

ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.12

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22724/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 22-06-2026 in CMAPPL No. 39115/2026 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

URVASHI SENGAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 189344/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Date : 08-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

[PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. Avinash K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Nagar, AOR
Mr. Uditanshu Singh, Adv.
Mr Praveen Kumar, Adv.
Mr Navtej Singh, Adv.
Ms Palkriti Pande, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr Anil Kaushik, Ld. ASG
Mr Syed Abdul Haseeb, Adv.
Mr Sai Shashank, Adv.
Dr M. Vishakhamurthy, AOR
Ms Pranjal Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that Office Memorandum ("O.M.") issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs which is in force since 23.08.1993 is to be construed as one to protect lady I.P.S probationers and not as one which dis-entitles them to undertake training even if they are fit to undertake training.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that she delivered a child on 20.09.2025 and volunteered for the requisite training which were to commence on 22.06.2026 but, because of this O.M. which provides for a year's hiatus in training post delivery, the Department did not permit her to undertake training. Accordingly, the said O.M. was challenged before the Central Administrative Tribunal ("Tribunal") which, by a detailed order, permitted the petitioner to participate in the Phase-II training which were to commence on 22.06.2026 subject to fulfillment of the requisite undertakings, formalities, medical requirements, etc. While passing the said interim order, the Tribunal had also noticed the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner that earlier Ms Anupama James and Ms Arti Singh were given exemption

from the rigors of the O.M.

3. Issue notice.

4. Notice on behalf of the respondent has been accepted by Dr M. Vishakhamurthy, AOR.

5. As it appears from the impugned order that training were to commence from 22.06.2026, we deem it appropriate to require the learned ASG to seek instructions whether at this stage the petitioner can join the training.

6. Put up on 10.07.2026.

(CHETAN ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)