

101 CRM-M-50544-2025

RAVNEET SINGH SANDHU @ MANVEER SINGH V/S UT OF  
CHANDIGARH

Present: Mr. Jashan Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Balram Singh, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

-.-

1. In paragraph No.10 of the present petition, there is no disclosure regarding the registration or pendency of any other criminal cases against the petitioner.

However, learned State Counsel submits that petitioner is involved in another case also, i.e. FIR No.09 dated 27.01.2024, registered at Police Station Naggal, District Amritsar, under Sections 406, 420, and 506 of the IPC, wherein similar allegations have been levelled against him.

In an attempt to retrieve the details of the said case, counsel for the petitioner begin searching on his mobile phone during the course of submissions.

2. Such a practice is wholly unacceptable on two counts. Firstly, the use of mobile phone while addressing the arguments in the Court reflects a discourteous and unprofessional attitude, which cannot be condoned. Secondly, unlike iPads or laptops, which are considered professional tools integrated with the office setup and case files, mobile phones are not regarded as acceptable devices for use during arguments in the Court proceedings.

3. In view of the above, mobile phone of counsel for the petitioner is directed to be seized until 5:00 P.M. today.

4. Let the matter be listed again on 30.09.2025.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

September 19, 2025  
Lavisha