



1

CRA-4480-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT GWALIOR

BEFORE

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE DWARKA DHISH BANSAL

ON THE 10th OF JUNE, 2026CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 4480 of 2026*KRASHNANU SHARMA ALIAS KRASHNANU@ VIKKY**Versus**THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH AND ANOTHER*

.....
Appearance:

Shri Madhur Bhargava - Advocate for appellant.

Shri Brajesh Kumar Tyagi - Public Prosecutor for respondent

No.1/State.
.....

ORDER

1. This *first* criminal appeal has been filed under Section 14-A (2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities Amendment) Act 2015 against the order dated 11.05.2026 passed by the Special Judge (Atrocities), Guna (M.P.), whereby the application filed by the appellant under Section 483 of the BNSS for grant of regular bail has been rejected.

2. The appellant has been arrested on 05.05.2026 in connection with Crime No.210/2026 registered at Police Station - Kotwali, District Guna (M.P.) for commission of offences punishable under Sections 79, 77, 221, 132, 332 (a), 352, 351 (3) of BNS and Sections 3(1)(द), 3(1)(ध), 3 (2) (va) of the SCST Act and Section 3/4 of MP Chikitsak Evam Chikitsa Se Sambandhit Vyaktiyon Ki Suraksha Adhiniyam and Section 66 E of IT Act.



3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the appellant has been falsely implicated in the offence, and in fact, he has not made any videography of the ladies who were admitted in the Maternity/Labour Ward. He further submits that in fact, the appellant took videos of the doctors and staff only with a view to show the irregularities in the hospital. He further submits that the appellant is in custody since 05.05.2026. On these grounds, he prays for grant of bail to the appellant.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent No.1/State opposes the prayer, submitting that the appellant has a criminal history of four cases and, therefore, does not deserve to be granted bail. However, learned counsel for the respondent No.1/State has not been able to show any material from the case diary against the appellant.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Considering the submissions advanced by counsel for the parties and looking to the nature of allegation levelled against the appellant, without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court deems it proper to allow the prayer for grant of bail to the appellant. Accordingly, the criminal appeal is allowed and the impugned order dated 11.05.2026 is hereby set aside.

7. It is directed that the appellant be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (**Rupees Fifty Thousand Only**) with one solvent surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court concerned.

8. This order will remain operative subject to compliance of the following conditions by the appellant :-

i) The appellant will comply with all the terms and conditions of the



bond executed by him;

ii) The appellant will cooperate in the investigation/trial, as the case may be;

iii) The appellant will not indulge himself in extending inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Police Officer, as the case may be;

iv) The appellant will not seek unnecessary adjournments during the trial;

v) The appellant will not leave India without previous permission of the trial Court/Investigating Officer, as the case may be.

9. Copy of this order be sent to the trial Court concerned for compliance.

10. With the aforesaid, present criminal appeal stands **allowed and disposed of.**

Certified Copy as per rules.

(DWARKA DHISH BANSAL)
V. JUDGE

AK/-