/status and wants to portrait the applicant vedant is prostitute but in reality those who believe in this thought are really are prostitutes (apology to Hon'ble Court as no substitute word is fitting in present line)**, whereas the money is also important for applicant vedant for livelihood but not by murdering the constitution of India.*

xxx xxx xxx

11. That order of 03.08.2018 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Review Petition no. 300/17 & 299/17 in LPA 846/2015 & 854/15 is entire cause of corruption in CS no. 1240/18 and CS no. 51721/18, wherein the not independent ex justice of Delhi High Court under the influence of sikri & co, made observation that “Later, bank appears to have filed a suit claiming certain additional amount after a purported adjustment of the amount payable to petitioner”

12. *That next question will arise in prudent man thought that why not modification application in order dated 03.08.2018 before DHC, than humble answer that the corrupt judiciary wants from applicant vedant*



so that constitution will die and civil suit remained. Whereas again question why not SC of India in SLP than again humble answer corrupt system wants the same by mentioning first order obtained on basis of fraud than no relief to applicant vedant justice delayed justice denied, thus waited for period to see how the corrupt judiciary will go upto what extent, and how people of India not getting any real justice from the cornis when corruption in judiciary exist in India.

13. That why Sh. Gunjan Kumar went to high court in suo motto contempt against the applicant u/a 215 of COI, without permission of Attorney General as per guidelines of article 215 of COI, because the not independent judiciary wants that whatever the corruption happened in writ and review proceedings can be rectified under article 215, but article 215 Hon'ble High court can rectified own order using review jurisdiction but applicant vedant already filed review petition than how review of review maintainable, hence applicant know that there would be punishment in contempt petition and than division bench while awarding punishment will rectified order dated 03.08.2018 using power of writ court, thus contempt petition of Sh. Gunjan Kumar is sponsored petition only to file to fill the lacna for corruption happened in last 10 years with applicant vedant by the corrupt judges, as court always remain non-living being and always have respect but always presided by living being sometimes corrupt that's travesty within system.

xxx xxxxx xxxxx

15. That the CS no. 51721/16 is also one of its own case of corruption as order 37 suit based on photocopies and sikri and company filed affidavits that bank adjusted the amount of Rs 60000/- Appr. which concealed in order to give advantage of manipulation in cause of action by the corrupt judiciary. It is further submitted that applicant vedant application u/o 7 r 11 of CPC is for order 37 suit rejection as summary suit not for ordinary suit as portrait in the further orders by the corrupt judiciary , whereas application u/o 7 r 11 of CPC decided after leave to defend i.e. again pure abuse power & process of law by negating the order 37 rule 7 of CPC, again glare fact even the date of filing the application u/o 7 r 11 did not mentioned in the order dated 24.05.2018 only God will save this country, again glare fact that again cause of



action and barred by law manipulated by the PO to give advantage to sikri & Co by asking the disclosure from sikri & Co mentioned in order dated 24.05.2018, and again the glare fact suit is barred by law and no cause of action not adjudicated i.e. section 74 & 73 of Indian contract act read with maula bax and fetchchand judgments of Hon'ble SC of India and only page of service bond why filed by the sikri & Co still is in mystery , if CS no. 1240/18 is transfer suit and PO considering the order of CS no. 51721/16.

16. That applicant vedant is victim of judicial terrorism, judicial emergency, judicial corruption and judicial collective conspiracy, thus need of judicial accountability bill to curb down the never adjudicate issue that when justice/judge deliberately allowed party to play the fraud upon the court than what action will take against the justice/judge, whereas applicant vedant know that he will not succeed in the cause of fair & transparent judiciary, which was in earlier days and world recognised the same but now a days people abusing the judiciary question why, need to be answer by honest judiciary.”

(Emphasis Supplied)

3. This Court also takes note of the E-mail dated 19th

“xxx xxx xxx November, 2020 sent by the respondent to the petitioner wherein the respondent has made absurd and baseless allegations of bribery and corruption in the judiciary. The aforesaid E-mail reads as under:

Please provide the address of you self as I want to initiate criminal proceedings against you and your juniors as well who had appeared in case of rohini without any locus standii, **if you are advocate of alleged bank then contempt of court as present matter involved bribe of more than rs. 50 crore giving to judges/justices of hon'ble delhi high court and district courts to delay the justice.** Please provide details as mentioned as you failed to reply my notice of admission which further prove that you being personnel capacity as interested party being part of corrupt system deliberately delaying the process of justice.
xxx xxx xxx”

(Emphasis Supplied)

4. Equally disturbing are the various submissions made by the



petitioner in the contempt petition filed on his behalf in the Court of Civil Judge, District North West, Delhi, which read as under:-

“That applicant submits that above mentioned contemnors/respondent paid bribe/incentive to the corrupt/non-corrupt Or independent/not independent judiciary to revive their already given up rights/withdrawal/abatement in CS No. 51721/15.....”

