

ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.12

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 9559/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-06-2025 in CRMP No. 3356/2024 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi]

MANOJ KUMAR SINHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF JHARKHAND & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 152094/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT; IA No. 152096/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 25-11-2025 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manish Paliwal, AOR
Mr. Aasheesh Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Nav Parkash Singh Teji, Adv.
Mr. Shubhashis Soren, Adv.
Mr. Mohd Ashaab, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vishnu Sharma, Standing Counsel, Adv.
Ms. Madhusmita Bora, AOR
Mr. Riju Raj Singh Jamwal, Adv.
Ms. Pavithra V., Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Chechi, Adv.

Ms. Khushboo Kataruka, Adv.
Mr. Arpit Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Rangoli Seth, AOR

O R D E R

Heard Mr. Siddharth Luthra, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Madhusmita Bora, learned counsel for the respondent no.1-State of Jharkhand and Ms. Rangoli Seth, learned counsel for

the respondent no.2.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the bail granted to him vide order dated 21.11.2022 passed by the High Court of Jharkhand at Ranchi in B.A.No.10028/2022, was subsequently cancelled on 20.06.2025 in Criminal M.P.No.3356 of 2024 at the behest of the respondent no.2-complainant.

3. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the allegations, at present, are only based on suspicion without any substantial material to support them. He further submitted that the trial is underway and respondent no.2-complainant has already been examined and cross-examined.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-State drew the attention of the Court to the previous order by which a report had been called from the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, directing him to personally look into the matter and ensure that the allegations raised by respondent no.2-complainant against the petitioner are properly investigated.

5. Pursuant thereto, the report submitted by the Senior Officer looking into the matter reveals that *prima facie* the allegations against the petitioner have been found to be correct, especially with regard to certain acts alleged to have been committed after his release on bail under the initial order dated 21.11.2022. It was further submitted that the investigation is still going on.

6. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner further submitted that all the threats received by the complainant are by different persons and not the petitioner. It was submitted that mobile phone

on which the alleged threats were received is lost and therefore, the allegations cannot be corroborated, much less proved, in accordance with law. With regard to the threats received, it was submitted that the only connection is that a demand was made to compromise or withdraw the complaint.

7. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the affidavit filed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, indicates that at this stage there appears to be *prima facie* indications supporting the complainant's version and therefore, the State opposes the petition.

8. Learned counsel for the respondent no.2-complainant submitted that there is sufficient material and that the police have linked the acts of threatening to the petitioner.

9. Having considered the matter in its entirety, and taking into account the material on record, for the present, we find that the order impugned does not warrant interference. As material has emerged suggesting misuse of the privilege of bail by the petitioner, which still requires investigation and is being personally monitored by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, we deem it appropriate, in the interest of justice, not to interfere with the impugned order.

10. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

11. The petitioner shall surrender before the Court below within one week from today.

12. We clarify that the present order shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner in the trial.

13. The trial be expedited, keeping in the mind the age of the petitioner.

14. The State shall also ensure that witnesses are produced on the dates fixed by the trial Court.

15. The report submitted under sealed cover has been resealed and returned to the learned counsel for the respondent-State for onward transmission to the Authorities concerned.

16. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(VARSHA MENDIRATTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR