10.11.2026
Sl. No.01.
Ct. No.03
Suman

WPA 31365 of 2026

Sujata Bepari (Mondal)
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Raghunath Chakraborty
Mr. Saikat Thakurata
..for the petitioner

Mr. D. N. Roy, ld. G.P.
Mr. Ajit Kumar Mishra, ld. AGP
Mr. Madhu Jana
Mr. Gopal Krishna Sarkar
Mr. Bikash Kumar Sriwastav
..for the State

1. The petitioner, being the wife of one Dipak Bepari, has filed the present writ petition praying for, inter alia, a writ directing the respondents to produce before this Court the relevant records concerning the arrest, grounds of arrest, subsequent arrests, remand proceedings, and continued detention of Dipak Bepari in connection with Hare Street Police Station Case No.183 of 2026 dated August 21, 2026, Bhawanipore Police Station Case No.234 of 2026 dated September 12, 2026 and Entally Police Station Case No.283 of 2026 dated September 12, 2026.

2. An affidavit of service has been filed on behalf of the petitioner today.

3. The same is taken on record.

4. The State Respondents are represented.

5. Learned advocate representing the State has handed over a report dated November 6, 2026, prepared by the Officer-in-Charge of Hare Street Police Station and another report dated October 30, 2026 prepared by the Officer-in-Charge of Entally Police Station.

6. The same are taken on record.

7. Copies of the aforesaid reports have been handed over to the learned advocate representing the petitioner.

8. From a perusal of the report filed by the Officer-in-Charge of Hare Street Police Station, it appears that the aforesaid Dipak Bepari was arrested on September 28, 2026.

9. Necessary memos were prepared, and the grounds of arrest and his legal rights were duly communicated to him and he was medically checked.

10. Further, as requested by him, his relative was also duly informed regarding his arrest by virtue of email transmitted through the Officer-in-Charge of Bidhannagar South Police Station.

11. It also appears from the aforesaid report that after the aforesaid arrest, aforesaid Dipak Bepari was produced before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. V, Coimbatore, with a prayer for transit

remand till October 2, 2026 for production before the concerned Court and such prayer was allowed.

12. After returning to Kolkata, the aforesaid Dipak Bepari was further produced before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Calcutta and he was remanded in police custody till October 6, 2026.

13. Investigation is still in progress.

14. From a perusal of the report filed by the Officer-in-Charge of Enally Police Station, it appears that on October 29, 2026, the aforesaid Dipak Bepari was shown arrested in connection with Entally Police Station Case No.283 of 2026 at the Court Complex of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sealdah, following the requisite proceedings before the competent court.

15. He was also furnished with an intimation of the grounds of arrest in connection with the aforesaid Entally Police Station case.

16. Police records also indicate that a written intimation specifying the grounds of arrest was furnished to Dipak Bepari on October 29, 2026 in connection with the aforesaid case.

17. The report further suggests that the family members of Dipak Bepari were also intimated regarding the arrest shown in connection with the aforesaid Entally Police Station case.

18. Learned advocate representing the State further submits that Dipak Bepari was also arrested in connection with Bhawanipore Police Station Case No.234 of 2026 dated September 12, 2026.

19. Learned advocate representing the petitioner submits that Dipak Bepari is currently out on bail.

20. In view of the aforesaid two reports having been handed over to the learned advocate representing the petitioner as well as the other facts and circumstances of this case, no order is required to be passed in this present writ petition.

21. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

22. There will be no order as to costs.

23. Since no affidavit-in-opposition has been called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

24. All parties shall act on the server copy of the order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

25. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the learned advocates for the parties upon compliance with the requisite formalities.

(Rishad Medora, *J.*)