5. The aforesaid allegations made by the respondent are not only scandalous, but also contumacious and contemptuous, which are aimed at lowering the dignity and majesty of the court. This Court has taken very serious view of the matter as no one can be allowed to make such derogatory remarks against the judiciary and lower its dignity. The issue is all the more serious in view of the fact that the respondent, who appears in person, is a lawyer.

6. Accordingly, issue notice to the respondent by all modes, including *dasti*, returnable on 6th March, 2024.

7. In view of the serious issue involved in the present case wherein various insinuations in writing have been made by the respondent, who is a lawyer, against the judiciary, aiming at lowering the dignity and majesty of the judiciary, let a copy of this order be delivered to Mr. Mohit Mathur, President of the Delhi High Court Bar Association in order to appear before this Court and assist in the present matter.”

6. On 19.05.2025, learned Senior Counsel-Mohit Mathur, President of DHCBA, appeared and stated that there are in fact other assertions which have been made by the respondent in the proceedings before the Suit Court which uses *derogatory language* against the judiciary, as well as the counsels and show cause notice was issued to the respondent to explain as to why contempt proceedings should not be initiated against him.

7. Reply to show cause notice dated 30.01.2024 was filed by the respondent. Following paragraphs of the reply filed by the respondent are pertinent to mention: -



“3. That the corrupt judiciary by making orders will transform the goat into the lion and lion into the goat in India.

One day some influential Civil judge/MM will declare Bharat/India as Pakistan than the victim or the affected party will file appeal after appeal and then thereafter 50 years later the highest court either in curative petition or in review petition will rectify the mistake of the same influential civil judge/MM and then again, the victim turned to be real victim as the victim after 50 years stand at zero and will ready for another 50 years for getting relief from the courts,

Or perhaps the victim if not having money or courage to fight or not having time to fight for his right due to his livelihood, not filed any appeal before appellate authority than by the order influential Civil judge/MM for victim only Bharat/India is Pakistan as the order is not appealed before any court thus become final & operative as per Indian Law.

18. That due to corruption in the judiciary the respondent 10 precious youth years have been lost and now the judiciary wants respondent will forget everything and trade for false aspersions created by corrupt judiciary which lead to write the respondent against the corrupt judiciary and hence by issuing SCN in false sponsor contempt petition, if such trade did by the our freedom fighters than still India remains as colony, now the judiciary threatening not to raise voice against the corruption in the judiciary ever, thus wants to suppress the free voice of common people of India who are victim of corruption within judiciary because most of the trail judges in CS no. 51721/16 & CS no. 1240/18 are in relation with higher judiciary and sikri & co is among few elite family who captured the entire judiciary system of India and ex justice involved in writs went to the Hon'ble SC of India due to help of sikri & co. It is further submitted that whenever there is choice between the constitution of India and money than for sake of safeguarding the provision of the constitution of India respondent always choose constitution of India despite life at stake or not.

20. Protest of Respondent in present contempt petition:

Protest of respondent against the Ld. PO of Hon'ble DHC of dated



19.01.2024 by not letting the respondent to speak before the Hon'ble Court to expose the fraud & concealment and corruption in CS no. 1240/18 which is annexed with present contempt petition and other cases, thus respondent will not speak before the court as a protest but will present in the court to obey the orders and only writes, whereas in writ and other proceedings before hon'ble DHC the judiciary not giving more than 10 min to respondent to present his cases during 4 years in litigation (if audio video recording than same will be proved) and if further dates will not give as per respondent convenience due to his social & legal obligation than respondent will join through VC mode only despite number of SCN in future will issued against the respondent.

22. Advance argument in contempt petition no. 07/2023 and MSCJ/121/2023 in CS no. 1240/18 as part of reply to SCN;

11. That order of 03.08.2018 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Review Petition no. 300/17 & 299/17 in LPA 846/2015 & 854/15 is entire cause of corruption in CS no. 1240/18 and CS no. 51721/18, wherein the not independent ex justice of Delhi High Court under the influence of sikri & co, made observation that "Later, bank appears to have filed a suit claiming certain additional amount after a purported adjustment of the amount payable to petitioner"

A. Thus, need of draft order system which put restriction on unruly horses in judiciary not to pass any absurd observation which allowed the parties to played a fraud upon the court lateron, as did by the sikri & co in CS no. 1240/18 by spreading corruption in the judiciary by not mentioning the prayer of recovery in CS no. 1240/18 which duly supported by the corrupt or non activist PO in CS no. 1240/18 till date.

B. Whereas if as per order dated 03.08.2018 bank recover the amount first question arises as CS no. 51721/16 is for Rs. 1,23,559.43/- plus interest and CS no. 1240/18 as per case infonllation sheet is for 1,23,559.43/- plus interest than who made observation in order dated 03.08.2018 i.e sikri & Co comprising sh. Ravi Sikri, Sh. Sakat Sikri and others but their presence did not marked by the ex-justice of DHC



to give advantage and scoot them free from contempt etc and made fresh cause of action for sikri & Co against applicant i.e vedant on account of corruption, but ex justice efforts had negated as copy of review petition delivered to Sikri & company thus deemed knowledge of order applies, thus need of audio video recording of court proceedings which have evidentiary value otherwise one day person will commit murder and any justice or judge wants to save the person will make his appearance in the ordersheet and later on person will take advantage of presence in the order as court order sheet are gospel truth, thus by above situation in proves that order/judgment and record of courts can be manipulated and thus not gospel truth, which is the main crux of applicant i.e vedant arguments in CS no. 1240/18, thus observation of adjustment of what amount did not deliberately adjudicated by the all PO in CS no. 1240/18.

12. That next question will arise in prudent man thought that why not modification application in order dated 03.08.2018 before DHC, than humble answer that the corrupt judiciary wants from applicant vedant so that constitution will die and civil suit remained. Whereas again question why not SC of India in SLP than again humble answer corrupt system wants the same by mentioning first order obtained on basis of fraud than no relief to applicant vedant justice delayed justice denied, thus waited for period to see how the corrupt judiciary will go upto what extent, and how people of India not getting any real justice from the courts when corruption in judiciary exist in India.

13. That why Sh. Gunjan Kumar went to high court in suo motto contempt against the applicant u/a 215 of COI, without pennission of Attorney General as per guidelines of article 215 of COI, because the not independent judiciary wants that whatever the con-uption happened in writ and review proceedings can be rectified under article 215, but article 215 Hon'ble High court can rectified own order using review jurisdiction but applicant vedant already filed review petition than how review of review maintainable, hence applicant know that there would be punishment in contempt petition and than division bench 28 while awarding punishment will rectified order dated 03.08.2018 using power of writ court, thus contempt petition of Sh. Gunjan Kumar is sponsored petition only to file to fill the lacna for corruption happened in last 10 years with applicant vedant by the corrupt judges, as court always remain non-living being and always have respect but always presided by living being sometimes corrupt



that's travesty within system.”

8. Section 2(c) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 reads as under: -

“2. Definitions.—In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires,—
(c) “criminal contempt” means the publication (whether by words, spoken or written, or by signs, or by visible representations, or otherwise) of any matter or the doing of any other act whatsoever which—

(i) scandalises or tends to scandalise, or lowers or tends to lower the authority of, any court; or

(ii) prejudices, or interferes or tends to interfere with, the due course of any judicial proceeding; or

(iii) interferes or tends to interfere with, or obstructs or tends to obstruct, the administration of justice in any other manner;”

9. Perusal of the contents and averments made in the aforesaid reply to SCN filed by the respondent as well as email dated 21.01.2024 shows that reckless allegations of corruption in judiciary has been made by him which are contemptuous, contumacious and scandalous in nature. The same tantamount to scandalising and lowering the authority of Court. It further tends to interfere with judicial proceedings and administration of justice.

10. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the present case, after perusing the material placed on record in the present petition, this Court, *prima facie*, is of the opinion that the respondent has, thus, committed “criminal contempt” as defined in Section 2(c) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

11. Thus, subject to orders of Hon’ble the Chief Justice, list the present petition before the learned Division/Roster Bench on 19.11.2025 for further proceedings against the respondent, Vedant.

12. The respondent shall appear before the learned Division/Roster Bench



in-person on the next date of hearing.

13. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court *forthwith*.

AMIT SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/bsr/ns